1	BEFORE THE POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD RECEIVED CLERK'S OFFICE
2	STATE OF ILLINOIS DEC 23 1996
3	STATE OF ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD
4	
5	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,
6	
7	Claimant,
8	-vs- NO. PCB 96-107 (Enforcement)
9	ESG WATTS, INC.,
10	Respondent.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	Hearing held, pursuant to Notice, on the 12th day
17	of December, 1996, at the hour of 8:00 a.m., at 600
18	South Second Street, Springfield, Illinois, before
19	Ms. Deborah L. Frank, duly appointed Hearing Officer.
20	in. Bezolum 2. Ilumn, dali appointed mediling officer.
21	
22	
23	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
24	

		'
1	APPEARANCES:	
2	MR. THOMAS DAVIS Chief, Environmental Bureau, and	
3	MS. AMY SYMONS-JACKSON Assistants Attorney General	
4	Environmental Bureau 500 South Second Street	
5	Springfield, Illinois 62706	
6	on behalf of the Claimant;	
7	SORLING, NORTHRUP, HANNA, CULLEN & COCHRAN, by	
8	MR. CHARLES J. NORTHRUP Attorney at Law	
9	Suite 800 607 East Adams Street	
10	Springfield, Illinois	
11	on behalf of the Respondent.	
12	ALSO PRESENT:	
13	MS. MICHELLE M. RYAN Assistant Counsel	
14	Waste Enforcement Division of Legal Counsel	
15	Illinois Environmental Protection Agency 2200 Churchill Road	
16	Springfield, Illinois	
17	TNDTIV	
18	<u>INDEX</u> WITNESSES	DACE
19	Steve Grothus	PAGE
20	Direct Examination by Mr. Northrup Cross-Examination by Ms. Symons-Jackson	653 688
21	Redirect Examination by Mr. Northrup Recross-Examination by Ms. Symons-Jackson	742 758
22	Thomas A. Jones Direct Examination by Mr. Northrup	760
23	Cross-Examination by Ms. Symons-Jackson Redirect Examination by Mr. Northrup	833 886
24	Recross-Examination by Ms. Symons-Jackson	892

1	INDEX (cont'd)	
	WITNESSES	PAGE
2	John Reiser	224
٠, ١	Direct Examination by Mr. Northrup	894 905
3	Cross-Examination by Mr. Davis	905
4	Kenneth Liss (recalled)	
j	Direct Examination by Ms. Symons-Jackson	908
5	Cross-Examination by Mr. Northrup	917
_ [
6	Joyce Munie	923
7	Direct Examination by Mr. Davis Cross-Examination by Mr. Northrup	932
	Redirect Examination by Mr. Davis	934
8	Recross-Examination by Mr. Northrup	936
_	Redirect Examination by Mr. Davis	939
9		
1	Joe Whitley (recalled)	
10	Direct Examination by Mr. Davis	940
, l	Cross-Examination by Mr. Northrup	948
11	Chara Chathur (magallad)	ı
12	Steve Grothus (recalled) Direct Examination by Mr. Northrup	953
**	Cross-Examination by Mr. Davis	955
13	Redirect Examination by Mr. Northrup	958
1	•	
14	EXHIBITS IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED
- 1	People's Exhibit No. 4 716	716
15	People's Exhibit No. 68 860	877
	People's Exhibit No. 69 907	908
16	People's Exhibit No. 70 927	928
17	Respondent's Exhibit No. 5 795	
- '	Respondent's Exhibit No. 6 665	
18	Respondent's Exhibit No. 7 685	687
1	Respondent's Exhibit No. 8 775	780
19	Respondent's Exhibit No. 9 782	783
- 1	Respondent's Exhibit No. 10 788	789
20	Respondent's Exhibit No. 11 785	786
	Respondent's Exhibit No. 12 802	804
21		
22		
44		
23		
_		
24		
)		
1		

HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Let's go back on the 1 This is a continuation of People of the State 2 of Illinois versus ESG Watts, Inc., PCB 96-107. 3 4 Mr. Northrup, can you go ahead and call your first witness? 5 Sure. Actually, at least one 6 MR. NORTHRUP: 7 preliminary matter. HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Okay. 8 9 MR. NORTHRUP: Have you ruled -- remember, I 10 filed a motion about the Lieutenant Chisholm of the 11 Rock Island County Sheriff's Department. Have you 12 made any ruling on that? 13 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: My ruling would be 14 that we are not going to continue this hearing or 15 extend it for purposes of receiving that testimony. 16 If for some other reason we need another day of 17 testimony, which I am not anticipating, then I would 18 be willing to discuss doing that in Rock Island. 19 if we conclude at the end of today, then we are done, and since he is not here, he will not have the 20 21 opportunity to testify. 22 MR. DAVIS: You received our response to the 23 motion? 24 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Yes, I did, thank

1 you. Okay. So do you want to go ahead and call your 2 first witness? 3 MR. NORTHRUP: Yeah. I call Steve Grothus. 4 5 STEVE GROTHUS called as a witness herein, having been first duly 6 sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 7 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY 8 9 MR. NORTHRUP: 10 Ο. Why don't you go ahead and state your name for the record? 11 1.2 Α. Steve Grothus. And are you currently employed? 13 Q. Yes, with ESG Watts. 14 Α. Okay. What do you do at ESG Watts? 15 Q. I'm a project manager for the Landfill 16 17 Division. Okay. What exactly does that mean? What are 18 some of your duties as a project manager? 19 Duties, take care of customer contacts as far 20 Α. as sales, things like that. I also manage 21 construction projects and special waste projects, 22 23 remediation projects for our company. What do you mean construction projects? 24 Q.

1 do you mean by that?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

- A. Landfill construction projects, specific to permits probably, or complying with permits or what have you, things like that.
- Q. So you're generally familiar with the permits that have been issued for the Taylor Ridge Landfill?
 - A. Most of them, yes.
 - Q. How long have you been at ESG Watts?
 - A. I started in 1983 in the fall.
- Q. Describe for me some of your -- your educational background.
- A. High school degree. I have a college degree in economics and business administration from St.

 Ambrose University.
- 15 | Q. Where's that?
 - A. Davenport, Iowa.
 - Q. Where did you go to high school at?
- 18 A. Assumption High School.
- 19 Q. Where's that?
- 20 A. Davenport, Iowa.
- 21 | Q. Do you have any other formal education?
- A. Worked a little bit on my MBA. I've completed the CHMM testing and passed proficiency,

24 | Certified Hazardous Materials Manager.

Q. So you do have that license? You are a CHMM?

- A. It's not a license. It's just a
- 3 certification of competency in the field.
- Q. What are some of the things that -- strike that.
- Do you have to take a test to become certified?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- Q. What are some of the things that they test
 you on?
- A. I think there's probably 38 different topic areas in it.
- 12 Q. Just generally.
- A. Everything from the Code of Federal
- 14 Regulations, 29 CFR, 49 CFR, 40 CFR, OSHA, SARA,
- 15 CERCLA, TSCA.
- 16 Q. Is that something that --
- A. Just all types of -- it's a general knowledge of the industry.
- 19 Q. And again, what industry is that?
- 20 A. The hazardous materials industry.
- Q. Is there any kind of continuing certification that you have to --
- 23 A. Yes, there is.
- 24 O. What's that?

- A. You have to create -- complete so many -- I

 forget what they're called -- credit hours and

 competency hours to maintain it over a period of three

 years. It's like, I think, 12 hours or 15. They

 issue you credits on things, classes that you take,

 things that you do, things like that.
 - Q. Okay. Have you kept up with that?
 - A. I'm lacking in it. I think I have like four or five more credits to obtain, but I have like another year to get it.
 - Q. You're still a certified CHMM?
- 12 A. Yeah, yes.

8

9

10

11

- Q. Let me show you what's already been marked as
 People's Exhibit Number 5. Why don't you take a look
 at the third or fourth page on there.
- 16 | A. The map?
 - Q. Yeah. Take a look at the map.
- 18 A. Okay.
- 19 Q. Now, that map shows two ponds?
- A. Uh-huh.
- Q. What are those referred to as on there?
- 22 A. Whitley's pond and a landfill pond.
- Q. Okay. Are you familiar with both of those
- 24 | ponds?

1 A. Yes.

- O. Does the landfill pond still exist?
- 3 A. No.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

- Q. What happened to that pond?
 - A. That was due to construction activities as we moved from -- the face of the fill from the northwest to the northeast. It's created when you expand through.
 - Q. Now, if I use the term the Whitley retention pond, do you understand what I'm talking about?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Is that the Whitley retention pond?
- 13 A. No, it's not.
 - Q. I should say when I use that term Whitley retention pond, what do you understand that to mean?
- A. That's a pond area -- or it's not -- well,
 yeah, I guess it is. You'd call it a little pond area
 that was created by him putting a berm across his
 property to cut off water that was entering the
 Whitley lake or Whitley pond.
 - Q. Do you know when that was created?
- A. I believe sometime around 1989.
- Q. What happened to the water in that landfill pond?

- A. It either moved to the east or possibly could have been discharged out. I'm not -- where that pond is now it's landfill actually today. I mean it's a hill now.
 - Q. Let me show you what's been marked as People's Exhibit 6.
 - A. Uh-huh. It's a NPDES permit.
 - Q. Are you familiar with that permit?
 - A. Relatively speaking, yeah. I didn't prepare it or anything.
- Q. Now, on the second page of at least my copy there are references to discharge number and name?
- A. Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

21

22

23

- 14 Q. And it's got 001?
- 15 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Ponded storm water on north side of the landfill?
- 18 A. Correct.
- Q. What is your understanding of what that ponded storm water is?
 - A. Those are ponds that were created as we, like I say, as we excavated from the northwest to the northeast. You have to dig out down to your bottom elevation, and as you do that, you're usually like

maybe three or four, maybe even six months ahead of your landfilling activities, and as you do that, as precipitation comes in the landfill, ponds are created. And in order to remove the water to move into those areas, you have to pump it out.

Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

21

- A. And in order to do that, you need a NPDES permit to do that.
- Q. Would you from time to time pump water out of those ponds?
 - A. Yes, we would.
- Q. Where did you pump that water to?
- 13 A. To what's designated as discharge point 001.
 - O. And what is that? Where is it?
 - A. It's a natural ravine more northeast than northwest. I guess you would refer to where Siebke's property comes up, the ravine at Siebke's property.

 Wayne Siebke to the north of us.
- 18 Wayne Siebke to the north of us.
- Q. When was the last time you pumped water from 20 -- you pumped ponded storm water?
 - A. Correct.
 - O. When was that the last time?
- A. Oh, let me see. It would have been last summer after receiving a -- what we referred to as a

nonwaste disposal permit area, an area that defines where we did not have garbage in by October 9 of '93.

Q. Describe for me that area.

- A. It's on the northeast corner of the landfill, not necessarily the corner but in approximately 150 feet from the north boundary lines in the northeast corner and approximately 100 feet to the east of the east boundary of the garbage area or the landfill area in there. It was a big depression with garbage all the way around it.
- Q. Other than that nonwaste disposal area, when was the last time you pumped ponded storm water pursuant to this permit?
- A. After we put that in or before? I don't I quess --
- Q. Yeah. Before the nonwaste disposal area was there.
- A. Oh, we pumped routinely through the years all along there. It wasn't necessarily that area. But for this permit we pumped routinely as we moved across it all the time. Not all the time but when we needed to.
 - Q. When did you need to pump?
 - A. Usually when the water got to an excessive

level where you couldn't operate or we were ready to move into that area. You know, it was at our discretion when we pumped, so we pumped when it was advantageous to us to pump or -- yeah, I guess that -- whenever we needed to.

- Q. Is it your understanding that this permit that allows you to discharge ponded storm water would apply to the Whitley retention pond?
 - A. No. I wouldn't consider that at all.
- Q. Now, you indicated you were familiar with what I've referred to as the Whitley retention pond.
 - A. Correct.

- Q. How are you familiar with that?
- A. That was a depression on the northwest corner of the landfill that was created presumably to cut off water that was coming from all those areas, the Watts landfill, possibly even to the west on Whitley's property. That's a ravine area that water from all that area goes into one area. Years and years ago that was a natural ravine that went through there.
 - Q. Describe that area for me.
- A. It's hilly. Prior to us excavating through there, there was a natural depression ran all the way up there from the north to the south or south to the

north, however you want to put it, and as we went through there and landfilled, that ravine obviously was taken out, liner put in, and garbage brought up.

And in doing that, like I said, about 1989, in order to cut off water that was running down off the site,

Mr. Whitley put a berm across there, whatever you want to call it, and obviously backed up water right there.

- Q. Have you ever dredged the Whitley retention pond?
- A. Yes.

- Q. How many times?
- A. Personally I was involved with one incident, but I know prior to that it was dredged, I don't know, eight, ten times maybe.
 - Q. Do you have any idea when it was dredged?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 | Q. Do you know why it was dredged?
 - A. To keep the siltation out of it, to keep the water level down on it to reduce water from -- I guess on a big rain event after he put it in, it went out one time and he put it back in, and then we cleaned it out a few times after that, but the dates we cleaned it I don't know. The one time I'm very much familiar with was back in '95.

- Q. Let's talk about that for a while. Do you know when exactly in '95 you did this dredging?
 - A. It was around June, I believe, we started it.
 - Q. And what exactly did you do?

7.4

- A. There was some concern by Mr. Whitley at that time about it, and I don't know how I ended up talking to him, but I did. I went down there, met with Joe, looked at the situation, and said really -- we'd been -- we had been taking some of the dredgings and putting them behind us on the hillside. Obviously that wasn't going to work. So, what -- I took it upon myself to go down there with our 400 excavator. We completely cleaned that entire area out.
 - Q. What's a 400 excavator?
- A. It's a hundred ton track hoe excavating machine. It's the biggest piece of equipment we got to dig with. We went down and completely cleaned out all the siltation, everything that had accumulated behind that dike right up against his dike, I guess you call it. We hauled all of that out, 40, 50, 60 truckloads of it, put it near the active area of where we were landfilling at that time. It was fairly dry and we wanted to dry it out. Then I brought in a combination of blue clays and whatever we were digging

at that time, brought it back into that area. We brought that area up approximately level with Mr. Whitley's dike, I guess you'd call it.

He was concerned about the fence that he lost. We understood that he lost two fences that he put in there. And the way it was being handled at that time wasn't the right way, I didn't feel, so I went down and talked with him. We struck a line where we felt the property line was, brought in blue clay, recompacted it up, set a brand new fence in there for him.

After that, it was obvious that if a diversion wasn't built, we were going to have the same problem we had before. So instead of where they had the diversion at that time, which was too low to divert the water, we brought a diversion up about maybe 40 or 50 feet above that fence area and started a diversion across, running from west to east so the water that did hit that area hopefully would have entered the area of the 001 discharge or in that general vicinity. Reduce the amount of water that was going in there is what we tried to do.

- Q. Has that diversion been successful?
- A. Yeah, it has I think. Relatively speaking.

I mean obviously, there's still water from where the diversion is and below going into there, but I don't think it's -- it's not nearly as bad as it was, no.

- Q. Where does the water get diverted to?
- A. It gets diverted to the east.

Q. Now, let me show you what I have not marked but I'll refer to it as Respondent's Exhibit 6. They are three photographs that the Attorney General has not seen.

MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: I was going to say we've not seen those photographs.

Ms. Hearing Officer, I would just like to make a statement for the record. I believe the production of these photographs at this point in time is clearly an abuse of the discovery process. These were not previously provided to the Attorney General's office, and the dates on the back of the photographs, at least on one of them, indicates August 23, 1995. These have been in the possession of ESG Watts since at least that time and have not been produced to the Attorney General's office, and we would object to their use at this point in the hearing.

MR. NORTHRUP: Yeah. My response is in their request for documents they wanted whatever documents I

was intending to rely upon at hearing. At the time I responded to that I had no intention of relying on these at hearing. Since that time there was testimony by Mr. Whitley that during this dredging operation they scooped up the silt and put it on the side of the landfill. They didn't haul it away or anything.

These pictures show that in fact the silt was hauled away. It was not just left there to simply run back into his retention pond. So it's kind of a rebuttal type of thing.

HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Well, we've had a month and a half between hearings, and you have a duty to supplement timely, and it seems to me that within that month and a half you could have gotten these to the Attorney General's office. So I am not going to admit these exhibits.

- Q. What did you do with the silt that was removed from the Whitley retention pond?
- A. The silt went to the east of the -- of that area. That's the northwest corner of the landfill. It went approximately to the northeast corner of the landfill in the active working area.
- Q. And how many truckloads do you think you took out of there?

- A. 40, 50, 60 maybe. We dug it clear down to where -- oh, geez, we dug it -- I don't know how deep. That area was approximately maybe 150, 200 feet long by 30, 40 feet wide.
- Q. Okay. Now, you testified that you put blue clay back in that area.
 - A. Blue and various other clays, right.
 - O. Where did that come from?

1.2

- A. From the borrow pit area to the north of -excuse me, to the south of the landfill, not in the
 permitted landfill area but in area we own to the
 south.
- Q. How long did this whole process take from beginning to end?
- A. Well, that immediate -- the removal and the blue clay was taken care of in a couple, three days, I believe. It was done right away. There was other things done as the summer months went on, but the majority of it was done then.
- Q. Okay. What were some of those other things that were done?
- A. Like putting back up the fence, putting up
 the diversion above that, water diversion above that.

 We solved that problem immediately when I saw it. And

through the summer months we took care of other things in that corner area.

- Q. What were some of those things?
- A. Well, like I say, the diversion, we seeded some areas down over there, put up silt fencing over there, kind of waited and seen to what happened, you know, with the rainfall and that and how it was going to fill, things like that. The majority of the work, though, was done within that three-day period of time.
- Q. Okay. I want to focus on the gas management system for a while.
 - A. Okay.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

74

- Q. You are aware that there's a gas management system being installed at the landfill?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Are you familiar with the -- and that system is being installed pursuant to a permit?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And are you familiar with that permit?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. What involvement have you had with the installation of the gas management system?
- A. Overseeing all the construction activities for Mr. Watts.

Q. As a practical matter, what does that mean?

1

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- To make sure a lot of what was in the permit 2 Α. 3 was complied with, more day-to-day operational things 4 than technical things, you know. I have more of a 5 construction background than I do a technical 6 background. We wanted to ensure that things were done 7 right. I had never seen a project like this taking place, so I was very interested in seeing how it 8 worked on that level and also making sure that if 9 there was a problem, if the drillers needed something, 10 11 you know, they weren't from this area, so if they 12 needed parts or whatever, I was able to help them, just basically do whatever it took to help them 13 14 expedite the project.
 - Q. What is your understanding of what was to be done with the spoils from the drilling operations?
 - A. Deposit them with the daily receipts of the active area.
 - MR. NORTHRUP: Do you have the People's photographs? I'm looking for Exhibit 18.

HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Yes.

Q. Do you recall -- let the record reflect you're looking at three photographs which have been previously marked as People's Exhibit 18. Do you

recall when Mr. Whitley testified about these photographs?

A. Yes, I do.

3

4

5

- Q. Is it your recollection that -- is there any writing on the back of these photographs?
 - A. Yes, there is.
- Q. Do they indicate what date these photographs were taken?
- 9 A. September 10th. All are September 10th.

 10 6:55 p.m. --
- 11 | HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Of 1996, correct?
- A. Of 1996, excuse me. One's at 6:55, one's at 7, and one is at 7:05, in that order I guess it is.
- Q. Were you present at the landfill on September 15 10th, 1996?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Were you involved with activities related to the installation of the gas system on that date?
- 19 A. Yes, I was.
- 20 Q. What were you doing?
- A. Overseeing the drilling operations at that time.
- Q. Tell me what transpired from approximately 6:50 to 7:30 that day.

A. This day they were finishing up a hole. This is the northeast corner of the landfill. The first photograph shows the drilling rig fully set up with its mast up. As I recall, they were just finishing up a hole, drilling one of these holes.

- Q. Had they pulled spoils?
- A. Yes.

б

- Q. Okay.
- A. Yes, they had.
- Q. What did they do with those spoils?
- A. Some were on the ground. Some were in the roll-off truck that you see in the 6:55 photograph. The roll-off truck obviously is full. The roll-off box was full of spoils at that time. The remaining spoils -- because we're on the side of the hill, the operator takes those remaining spoils and brings them up close to the roll-off box because you have to load off level ground. You couldn't take the truck down the hill to load it. So they'd scoop it up, and because the box was full, they would carry it up and stockpile it there.
 - Q. Okay. What happened to those spoils?
- A. They were put in the daily face of the fill that night.

- Q. On that date were any spoils left uncovered at the landfill?
 - A. No, they were not.
- Q. On that first photograph, I believe it's the 6:55?
 - A. Uh-huh.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

- Q. What's the condition of the drill rig?
- A. It's in a fully operating drilling mode right there. The mast is fully extended. The stabilizers are down. The tracks are turned to a stable position.
- Q. Okay. Do you see the drill rig in any of the other photographs?
- A. No, I do not.
 - Q. How easy is it to move that drill rig?
 - A. Well, one man can move the rig because it's very mobile and it was a custom-made machine by this drilling company. However, the time it takes to knock this rig down from that fully mast position --
- Q. What do you mean by knock it down?
- A. Well, take it down so it could be mobile, it could be moved to the next hole. It takes maybe 15 to 20 minutes.
- Q. So in the first photograph it cannot be moved in that state with the mast up?

A. No, no.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. So tell me what exactly do you have to do prior to moving it?
- A. The mast is fully extended. That has to be retracted down. The stabilizers all have to come up, not necessarily at one time because the rig could tip.
 - O. What are the stabilizers?
- There's four hydraulic stabilizers on the Α. corners of the rig. It has to be on a level situation so you drill a straight hole. If it's off, you'll drill at an angle. You'll see a dozer also in the right side. That's usually to go in there and create what's called a bench so -- there's very little stabilization when you get there. The rig can't be tilted one way or the other. It has to be square. When you're on the side of a hill, the stabilizer won't extend all the way down to level out the rig, so sometimes you have to cut it out and create what's called a bench. And when you're on the side of a hill, it takes a lot to set it up and it takes a lot to get it out of there also, because it's a steep area.
 - Q. Okay. So again, how long would it take to

1 | get that machine ready to be moved?

- A. 15 minutes.
 - Q. Okay.

- A. At least.
- Q. How fast does that machine move once it's ready to be moved?
- A. About walking speed. It's on what's called a 990 DLC track hoe frame and there's only one speed on it, which is extremely low. So you can walk faster than the machine can move. And the pictures from 6:55 to 7:05 if they were all taken in the same -- it's impossible to move that rig from that position out of that position in the third picture in ten minutes. There's no way you can do it. These pictures could not have been taken from 6:55 to 7:05. You couldn't have the mast down by 7:05 over here. Especially in that area, that's -- it would take them 30 minutes to move it out of that picture at least. And this is late at night in the --

MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: Ms. Hearing Officer, I'm going to object here. I think a new question has to be put to this witness.

HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Yes.

MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: He's not responding to

any question from counsel. 1

> HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Yes.

Α. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.4

15

76

17

18

19

20

23

- Are you aware of any occasion where refuse --Q. well, spoils from the installation of the gas system were left uncovered overnight?
 - Yes, I am. Α.
- Ο. Okav. When was that?
 - The next day. Α.
- Which would be? 10 Q.
- September 11th, I believe it was a Wednesday. 11 Α. 12 Yeah.
- And explain that for me. 13 Q.
- The next morning the drillers arrived. Α. always got there real early, and I arrived at the site probably around 8, 8:30. And there was a thunderstorm coming in and they were in the middle of drilling the hole after this left this position to another position. In a thunderstorm you have to drop the mast whether it's raining or not. Obviously, it's a giant lightning rod, and they were on top of the hill. 21 the time I got to the top of the hill, it was raining 22 unbelievably hard, extremely hard. Consequently, the pull-off cable that pulls what's called the kelly to

empty the box broke.

- O. Explain that. What's the kelly? What --
- A. The kelly is the actual steel rod that does the drilling. The mast supports it, the kelly comes down, the bucket's attached to the kelly. The kelly extends like an antenna out to 120 feet. As you drill down and get a load of garbage in your bucket, which is approximately three feet in diameter by three feet tall, it comes out of the hole, and then there's a cable with a pulley on it that pulls the kelly off to the side. You drop it, hammer it against the side of the rig to drop the garbage and spoils out. Okay? That pulley gets a lot of tension. You replace them -- from what the drillers told me, it's not uncommon to replace them monthly because nobody can build one strong enough to last.

That morning that pulley broke. And because it was raining, we were in our office trying to figure out where we were going to get one of these. They had started a hole that morning. We couldn't find on the phone anyone. So I knew of a Jensen's Welding down at Davenport, Iowa, that could manufacture one from scratch. So we proceeded down there. It was probably around 11:00 and still raining. Jensen's told us it

wouldn't be ready until approximately 5:00, so we ate lunch, went and shot our bows at a sporting goods place, came back at 5:00, the pulley was done.

By this time it had cleared up. We went back to the landfill. It was right around 5:00 when we got back that day at the landfill. I went to open the gate, and we were in the drilling rig's company truck, which is a four-wheel-drive one-ton Ford. We couldn't even get up the hill with that truck it had rained so much and it was just so muddy. It was ungodly muddy. I forget who made the comment, one of his laborers or someone said there was still garbage, a little garbage left from that morning's work. And I said, well --should we go up and get it? And I said, well, even if we go up and get it, we can't get that truck down the hill that night, because it's a straight truck, roll-off truck.

- Q. Yeah. What's that truck?
- A. That's in one of these pictures. It's a truck you see in the picture. So I made the decision, no one else, to leave the -- leave the spoils where they were. Even if we got them loaded up, we couldn't get the truck down the hill. I wasn't willing to drive it down the hill and I wasn't expecting anybody

else to. Because it had rained so hard, I didn't see any harm in it. So we left the spoils there. The next morning we got inspected, and we got written up for having exposed garbage from the drilling operation from the previous day.

- Q. Let's move on to another area. Have you ever known the landfill to accept waste in the middle of the night?
 - A. No.
- Q. Have you ever known the landfill to accept waste between 11:30 and 1:00 at night?
- 12 A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

- Q. Would it be common for equipment to be running at the landfill in the middle of the night?
 - A. No. Operating? No.
- Q. Is it possible that Watts' personnel might have been operating on equipment late at night?
- MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: Object. That calls for speculation.
- Q. Well, if you know, do you have any personal --
 - A. Servicing equipment?
- Q. Do you have any personal knowledge of Watts' servicing equipment late at night?

- A. Late by what do you mean? 1 in the morning, no, not that late.
 - Q. Well, what do you have knowledge of?
- A. 11:00 maybe, 11:30 possibly at the very latest.

- Q. What would you consider servicing equipment?
- A. Daily greasing, oil changes, air filter changes, fueling up for the next day.
 - Q. The engines could have been running?
- A. Possibly, sure. Or we service inside. We fuel up outside. So it's possible you could take it from one area, fuel it up. Usually the last thing they do is fuel it up before they get ready to go.
- Q. Yeah. Tell me -- what's the layout of the servicing area? What's that like? Is there a building?
- A. Yeah. It's probably 160 by 60, the main building, and the fuel island is probably 30 feet to the north, in a real close proximity to the service area.
 - Q. But to fuel up, you're going to have to -you don't have a hose that goes from the fuel isle
 into the service area?
 - A. No. Have to take it outside. Heavens, no.

Q. I guess I should say, too, is your office located in the landfill?

- A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Let's move on to another area. Do you know what a Sig Mod is?
 - A. Yes.

3

6

7

8

- Q. Has a Sig Mod been submitted for this facility?
- 9 A. Yes, it has.
 - Q. When was that?
- A. The initial one I believe was in 1994, if I'm not mistaken.
- 13 \ Q. What happened to that submittal?
- 14 A. It was denied.
- Q. Was any basis given for that denial?
- 16 A. There were nine denial points that I recall.
- Q. How were those denial points conveyed to you through Watts?
- 19 A. With a letter from the Agency.
- Q. What did Watts do in response to that denial
- 21 | letter?
- A. We discussed the denial points with the
- 23 | engineering firm that put the Sig Mod together,
- 24 | CH2M-Hill, and decided to get a meeting with the

- 1 | Illinois EPA to discuss those denial points.
- Q. Did you in fact have a meeting?
- 3 A. Yes, we did.

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. When was that?
- A. The date -- it was right after the meeting with Mr. Davis and that down here last summer I believe, the day after.
 - Q. What's been referred to as the 31(d) meeting?
 - A. Yes, that's correct.
 - Q. Who attended that meeting?
- A. From ESG Watts Tom Jones and myself,

 CH2M-Hill Steve Keith, who is the lead engineer, their

 main hydrogeologist Jewel someone I believe.
 - Q. CH2M-Hill's geologist?
 - A. CH2M-Hill. And then from the Agency Krishna was there, Joyce Munie I believe was there, their hydrogeologist Gwenyth Thompson was there. That's who I recall. I think there were a couple others. I don't remember who all else was there at the time.
 - Q. What was discussed at that meeting?
 - A. We discussed the nine points one at a time and expressed our concern that we felt some of them were kind of petty, and actually, we solved three or four of them within 30 minutes. And then Jewel and

Gwenyth started discussing technical matters of groundwater monitoring models and things like that, something I'm not that familiar with. We just went through the denial points to see how we could adjust or fix them to meet the Agency's requirements.

- O. Was a resubmittal discussed?
- A. Yes, it was.

- Q. And what was said about that?
- A. There was discussion on how we could resubmit in order to get the Sig Mod in in a more expeditious manner and a more cost savings manner not only to us but to the Illinois EPA so they wouldn't have to review an entire Sig Mod again, let's just talk about the main nine points of denial, let's address those and get on with everybody's business.
- Q. Is it -- was it your understanding that you reached an agreement with respect to that resubmittal?
- A. Yes, there was.
- Q. And what was that agreement?
- A. I left with the impression that the nine points of denial would be resubmitted to the Illinois EPA as long as there was a certification cover letter on the front stating that nothing else in the Sig Mod was changed.

- Q. And was in fact that resubmittal made?
- 2 A. Yes, it was.

3

- Q. And did it include this certification?
- 4 A. Yes, it did.
- Q. Now, did you subsequently find out that the Agency did not consider that resubmittal a formal submittal of a Sig Mod?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 0. When was that?
- A. The first day of the hearings like a month ago, this hearing. I think it was a Wednesday, I believe.
- 13 \ Q. And what did you do in response to that?
- 14 A. I called Joyce Munie immediately.
- 15 Q. And what did she say?
- A. She didn't say anything. I left a message and she did not return my phone call.
- 18 Q. What has Watts done since that time?
- A. Since that time, as you know, the last
 hearing that we had in this case we decided that a
 formal full-blown resubmittal of the Sig Mod would
 have to be done and we did that.
 - Q. When was that done? Do you know?
- 24 A. I think it was sent in a couple, three, four

1 | weeks ago, something like that.

- Q. Does that -- did that formal resubmittal contain the documentation that was previously submitted to the Agency --
 - A. Yes, it did.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- O. -- as the resubmittal?
- A. As far as I know it did, yes.
 - Q. Let me show you what I've marked -- what's been marked as People's Exhibit 24. Can you just identify that for me?
- A. It's an Illinois Environmental Protection
 Agency Air Pollution Odor Log prepared by Jerry
 Martens.
 - Q. Have you ever seen that before?
- A. No, I have not.
- Q. Well, is today the first time you've seen it?
- 17 A. No, I saw it yesterday.
- Q. If this document were sent to the landfill, would it have come to you?
- A. I don't know. Depending on who sends it. I think Shirley would have opened this, seen this and given it to me definitely. I was one person that was there at that time.
- 24 | HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Who's Shirley?

Q. Who's Shirley?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

- A. My secretary. When she opens the mail, if she saw something from the Illinois EPA, she would have definitely put it on my desk.
 - Q. Switch gears again. Let me show you what I've marked as Respondent's Exhibit 7. Can you identify that for me?
 - A. This is an analysis from off-site groundwater monitoring -- groundwater wells that we had installed in summer of '95, I believe.
 - Q. What is the Hanson field?
- A. The Hanson field is property directly east of what we consider the closed area of the landfill. I guess you would call it east central of the landfill on Chuck Hanson's property, our neighbor.
- Q. What's the designation -- one of these pages, the first page says Hanson Field S.
- A. Uh-huh.
 - Q. And the second page is Hanson Field D.
- A. One's a shallow well designated by S, deep designated for deep. The two wells, it's a nested area right there.
 - Q. Now, who is Beling Consultants?
 - A. Beling Consultants is the firm that we have

under contract to sample and analyze almost all of our groundwater monitoring, NPDES -- analytical -- they're an analytical lab. As far as our groundwater monitoring, they do all our sampling.

- Q. How long have you used them?
- A. I've used them for years. I believe they've been doing wells for us for maybe five or six years.
 - Q. Now, is it Beling that took these samples?
- 9 A. Yes, it was.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. Is it Beling that analyzed these samples?
- 11 A. Yes, it was.
- Q. Was this record made near the time when the sampling occurred?
- A. As far as I'm concerned, yeah. Yeah, I'm

 15 sure it was.
- Q. Is it the regular practice of Watts to have such records made?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. Is this record kept in the course of Watts'
 regular business activity?
- 21 A. Yes, it is.
- MR. NORTHRUP: I would go ahead and move to have this admitted.
- 24 | HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Any objection?

1	MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: No.
2	HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Then it's admitted.
3	(Respondent's Exhibit Number 7
4	admitted.)
5	Q. Does the landfill have all of its records,
6	whether they be original permits, original permit
7	applications, going back to the 1980s?
8	A. No. There was a fire where we lost 95
9	percent of our original records. I believe the fire
10	was in '86 or '87, I don't recall.
11	Q. Do you know was the with any greater
12	specificity, do you know if the fire was before or
13	after July 10, 1986?
14	A. I believe it was after.
15	MR. NORTHRUP: I don't have any further
16	questions.
17	HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Okay.
18	Cross-examination.
19	MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: May we take a brief
20	recess for just five minutes?
21	HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Sure.
22	MR. NORTHRUP: Can we take it for 15 so I can
23	go make my telephone call?
24	HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Sure. Let's go ahead

```
and do that.
 1
 2
                    (A recess was taken.)
              HEARING OFFICER FRANK: All right. Let's go
 3
 4
     back on the record.
 5
              MR. NORTHRUP: Actually, can I do one thing
     first?
 6
              HEARING OFFICER FRANK:
 7
              MR. NORTHRUP: I didn't move to offer --
 8
 9
              HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Exhibit 7.
10
              MR. NORTHRUP: 7, yeah. I don't think so.
11
              HEARING OFFICER FRANK: I thought that you
12
     did and there was no objection.
13
              MR. NORTHRUP: I just wanted to make sure.
              HEARING OFFICER FRANK: It's admitted.
14
         Ms. Symons-Jackson.
15
16
              MS. SYMONS-JACKSON:
                                    Thank you.
                   CROSS-EXAMINATION BY
17
18
                   MS. SYMONS-JACKSON:
19
              Mr. Grothus, to try to go back through your
         Q.
     testimony and keep things in the best order I can.
20
21
         Α.
              Okay.
              Starting off with this CHMM certification you
22
23
     said you had?
         A. Yes, uh-huh.
24
```

- Q. How does that certification assist you in your job duties for ESG Watts at the Taylor Ridge facility?
- A. Because I do special waste permits for our landfills, things like that. I review TCLP analysis for that, things like that. It helps me in that to understand the chemistry behind it and how to read analytical, things like that. It makes me aware of what needs to be done as far as like material safety data sheets. And we do a lot of transportation. We used to do a lot of hazardous materials transportation years ago. That's what is the reason for it, I guess. It was more for me than it was the company.
- Q. And does the Taylor Ridge Landfill currently accept any special wastes?
 - A. Yes, we do.
- 17 O. What are those?
 - A. We have a variety of generics right now, and we have some stand-alone company permits. In other words, generator specific permits.
 - Q. Moving on to talk about this retention pond --
- 23 A. Okay.

Q. -- that I believe you've described as being

- 1 | located in the northwest corner of the landfill?
- 2 A. Correct.
- Q. First of all, isn't this retention pond covered by the NPDES permit?
- A. No. The one that's there today? That's what you're referring to, correct?
 - Q. Yes.

- 8 A. No. It's not.
- 9 Q. Where specifically is this retention pond 10 located?
- 11 A. The northwest corner on the Whitley property.
- Q. Well, isn't it true that a portion of this retention pond is located on the landfill property?
- 14 A. Today?
- 15 Q. Yes.
- 16 A. Not that I'm aware of, no.
- Q. In 1995 before you dredged it, wasn't a portion of it located on the landfill property?
- A. There wasn't really any water there when we dredged it. It was dry.
- Q. But a portion of the area that you have referred to as the retention pond --
- A. Yes, it was.
- 24 Q. Okay. I think you indicated that you've been

1 | employed by the landfill since 1983?

A. Uh-huh.

3

7

8

9

10

- Q. Correct?
- A. November I believe, yes.
- Q. The first instance you were involved in the dredging of this retention pond was in 1995, correct?
 - A. That I was directly related to it, yes. I was aware of dredging prior to that.
 - Q. I think you said it had been dredged eight to ten times prior to that?
 - A. Something like that.
- Q. And I think your testimony was that
 immediately upon learning of the problem with this
 retention pond, the silt buildup, you responded. Was
 that correct?
- A. When it was brought to my personal attention, yes.
- 18 | Q. And that was in 1995?
- A. Yes, it was. I had -- let me -- can I elaborate on that?
- Q. Well, let me ask you another question, okay?
- 22 A. Sure.
- Q. So prior to 1995 you were not involved at all with the problems with this retention pond?

- A. I was aware of it.
- Q. Now, you indicated that 40 to 60 truckloads
 of silt --
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- 5 Q. -- were removed from that retention pond?
- A. Uh-huh.

1

4

8

9

10

11

12

15

- 7 Q. That's an awful lot of silt, don't you think?
 - A. That's a lot of silt, yes, ma'am. We overexcavated not only where that retention pond was, we brought it all the way back to probably where the liner -- we probably came pretty close to the liner, I
- Q. I'm sorry, what size were the trucks that you were using?
 - A. They are 35 ton Terex haul trucks.

would anticipate, judging from the pictures.

- Q. And these were 40 to 60 full loads in these trucks?
- A. No, they're not full at all. The material gets soupy. You can only haul maybe ten yards.
- Because when you went up the hill, you'd lose it out the back, so we couldn't haul that much of it.
- Q. The silt was not dry when you moved it from the pond?
- 24 A. The top half was. As you get down in there

it gets soupy, it gets wet, uh-huh.

- Q. It was a storm water that had accumulated in the retention pond?
 - A. I assume so.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

- Q. Is it your -- wouldn't you agree that the silt and the water that was located in this retention pond had come from runoff from the landfill site?
 - A. Not totally, no.
 - Q. To a large extent?
 - A. Large being -- give me a percentage.
- 11 Q. I can't give you a percentage.
- A. It's hard for me to give you an answer. I
 don't know. I would say some. Yeah. Well, obviously
 a lot of it was.
 - Q. Don't you agree, Steve, that there are or were in 1995 erosional problems at the landfill?
 - A. Where at?
- 18 Q. In the northwest corner, northeast corner?
- A. Yeah, there were some erosion problems,
 things like that because the seed hadn't taken. Some
 seed had; some seed didn't. There were some problems
 there.
- Q. In fact, that was one of the reasons why you indicated that you installed this diversion trench in

an attempt to divert water away from this retention pond?

- A. I was more interested in the water than I was the silt, yes.
- Q. Now, these eight to ten prior dredgings prior to 1995, where was the silt taken when it was removed?
- A. From what I understand, they threw it behind them up on the side of the hill.
 - Q. That would be on the northwest slope?
- 10 A. Yes, ma'am.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

15

1.6

- Q. And what happened to that silt that was put on the northwest slope of the hill? Didn't it just run right back down into the retention pond?
- A. I assume that's exactly what it did, yes.
 - Q. Now, going back to the NPDES permit for discharge point 001 --
- 17 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. -- the permit is not limited only to pumping, is it, sampling pumped storm water?
- A. 001 that's how I interpreted it back then,
 21 yes.
- Q. Well, can you look at what we've marked as People's Exhibit 6, which is that NPDES permit, and tell me where it limits it to pumping?

- A. Ponded storm water north side of landfill.
 - Q. That doesn't say pumping.

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

- A. I don't know how you would take ponded storm
 water off the site if you didn't pump it.
 - Q. It wouldn't discharge in flow on its own?
 - A. It could. This permit -- that wasn't the intent of the ponded storm water permit, no, not at all. It was to regulate the discharge with pumps.
 - Q. Doesn't the water from the retention pond discharge over the banks of the retention pond on its own and flow by gravity?
 - A. It has done that from what I understand. But that pond isn't on our property.
 - Q. There's a fence located through the middle of that retention pond, isn't there?
 - A. No. It's on the -- the fence would be on the south side of that retention pond today.
 - Q. When that fence was first installed in the retention pond --
- A. The one that we installed, is that what you are -- the '95 one?
 - Q. Yeah. What about prior to '95, was there a fence in the retention pond at that point?
 - A. I understand there was a couple that was put

up there by Mr. Whitley, yes.

- Q. And I think in your prior testimony that's what you indicated, and then in 1995 after the dredging ESG Watts installed a fence, correct?
 - A. Correct.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

- Q. Prior to 1995 when that fence was installed, wasn't the fence installed at what you assumed to be the boundary line between the two properties?
 - A. I would assume.
- Q. And the fence then at that time was in the middle of the pond?
- A. It may have been if there was a lot of water in it. If it was dry -- it depends. I mean it depends, you know.
 - Q. Well, now, you're the project manager at the site?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Didn't you ever prior to 1995 take a look at that retention pond?
- A. I was down -- I didn't consider it a
 retention pond back then. I -- I guess it was a
 retention pond back then after he put the dike up, you
 could call it that, yeah. And I did see water on both
 sides of the fence. You mean was the fence in the

middle? 1 Uh-huh, yes. 2 Q. When there was a lot of water in there, yeah, 3 Α. uh-huh. 4 5 Q. Was it your understanding that that fence represented the boundary line between the two 6 7 properties? I had some question at that time about it. 8 9 couldn't relate coordinate points -- at that time you 10 couldn't really coordinate one from the other where 11 the actual line was. I mean you could make some 12 assumptions based on some of the pictures I've seen, 13 sure. 14 Okay, now, Steve, going on to this -- the Ο. photographs that you looked at from, I believe, 15 16 People's Exhibit 9 or was it 19? 17 MR. NORTHRUP: 18. Α. The three? 18 19 Q. Three photographs? 20 Α. Sure. 21 Right. From the installation of the gas extraction wells? 22 Correct, uh-huh. 23 Α.

The first -- the set of photographs you

24

Q.

looked at were from September 10 of this year?

A. Correct, uh-huh.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. Were you personally present during the events at the time those photographs were taken from approximately 6:55 to 7:05?
 - A. I was somewhere on the site, yeah, uh-huh.
 - Q. Well, somewhere on the site. It's a --
 - A. Yeah. I was involved with the drilling operations. It was at night. It was after my regular hours. That's usually when I went up there.
 - Q. What are your regular hours?
- A. It depends on who you talk to. I guess 8 to 5, you know, for the sake of the argument, yeah.
- Q. So on this night you were there after your regular hours?
- A. Many nights I was after my regular hours, yes.
- Q. Now, during the actual drilling of this well that we see in this photograph -- it's the one you looked at earlier.
 - A. Uh-huh.
- HEARING OFFICER FRANK: These are People's
 Exhibit 18 for the record.
- MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: Right.

1 A. Uh-huh.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. Were you at that location where the well was being drilled or were you somewhere else on the landfill property?
- A. I could have been up on top of the hill somewhere or somewhere else. I couldn't tell you if I was there at that exact time. No, I couldn't tell you.
 - Q. Were you present during the installation of that well --
- 11 A. Sure.
- 12 | Q. -- at that time --
- 13 A. Sure.
- 14 | Q. -- that night?
- A. Yes. I don't even think that well -- well, yeah, I was, I was there, uh-huh.
- Q. Steve, did you personally observe the taking down of the drill rig and the moving of the drill rig?
 - A. No, I did not.
- Q. Do you know what time the drilling was finished on that night?
- A. I think they started -- I don't recall if
 they started another hole. I think they set up on
 another hole after that one that night. We left there

probably around 8:30, quarter till 9 that night, maybe 9:00.

- Q. Do you know what time they started taking down the drill rig at this location shown in the photograph?
- A. No. I don't. I assume it was between 6:55 7 and 7:05 based on these pictures.
 - Q. How late did you stay at the site that night?
 - A. Till dark.

3

4

5

8

- 10 | O. Which was what time?
- 11 A. 8:30, 9:00 I'd say, something like that.
- Q. Did you personally observe the disposal of the waste from the truck and roll-off box into the day's active area --
- 15 A. Yes, I did.
- 16 Q. -- on that night?
- A. Uh-huh. If I can explain, we left the 400
 with the ADC, alternate daily cover, hooked up to our
 400.
- 20 Q. Which is your bulldozer or --
- A. No, it's a track hoe excavator. And you use that to pull the tarp over. There were many nights that the last thing we did as we came down the hill was bring the spoils. If you took pictures at 8:00,

there would still be spoils many nights. If you took
a picture at 9:00 at night, if you could, there
wouldn't be. I mean we -- many times I fired the
machine up, pulled the ADC back. We left a hole in
the garbage. We'd back the truck in, drop it, put the
ADC back over the top. We did that many times, and it
was dark many times when we did it.

- Q. Okay. Now let me see if I understand this.

 During the construction of these gas extraction

 wells --
 - A. Uh-huh.

- Q. -- what we've been referring to as spoils, the refuse that's been pulled up --
 - A. Refuse, dirt, whatever comes out of the hole.
- Q. Okay, the refuse comes up and then it's deposited in either a truck or it's first in a truck and then the truck takes it to a roll-off box?
- A. No, no, it's -- the bucket comes out and the spoils are, I guess, wedged you would call it, and there's two flaps on the bucket. As you hit the side of the bucket and drop down on it, it pushes it to help relieve it out. It falls right next to the drilling rig.
 - Q. Just on the ground?

Right on the ground, correct. There's no way 1 Α. I -- it would be great if you could put it right into 2 a box. You can't. Then there was a JCB Load All, 3 it's a called, a four-wheel-drive vehicle that drags 4 it back, scoops it up and puts it into a roll-off box. 5 Usually they would fill the box and move the rest of 6 7 it out of the way. And because they only had -- there was a lot of times I was doing this because they had a 8 9 laborer that didn't always show up, so I would go up 10 and help them out. We'd get it out in one big shot. It was a one-man job usually. And the other guy was 11 12 preparing wells for installation. So if I saw the 13 truck full and I saw a pile of spoils, I'd just jump in the truck and go drop it, come back up, load the 14 15 roll-off box back up, take it back down. I'd just qo ahead and do it. 16

- Q. During the course of a day when they were constructing these gas extraction wells, you would wait until the roll-off box was full?
 - A. Usually.

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. And then take it down to the active --
- A. Usually.
- Q. -- area and then deposit the waste at that point in time?

- A. It would depend. If it was a busy time of
 the day, we would leave it until it slowed down.
 These guys were very cooperative in working in between
 our busy schedules. That's why a lot of times a lot
 of the waste from that was deposited at night in the
 - Q. Were there occasions, Steve, when the only disposal from the roll-off box occurred at night after regular operating hours?
 - A. Just from the box?
- 11 | O. From the roll-off box.
- 12 A. Sure, uh-huh.

active fill area.

6

7

8

9

10

13

1.4

15

1.6

17

18

20

21

- Q. Now, you indicated that as project manager for ESG Watts, part of your duties include managing construction or remediation projects?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And seeing that the permits for those projects are complied with?
- 19 A. That's correct.
 - Q. Okay. Now, have you reviewed the permit that was issued by the Agency for the landfill gas management system?
- A. Yeah.
- 24 Q. It's permit number 1996-087 SP?

- A. I've read through it, yeah. I'm fairly familiar with it. Not all the technical aspects but the -- most of them.
 - Q. And it's our -- for the record it's People's Exhibit Number 2.
 - A. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. I'm going to read to you what is condition 5 of that permit. Condition 5 reads: "Refuse removed during construction of the gas collection system shall be loaded into trucks, covered, and immediately transported to the active fill area and disposed."
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Now, that's not what you were doing, is it?
- 14 A. Sure.
- Q. Immediately does not indicate waiting all day
 and then disposing of it at night once you get the
 time.
 - A. A lot of times the rig -- I mean you drill wells so you could complete them by the end of the day. It's more important to set a well than it is to drop garbage.
 - Q. So it was more important to set the well that will ultimately make ESG Watts a lot of money --
 - A. No.

1 MR. NORTHRUP: Objection.

- Q. -- than to comply with the permit?
- 3 MR. NORTHRUP: Objection. That's
- 4 | argumentative.

2

9

10

11

14

15

- 5 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Sustained. Please 6 rephrase your question.
- Q. Mr. Grothus, what is more important than compliance with the permit?
 - A. Safety of my workers.
 - Q. And how did leaving the roll-off boxes full of refuse sometimes an entire day --
- 12 A. We didn't leave them an entire day.
- Q. -- impact the safety of your workers?
 - A. When you get to the bottom of that hole, okay, you got a 90-foot hole that has --
 - Q. What hole are you talking about?
- 17 A methane -- a gas extraction hole. I would much rather see the well put in, backfilled up to 1.8 19 grade than to leave the hole open while we're dumping 20 garbage in the face of the fill. Any driller in the country will tell you the first thing they do once 21 22 they hit the bottom of that well is to install that 23 well if possible or cover it up. If someone falls in 24 the well, there's not a second chance.

immediately, yeah, if that's the way the permit reads, 1 that's fine. I don't have a problem with that. 2 the people that write those don't drill wells, either, 3 that I'm aware of. And neither did I. At the time the permit was issued, I was not aware of how wells 5 were put in. After I had observed how wells were put 6 in, there are things that are common sense that 7 sometimes don't read common sense. And to me, if I 8 9 had to pay a fine daily to do the way I did it, I'd 10 pay it. No problem.

- Q. I think you indicated in response to one of my prior questions that you were trying to get in as many wells as you could each day.
- A. Yeah.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

- Q. What were the urgency there? Why did you need to do that?
 - A. You get paid by the foot.
- 18 Q. I'm sorry?
 - A. You get paid by the foot.
- 20 Q. Who was paying you for that?
- A. The drillers get paid by the foot. The more,
 the faster, the more money. That's one. For the
 drillers.
 - Q. Were the drillers working for ESG Watts --

- A. No, they were not.
- Q. -- or for RTC?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

22

- A. No. They were working for RTC, the company that put the permit application in.
- Q. Now, during the construction or installation of these wells wouldn't you agree that there were more odors generated because there was exposed refuse being pulled up?
- A. More odors from the hole than the exposed refuse, yes, sure.
- Q. And you personally observed or smelled some of these odors yourself?
- A. Stood over the hole, yes.
- Q. How bad were the odors coming from those holes?
 - A. We got good gas, from what I understand.
 - Q. Can you describe what it smelled like?
- A. A stench, stinky smell. It wasn't a gasoline smell. It wasn't a solvent smell. I guess somewhat maybe of natural gas only without the mercaptan smell to it, you know.
 - Q. Would you say like rotten eggs?
- A. No, I wouldn't say it was a sulfur smell, no.
 - Q. Was it a hog farm smell?

- A. No, it's too sweet. I don't know. It's hard to describe. If you smell it, you know exactly where that smell's coming from after you've smelled it once, yeah.
 - Q. Have you smelled odors from the landfill prior or while on the landfill property --
 - A. Certainly.

- Q. -- prior to the installation of these gas wells?
 - A. Definitely, certainly.
- Q. And would you say the odors that you had smelled prior to this time were similar to the ones you were smelling coming from the hole?
- A. Some were, some weren't, yeah. Depends on the day and this and that, yeah.
- Q. Isn't it true that some nights these gas holes would not be covered?
- A. Not that I'm aware of.
 - Q. Did you ever personally observe a gas well hole being left uncovered say the next morning?
 - A. Never, never. The drilling company has one inch thick -- they're probably six foot, maybe eight foot by eight foot steel plates that are an inch thick. If they don't finish a well, they usually

cover it, more times than not. I wouldn't know any 1 reason why they would not leave -- it's crazy to leave 2 one uncovered. That I know of none were ever left 3 4 uncovered. If they didn't get the well in, they brought a big plate -- they had two or three of these 5 huge, big plates that they would bring in and lay over 6 7 the top of it so you could drive anything you wanted over it and you couldn't fall in it. 8

- Q. If a citizen or someone would call the landfill to make a complaint about the landfill, would they talk to you?
- A. I've had people call me, yes. They'll talk to -- back, you know, a year ago when I was the only one there I was taking them, but with the team of people we have today, if Nikki, Tom, whoever's in the office, one of us will answer it if we're there.
- Q. Okay. Now, did you personally take any calls from citizens complaining about odors during the installation of these gas wells?
 - A. Not that I recall, no.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. Do you recall discussing with any of the other employees at ESG Watts whether they had taken any phone calls from citizens regarding odor complaints?

A. Not that I recall, no.

1

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

- Q. Do you remember ever having a conversation during the installation of these gas wells with Joe Whitley regarding how bad the odor was coming from these holes when they were being dug?
 - A. I don't recall. I don't know. I may have.

 I may not have. I don't recall. I've had a lot of
 people ask me a lot of questions about the gas, the
 odors, things like that. I don't recall, to be honest
 with you.
- 11 Q. You indicated your regular working hours at 12 the landfill are --
 - A. I really don't have any, but, yeah, 8 to 5.
 - Q. 8 to 5 roughly, is that what you said?
 - A. If you want, sure.
- Q. Just real briefly, Mr. Northrup asked you about the servicing of landfill equipment --
- 18 A. Uh-huh.
- 19 Q. -- after 8:00 at night?
- 20 A. Sure.
- Q. And you indicated that sometimes that might happen at 11:00 at night?
- A. Possibly.
- 24 Q. Would you have been present at any of those

times?

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- A. No. Just noticed the time cards from who was working.
 - Q. And so when you indicated that vehicles were not commonly -- not commonly operated --
 - A. I don't think they were ever operated after 11:00 at night.
 - Q. But you were not personally there?
 - A. No. I'm talking -- know the difference between servicing and operating. Operating means you're using it for its intended purpose. Not that I'm ever aware of. It's too dangerous.
- Q. Now, regarding this -- the meeting with the Agency in I believe it's August of 1995, does that sound correct to you?
- 16 A. Something like that, sure.
- Q. The original Sig Mod submittal was denied in February of 1995?
- 19 A. Okay.
- 20 Q. Does that --
- 21 A. Okay.
- 22 Q. Okay?
- 23 A. Sure.
- 24 \ Q. And you had the meeting then in August with

the Agency?

1.0

- A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Are you aware that the complaint that we're here for today was then filed in November of 1995?
- A. Yeah, it was after our 39 -- our meeting with Mr. Davis. The complaint was filed, from what I understand, a couple months after that meeting, yes.
- Q. And it was your understanding that the meeting with the Attorney General's office took place one day prior to your meeting with the Agency?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And then the resubmittal of this Sig Mod was not made until October 18 of 1996?
 - A. I believe that's correct.
- Q. Okay. Prior to that resubmittal did anyone from ESG Watts call the Agency to let them know that this was on the way and that you were submitting an informal submittal as opposed to a formal permit reapplication?
- A. No. That was discussed at that meeting. I believe Steve Keith and maybe Jewel from CH2M-Hill had conversations with the Illinois EPA. The reason I didn't have any is because it was an area of -- I wasn't knowledgeable in, and at that time I was the

only one at ESG that was kind of looking after this, 1 and I let Steve -- I left it up to Steve Keith to make 2 any communications he needed to make with the Agency 3 4 to set up -- we actually wanted to set up another 5 meeting after that first one to submit that, you know, 6 like whenever we got it done. After he reviewed a lot of the stuff, we found out that we needed to put in like these Hanson wells, do a little bit more than 8 9 what we originally intended on doing. So, I believe 10 he had conversations with the Agency after that point. What they talked about I don't know. 11

- Q. Now, after this meeting with the Agency in August of 1995, did you -- was it your understanding that you were on your way toward possibly working out the problems with the Sig Mod Application?
 - A. Yeah. Definitely.

12

13

14

15

16

21

- Q. Did Watts withdraw its permit appeal after that meeting?
- A. I don't recall. I don't know if he did or not.
 - Q. Do you know if the permit appeal is still pending with the Board today?
- A. I think it still is. I don't know. I assume.

HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Ms. Symons-Jackson, 1 2 can I ask a question? MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: Sure. 3 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: This is really --4 it's for the Board. There are several Watts permit 5 6 appeals pending. If you know which one it is, can you give us the number? If you don't, that's okay. 7 Charlie, do you know? 8 MR. NORTHRUP: It's 95-109 or 110. One is 9 10 Taylor Ridge and one is Sangamon Valley. I'm not sure which one --11 MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: The Agency handles their 12 13 own permit appeals, so we wouldn't know. HEARING OFFICER FRANK: That's fine. 14 I just thought maybe if the Board wanted to look at that, we 15 16 could try and get that number in there for them. I'm sorry to interrupt you. Go ahead. 17 In your earlier testimony you talked about a 18 depression or a hole at the landfill that you recently 19 20 or at sometime received a permit for a clean fill? 21 Yeah, we call it the nonwaste disposal area, Α. 22 correct. This nonwaste disposal area was first noted 23

24

or do you recall when it was first constructed by the

landfill?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

17

- A. It was noted sometime I think in '93 after that October -- it was an area identified by our EPA inspector.
- Q. And can you tell me where on the landfill property this nondisposal -- what is it you've called it?
 - A. Nonwaste disposal area.
 - Q. Nonwaste disposal area is located?
- 10 It would be the northeast corner of the Α. 11 landfill, geez, approximately 250 feet north of the 12 building, extending out I believe 120 feet from the 13 liner. It's kind of in a jog, I guess. I could draw 14 you -- if you have an inspection -- you'll see it on 15 an inspection report what we're referring to. It's 16 very well defined right now.
 - Q. Why don't I get a map we can look at.
- 18 A. Yeah, that would be great.
- Q. Is it located in the active area, what is currently the active area of the landfill?
 - A. It is right now, yeah.
- Q. I've got two maps here, one was previously identified and I believe admitted as People's Exhibit 3.

1 A. Okay.

number 4.

- Q. And I've also got People's Exhibit 4. I'll ask you to look at both of those.
- MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: And I'll state for the record that Exhibit 4 was prepared, as indicated, by CH2M-Hill, and at this time I would move to admit map
- MR. NORTHRUP: Where did it come from? Do you know?
- 10 A. It says CH2M-Hill.
- MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: Yeah. I think it was with the original Sig Mod.
- A. Might -- with the Sig Mod, yeah. According to that date.
- MR. NORTHRUP: Yeah, okay, that's fine.
- HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Then Exhibit 4 is admitted into evidence.
- 18 (People's Exhibit Number 4 admitted.)
- Q. Whichever map is best for you to identify that location.
- 21 A. Exhibit 3.
- 22 Q. Okay. And again just give us --
- 23 A. Oh, where is it?
- 24 Q. Yes.

- A. If you notice where it says temporary access road.
 - Q. That's up in the upper left-hand corner?
 - A. See, the liner -- yeah, the liner comes like this, okay, and then around the building like that.

 It would be like going out like that right there.
- HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Okay. For the record
 you have to make it more clear.
 - A. Where the Xs are, okay? Want me to put Xs on there? I guess approximately like that, right in there.
- Q. Now, is this nonwaste disposal area still present at the landfill?
- 14 A. Yes, it is.

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

17

- Q. And are you currently filling it with anything?
 - A. With clean soil, yes. We're bringing it up as we bring the garbage up in that area, yes.
- Q. And as it happened over the years you did not have a permit to fill anything in this nonwaste disposal area from 1993 or 1994 when it was first noted until recently, correct?
- A. You got to do a better job than that because that -- that's not the -- I don't think you understand

it. The non --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

- Q. Here's what I'm getting at.
- A. Okay, yeah, do that.
- Q. Here's what I'm getting at. As the waste was deposited around this area, the landfill grew around it and the depression got deeper. Is that an accurate statement what happened?
- A. Well, it stayed at the level and the garbage came up around it, yes, correct.
- Q. The depth of the hole, although the bottom was not getting deeper, the depth was increasing?
- A. There you go, yeah.

HEARING OFFICER FRANK: You do understand.

MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: I knew what I was talking about.

- A. I was confused. Okay. Go ahead.
- Q. Now, when it rained, storm water would accumulate in the bottom of this depression?
- 19 A. Yes, it would, yes.
 - Q. Do you know how long storm water was allowed to be impounded in this depression?
- A. Year or two, I guess, maybe three. I don't

 -- since October 9 of '93 I guess. Well, no, it was

 probably '94 on because we were down that low then.

So I would say sometime '94, '95.

- Q. At some point you pumped water out of this hole, correct?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 5 Q. When was that?
 - A. I believe it was after we received that permit. We may have pumped prior to that nonwaste disposal area identification, but I don't recall.
 - Q. The clean fill permit is that what you're referring to?
 - A. Yeah.
 - Q. If I indicated that it was approximately the summer of 1995, would that sound about right to you?
- A. Yeah. Possibly. We really don't pump that

 much in December, so if we did, I would be -- it's

 kind of unusual, but if we did, we did, yeah, we could

 have.
 - Q. Were you present or did you have any involvement in the pumping of the storm water from this hole?
 - A. Sure did, uh-huh.
 - Q. Were you the one overseeing the pumping of the storm water from this hole?
 - A. When we started pumping after that waste --

when we were going to do a big pump, in other words,
after that permit came through, we contacted James
Kammueller. He came out. We sampled. We showed him
how we wanted to do it. He made -- gave us a list of
things to do, I want like 500 more foot of hose all
the way, I want a sock. We did everything that he
asked -- that he suggested that we do, and we did it.

- Q. Where was the water pumped to?
- A. The 001 discharge area.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. Prior to beginning the pumping did you take any samples of the water in the hole?
 - A. I did not. I believe there were samples taken by us and James Kammueller. I think he might have taken some, too. I don't recall if he did or not.
 - Q. Prior to beginning pumping did you calculate how much water was in the hole?
 - A. Yeah, I think we did. I don't recall what the number was, but I think we kind of estimated it.
 - Q. Do you remember what the estimate was?
 - A. No, I don't, no.
 - Q. How long did the pumping take?
- A. On and off three or four months maybe.
- 24 \ Q. And did you conduct any sampling of the water

1 | that was being discharged through 001?

- A. I did not personally, no.
 - Q. Did anyone from ESG Watts?
- A. Yes.

- Q. What were done with those sample results?
 - A. They were taken to Beling labs and composited and analyzed according to the permit.
 - Q. Now, Steve, isn't it true that some of the storm water in that hole had come into contact with exposed refuse in the hole?
 - A. I don't know if it did or not. All that area was covered. Do you know what I mean? I mean there wasn't -- there wasn't garbage. No, if you look at those pictures, you'll see that there was dirt completely covered all the way around in that nonwaste -- I believe I sent pictures with the permit application on that so they could see that, yeah.

MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: Ms. Hearing Officer, I would like to look at the original photographs with your exhibits.

HEARING OFFICER FRANK: That's fine. Let's go off the record. Why don't we go ahead and take a ten minute break.

MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: Okay.

(A recess was taken.)

HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Let's continue with the cross-examination.

- Q. Mr. Grothus, when we went off the record, I was attempting to find some photographs in People's Exhibit 48. I've located those photographs.
 - A. Okay.

- Q. But first of all, I've highlighted a portion of the narrative to assist you in giving your testimony. Can you please read in this paragraph starting with -- I guess starting with the beginning of the paragraph.
- MR. NORTHRUP: I'm sorry, what is that document?
 - MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: It's Exhibit 48.
- A. "Inspection log. The author proceeded to investigate east of the previous working day area and along the upper northern rim of the hole where a permitted nonwaste disposal area is located. This author observed uncovered refuse along the upper north rim. See photographs 7 and 8. Uncovered refuse was also observed above this area and to the north and west. See photographs 9 through 13. This author proceeded to descend into the hole and observed

scattered litter along the western slope of the hole.

See photographs 14, 17, 18. At the bottom of the hole this author observed litter standing in the water and scattered around the northern portion of the hole.

See photographs 15, 16, 19, 36."

Q. That's all you need to read then.

So according to this narrative description there was indeed refuse and standing water at the bottom of that hole. Would you agree with that?

- A. It says the northern rim. I would consider that the top side of it.
- Q. Well, as you read on, it indicated he descended into the hole and there was standing water at the bottom of the hole?
- A. Well, yeah, there was water in the bottom with scattered litter, uh-huh.
- Q. Were you aware of the litter in the standing water at the bottom of that hole?
- A. There was litter in there. Whenever it blew hard, it was a natural attraction for it, and as it went into the hole, it was taken out as soon as possible, uh-huh.
- Q. Were you aware of the uncovered refuse along the northern rim and along the sides of that hole that

he describes?

I

6

7

8

9

23

- A. No. I wasn't, not really.
- Q. Do you know when that refuse, the exposed refuse was either covered or moved and disposed of in the active area of the landfill?
 - A. Say that again.
 - Q. Do you know whether the landfill ever covered that exposed refuse?
 - A. I'm sure it did.
- 10 Q. Do you know when?
- 11 A. No.
- Q. Do you know whether the landfill -- if they didn't cover the refuse, would they have moved it and put it into the active area of the landfill?
- A. Moved refuse? You mean dig it up and move it?
- Q. No, just the exposed or the scattered litter
 that --
- 19 A. Oh, we'd pick it up. The litter?
- 20 Q. Uh-huh.

instance?

- 21 A. We'd pick up the litter, yes.
- Q. Do you know whether that was done in this
- A. Was it done at the time of this inspection?

Obviously, it doesn't look like it. It was done
daily, though, or semi -- whenever it needed it, it
was done.

- Q. Do you have any personal knowledge of when the landfill removed the litter from the bottom of this hole and properly disposed of it in the active area of the landfill?
- A. Along the water line or whatever? Yeah, I saw them do it many times.
- 10 Q. In response to this particular observation
 11 on --
- 12 A. February 14th.

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

18

- Q. -- February 14, do you know when they removed that litter?
 - A. If it was brought up by Ron Mehalic at this inspection, he talked with Joe Chenoweth it says. I assume they got on it that day or whenever they could, as soon as they could.
 - Q. That's just your assumption?
- 20 A. Sure.
- Q. You don't recall actually observing anybody do that work?
- 23 A. On this particular time?
- 24 Q. Right.

- 1 A. No, I couldn't say.
- Q. Now, this hole we're talking about, the
 nonwaste disposal area, what is the surface area of
 that impoundment?
 - A. The nonwaste disposal area?
- 6 0. Uh-huh.

5

7

8

9

10

15

- A. I believe it's 120 by 30, something like that. Whatever is in that permit. Something like that.
 - Q. Fairly large area?
- A. Yeah. It's bigger than what we had

 originally thought. We -- actually, when we decided

 to identify that area, we expanded it by 20 percent or

 whatever just to cover.
 - Q. I think Jim Kammueller may have actually referred to it as the size of a football field?
- 17 A. No. The nonwaste area?
- 18 Q. Uh-huh.
- A. No. The size of a football field is 300 by

 40 yards -- 40 feet or whatever. It's smaller than

 that. It's 120. It's a square -- rectangular. It's

 like 120 by 40, something like that, or 50. It's like

 a little peninsula. Like a little square peninsula

 where the haul road was.

- Q. From the very top of the nonwaste disposal area what is the area? Is that what you're talking about or are you talking about the bottom?
- A. Both. It doesn't matter top or bottom. I mean it's --

HEARING OFFICER FRANK: That's not going to work for the record.

- A. It's like a rectangular cube is what it is, and it's identified specifically by coordinate points and size.
- Q. What I'm really trying to find out, the rim, the very top of this area --
 - A. Of the nonwaste area?

- Q. Right. What is the diameter or the area of that?
- A. It's the same as it is at the bottom as far as I know. It's 120 by 40 or 50, whatever. Butts up against the liner.
- Q. So your testimony is that from the rim, from the very top of this nonwaste disposal area down to the bottom it's just straight lines all the way down, the sides are straight up and down?
- A. It's not straight lines. The one side kicks back actually more than 120 feet because the liner is

laid back. When you get to grade it will be actually 1 longer, but for the sake of the argument it goes from the liner -- the inside edge of the liner in like 120 feet by 40 feet or whatever the dimensions are in the permit. And basically, yeah, it comes straight up. And we keep it about three or four feet above where we're landfilling all the time so the inspector can 7 identify it. 8

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Why was it the landfill decided to develop Ο. this area?
- We didn't decide. It was an area that was in Α. question on whether we could put garbage in there or The only way we were going to go in -- we were going to put garbage in there, anyway. There was some question as to was garbage placed there prior to October 9 of '93. A big discussion, the Agency said no, yes. It was turning into a nightmare. We said how can we make it so we can take care of the problem and identify the area that wasn't supposedly had garbage in it October 9 of '93. That's exactly what the permit that we applied for was to say this is the area, and we don't necessarily agree with it, but we went with the Agency what they said in their inspection reports as to the nonwaste area where you

didn't have garbage. We wanted to identify that area.

That's the reason for that permit. Okay?

- Q. Now, isn't it reasonable to assume that the storm water that's at the bottom of this nonwaste disposal area is in part made up of rainwater that comes directly from the sky?
 - A. Sure.

- Q. Wouldn't it also be reasonable to assume that part of that water or material that accumulates at the bottom of this nonwaste disposal area is attributable to runoff?
 - A. Run-in, sure.
 - Q. From other portions of the landfill?
- 14 A. Run-in, sure.
 - Q. Isn't it also reasonable to assume that a portion or part of that material at the bottom of this depression is also due to seepage of leachate or other liquids from the garbage that's been deposited all around this hole?
 - A. I have no idea. When we pumped it out and cleaned the silt out that was in there, we didn't find any garbage. When we pumped the water out and took the silt out, we didn't see any garbage.
 - Q. I'm just asking if it's reasonable to assume

that there is some seepage that might come -- there's garbage disposed of all around this area, right?

A. Sure, yeah.

- Q. And I'm just asking you in your opinion as project manager for the site that some leachate might have seeped through the sides and into this liquid?
- A. Don't know. Don't know. We cover every night, so I don't know.
- Q. Is there any cover placed on this nonwaste disposal area to prevent run-in or precipitation from entering the area?
- A. This is dirt. The nonwaste area is just dirt. What are you asking?
- Q. I'm asking if any efforts were made by the landfill to prevent water from accumulating in the bottom?
- A. From running into that hole? Oh, yeah, we bermed around the outside of it. So basically all that came in was in that area. If you looked at the hole, you could see where it was graded like this. So anything from way outside here, basically the only thing that went in there was what hit in that area. Okay? For instance, if you come off the western slope of our area where we're done, it comes way down and

then it levels back off, comes across and then it
drops into that hole. It's all graded so water -basically the only water that goes in there falls in
there or hits the sides and goes in there. I mean it
doesn't come from other areas of the landfill. We're
not diverting water into that hole, no. We're
diverting it away from it.

- Q. Has any pumping of storm water or other accumulated water taken place since approximately December of 1995?
- A. I don't think so. I don't know if we have or not. I don't think so. We've got that up pretty -- I doubt we'll ever pump in that area. I don't think we'll have any more pump water now.
 - Q. Is there still water that's accumulating?
- 16 A. No.

- Q. Is there still a depression there?
- A. No. Well, there's a depression there. Is there water being -- accumulating in there, no, no, huh-uh. We're trying to fill that area as quickly as we can to prevent that from happening.
 - Q. I wanted to go back and ask you another question about the retention pond that we were talking about. I think in your earlier testimony you

indicated that in an attempt to divert water away from
that retention pond or to divert storm water runoff
away from that retention pond you'd built a ditch
or --

A. Yeah.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

20

21

22

23

- Q. Is that what you called it?
- A. They're called diversion or whatever you want to call it. A dirt structure to let the water run away from it, yeah.
 - O. Is that on one of the terrace roads?
- A. Well, yeah. If you looked at our landfill now and saw, you would actually maybe see, well, that looks like a terrace road. Yeah, you can drive it now, correct, yes.
 - Q. That's on the northern face of the landfill?
- 16 A. Northwest corner, yeah.
- 17 Q. When was that diversion built?
- A. That summer. It was after we had put that fence up.
 - O. So summer of '95?
 - A. Yeah, uh-huh. Summer, fall. It took, you know, through -- the reports that we had on that area, we started in June and ended up like in November, so there was always work being done over there.

- Q. You indicated I think in response of one of Mr. Northrup's questions that that diversion that you built had been successful in diverting storm water?
- A. A lot of it, yeah, yeah. We don't get near the water in that retention pond that we used to I don't think.
- Q. I want you to take a look at People's Exhibit 13, which is an inspection report prepared by Jim Kammueller February 9, 1996. By February 9, 1996 that diversion would have been built, correct?
 - A. Oh, it was, yeah.
- Q. I'm going to have you look at photographs 32 and 35 -- well, let's see. 32 and 33, sorry. Looking at photograph 32, there looks to be what I'm referring to as the terrace road through the middle of the photograph and also in photograph 31?
 - A. Yeah, right here, correct.
 - Q. Okay. You'd agree with that?
- 19 A. Yeah.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. Then looking at photograph 33, to the right of the middle of the photograph there looks to be --
 - A. Looks like a washout.
- Q. Right. Where water appears to be discharging from that diversion into that retention pond. Would

you agree with that?

- A. Yeah, uh-huh.
- Q. Can you describe or would you agree that this -- the water that is on this diversion or the terrace road appears to be fairly muddy in that photograph?

 Would you agree with that?
- A. Sure.
- Q. And that's the water that's discharging into the retention pond?
- A. Not directly, but it will get there sooner or later, yeah, uh-huh.
- Q. So would it be fair to say that this diversion that you installed in mid to late 1995 has not been entirely successful in diverting storm water runoff from the retention pond?
- A. Exactly. That diversion needs maintenance all the time. You can't maintain -- that picture was taken in February. There's no way that you could get in there to maintain that area at that time of the year. Obviously, it's awful muddy. The first chance -- I mean if that was there, I'm sure it's been fixed as soon as possible you could get in there to fix it. But, yeah, you're right. There was water going into there at that time.

- Q. Do you recall observing this in February of
 - A. I don't remember seeing that, no. I don't recall -- I don't think I went with Jim on that inspection.
 - Q. And you have not dredged that retention pond since 1995, right?
 - A. I spoke with Elmer about that the other day.

 I believe he has cleaned it, he told me. I'm not

 personally aware of it being cleaned, but I believe it

 has been, from what our operations guy told me. He

 said he thought he'd cleaned it a couple times since

 we put that -- since the fence went in?
 - O. Yes.

- A. I think it's been cleaned a time or two since then, yes.
- Q. When you say it's been cleaned a time or two since then, do you mean actually dredging it like you did --
 - A. Not like we did that time, no. You can't do that again because we'd lose the fence and everything.
 - Q. What would be involved in cleaning out the pond?
 - A. Taking any of the silt that's out of there.

O. How would he do that?

- A. Just drop the 400 bucket down in there and take whatever silt's in there. That water is fairly deep now so it's hard to judge how much silt would be in there, if any.
 - Q. Do you know how deep that retention pond is?
- A. Based on those pictures, I'd say eight feet maybe. I don't know. Seven or eight foot. It depends on how much silt's in the bottom of it, I quess.
- Q. Now, I've got a -- we were talking before about the fence that's in this retention pond that you installed in 1995.
- A. It's not in it. It's on the outside of it, to the south of it.
- Q. Referring again to People's Exhibit 13, this time to photograph 26, the pond -- the retention pond is shown in the upper right-hand portion of the photograph and there appears to be a fence going through the middle of that pond. Is that --
- A. Yeah, that's a little backed-up water that's in there right now, correct. Uh-huh.
- Q. Is that fence -- that fence was installed to your knowledge --

- A. That's the one we installed.
- Q. -- to your knowledge at the property line.
- 3 What you assumed to be the property line?
 - A. To the best of my knowledge, yeah.
- Q. Okay, Steve, I want to ask you just a couple questions about response to complaints you might receive at the landfill.
- 8 A. Uh-huh.

1

9

10

11

22

- Q. You indicated that you or whomever else might be in the office at the landfill would take a complaint called in by a citizen or a neighbor?
- 12 A. Sure, uh-huh.
- Q. Do you as the project manager get involved in responding to those complaints?
- 15 A. On occasion I do, yeah.
- Q. Whose responsibility is it to ensure that those complaints are responded to?
- A. Elmer Elliott, Steve Grothus, Nicole

 Wuestenberg, Tom Jones, Jim Watts, Jerry Eilers.
- Q. Are you indicating just whoever might be out there and whoever might take the call?
 - A. Any management personnel that would be around. They better be, yes.
- Q. There's not one member of the management team

for ESG Watts that is designated as the point person to respond to citizen complaints?

- A. Not specifically, no.
- Q. Now, have you ever taken a complaint from Joe 5 Whitley?
 - A. Sure.
 - Q. How many times would you say Joe Whitley has called the landfill to make a complaint?
 - A. To me?
- 10 Q. Yes.

Who knows.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

13

21

- A. Oh, I've talked to him a half a dozen times maybe, maybe more. I don't know. I don't recall.
- 14 Q. Over what time period?
- A. Since I've been there, I've probably talked to him a dozen or two times on the phone. I don't recall. Not all of them were complaints. I mean, you know.
- Q. What about the other neighbors, for example
 Mr. or Mrs. Siebke, Wayne Siebke?
 - A. Yes. I've talked to Wayne maybe a dozen times over the years.
- Q. And would you agree that a majority of the calls from Mr. Siebke or Mrs. Siebke are in reference

to the drainageway that's on their property
becoming --

- A. No. I've never talked to Mrs. Siebke.
- Q. Let me finish my question, okay?
- A. Sure.

3

- Q. I was asking you if the number of calls from the Siebkes, either Mr. or Mrs. Siebke, were in relation to the drainageway on their part of -- on their property becoming filled with refuse from the landfill?
- A. The majority of the time that I have talked to them?
- 13 Q. Uh-huh.
- 14 A. No.
- Q. Have you taken any calls from them that have had to do with --
- 17 A. Sure.
- 18 Q. -- the refuse in their drainage ditch?
- 19 A. Yes, uh-huh.
- Q. Now, when Mr. Whitley has called with a complaint and you've taken the call, what has the complaint or what have the complaints been?
- A. The last major complaint I got from Mr.
- 24 | Whitley was taking care of that fence.

Q. And when was that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

- A. Prior to June of '95. It was before that,
 you know. Shortly thereafter, I think he was down
 there when we decided what we were going to do on that
 fence.
 - Q. You don't recall taking a phone call from Mr. Whitley just earlier this year --
 - A. I may have.
 - Q. -- regarding the gas wells remaining uncapped or uncovered for a period of four or five days over a long weekend?
 - A. I don't recall. That's impossible, though, no. I don't recall him making the phone call, no. I don't recall. He may have. I don't know.
 - Q. Have any other of ESG Watts' employees told you that they took such a call from Mr. Whitley?
- A. I think I heard from people that there were holes left uncovered or something, and I said that's impossible. They won't -- you can't leave holes like that uncovered. That's ridiculous.
- Q. Who did you hear from that they had been left --
- A. Might have been Joe Chenoweth or something like that, maybe Elmer. I don't recall. But they

made a mention to me because -- I think it was Joe 1 because -- I may have heard something from him, 2 because I told him, I said, "Joe, you don't leave 3 holes uncovered." That's what those plates are for. 4 5 That's impossible that four or five holes was left uncovered. If someone said that to me, I'd blow it 6 off and walk away because I know they don't know what 7 they're talking about. 8

- Q. As project manager whose responsibility is ensuring compliance with permits, you would not go out to the location where they claim the holes to be uncovered and check?
 - A. No way.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1.7

18

19

20

21

- Q. You would just blow it off?
- A. I would blow off a complaint like that because it's ridiculous. I know the drillers; I know their procedures. You can't have four or five holes uncovered at one time, anyway. It doesn't make sense. It's impossible. It just is -- it's very extremely unlikely, and it never happened at our site.
 - Q. So if you --
 - A. Drilling those wells.
- Q. If you receive a complaint from say a neighboring citizen and in your opinion that complaint

```
is impossible or ridiculous, do you not then
1
     investigate or respond to that complaint?
2
              I would tell them that's ridiculous. I'd
 3
     tell them straight up it doesn't make sense. No,
 4
 5
     that's ridiculous. In fact, I would invite them over.
     I mean if they were persistent enough, I'd say let's
6
7
     go check it out, you know. Do something like that.
     Sure, I'd do that. But that's impossible.
8
9
     it's not impossible. It's extremely improbable.
10
     doesn't happen.
11
              MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: Those are all the
12
     questions I have.
13
              HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Mr. Northrup.
                   REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY
14
15
```

MR. NORTHRUP:

- Ο. Let's talk about these gas management -- the drill holes.
- Uh-huh. Α.

16

17

18

19

- You've been present when they've been drilled?
- A lot of them. Not every single one, no, but 21 a lot of them, yeah. 22
- 23 What is the -- what's the sequence and how do they drill the holes? 24

In a nutshell, they drill to a predetermined Α. 1 2 depth, okay, whatever was on the engineering spec. Once that's done, they immediately set the pipe up, 3 okay, for the well, and --4 The casing? 5 Q. The pipe. The HDPE. And they usually 6 measure a -- if it's a 90-foot well and we had I 7 believe it was two-thirds, one-third, they'd set it up 8 for the perforated and the solid, get that, and then 9 they'd proceed to set the well, backfill it 10 immediately, get the well installed to grade as 11 quickly as possible. 12 Did they ever -- to your knowledge did the 13 drillers ever have more than one hole open at a time? 14 Uncovered at a time? 15 Α. No, first, did they ever have any --16 Ο. Did they have two drilled at one time, 17 Α. completed? 18 Right. 19 Q. 20 Α. Yeah. How often would that happened? 21 Q. Not very often. I know of one instance it 22 Α. happened. 23

When was that?

24

Ο,

A. I don't -- sometime during the -- I don't -- September or August. I don't recall. But I know it happened once, yeah.

- Q. What was their practice with respect to covering those holes?
- A. Get the hole set, placed and filled ASAP.

 That was number one.
 - Q. What would happen if late in the day and it appeared that you were going to have to stop because of darkness or whatever and there was an open hole?

 What would you do?
 - A. They'd put a plate over it, steel plate.
- Q. Why would they put a plate over it?
- 14 A. Safety.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- Q. How big are these holes?
- 16 A. 36 inches in diameter.
- Q. People working around these holes?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. What would happen if somebody fell in one of these holes?
- 21 A. You would die.
- Q. Why is that?
- 23 A. There's no air.
- Q. To your knowledge were any of these holes

ever left uncovered? 1 Uncovered? 2 Α. At the end of a day? 3 Q. 4 Α. Uncovered? Unplated? Unplated. 5 Q. No, not to my knowledge. 6 Α. When did the -- when did the installation of 7 Q. this system begin? 8 9 Α. I believe sometime in July, I think, 10 something like that. Ran from July to around September, maybe October. 11 Is it complete now? 12 Q. 13 Α. The drilling? 14 The drilling. Ο. 15 For now, yeah. Α. 16 Q. Is it your job at the landfill to personally 17 respond to citizen complaints? Part of it. Not totally, no. 18 19 Let's go back to the '95 dredging of the Q. 20 Whitley retention pond. You said you excavated 40 to 50 truckloads? 21 22 Haul truckloads. Α. Haul truckloads? 23 Q.

Uh-huh.

Α.

- Q. Now, was all that material silt?
- A. Not all. There was a little bit of tree limbs and junk down at the bottom if I recall right.
 - Q. How large of an area did you excavate out?
- A. Geez, I don't know. Guesstimate, 150 by 30 maybe or something like that.
 - Q. How close did you get to Whitley's dike?
 - A. Right up against it.
- Q. The fence that was constructed in that area, was that surveyed in?
 - A. No, it was not.
- Q. How did you arrive at the location of the fence?
 - A. Joe and I talked about that and I --
 - Q. Joe?

A. Joe Whitley and I talked about that and I told him I wasn't sure where the property line was, but for the sake of the fence and for the sake of doing this, I'll drop a line from the corner to where -- from the northwest corner exact of the landfill where the fence is that's existing to a part of the fence that was down a ways near the clustered wells we have there and we'll just put a line across there and put the fence right on that line. He said --

everybody agreed that's close enough. That's what we did.

- Q. When the spoils from the drilling with respect to the gas management -- installation of the gas management system, when those spoils would be brought up and put on the ground, did those have an odor?
- A. Yes.

- Q. What's that odor like?
- A. Garbage, rotten garbage.
- Q. Is that the same odor that you would smell emanating from the hole?
- 13 A. No, not really. Different.
 - Q. And what's the difference between those two odors?
 - A. In comparison to like diesel and gas, I guess. I don't know. I don't know. Definitely a different odor between the two, you know, a little bit different. But to describe it like rotten eggs or something, it wasn't rotten eggs necessarily.
 - Q. In your meeting with the Agency with respect to the Sig Mod that was held back in August, did they request that Watts notify them prior to any resubmittal of the Sig Mod?

- A. No. The only thing we -- I thought we agreed that we would have another meeting prior to the submittal and that Steve would set that up, Steve Keith.
 - Q. Did that meeting happen?
 - A. No, I don't believe it did.
 - Q. Do you know if a meeting was requested?
 - A. By us?

6

7

8

9

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. By Watts.
- A. No, I don't think so. I believe -- check
 that. I think maybe Steve set one up and then we
 decided not to have it because we weren't prepared for
 it. Steve Keith. I believe he did set one up later
 on, but we decided not to have it for one reason or
 another. I don't recall what it was. I'm not sure
 about that.
 - Q. Let's talk about the nonwaste disposal area. Why didn't you just leave it the way it was?
 - A. It was a landfilling problem, potential a -- could be a water problem down the road. It was just a bad situation all the way around.
 - Q. Any safety concerns?
- A. Yeah. You could slide in there or a truck

 could. Yeah. You don't want standing water anywhere

like that. Sure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. And do you recall when you applied for that permit?
 - A. No, I don't.
- Q. I think you indicated that the pumping occurred over a period of three to four months.
 - A. Yeah.
- Q. Okay. How often were you pumping during that period?
- We would usually pump for at least like a Α. 24-hour period due to the cost of the analysis. know, it was set up that you had to pump so often. if we're going to pump, let's pump the maximum we can for the amount of samples like we would have to take, you know. It didn't make sense to pump for two hours one day and two hours the next day. That's two sampling events. So we wouldn't do it that way. as the water went down and as we brought the nonwaste disposal area out, there was silt in there, and we'd take that silt out, and then the water -- you know, we were trying to just shrink that pond as best we could. So it might be a couple of weeks before we'd pump again. I had pictures if you wanted to show how we did it.

- Q. So you were not pumping every day for that three or four month period?
 - A. No, no.

- Q. What would be the frequency of the pumping?
- A. Maybe once a week. I don't know. To start out with. We pumped a few days in a row, though, I do remember that, to see where we were at.
- Q. I think you testified Jim Kammueller was present at least on some of those occasions?
- A. I don't know if he was -- I think we pumped and then we called or he called. He called, showed up after this, and said you should do this, this, and this. He didn't like the way our setup was, so we did what he asked us to do.
 - Q. What were some of those things that you did?
- A. He suggested we run the hose all the way to the discharge pond instead of like halfway. Okay?
 - Q. And did you do that?
- A. Yeah. We went out and bought hose.
- Q. What else did he suggest?
- A. He suggested to put siltation fences all the way down where the sock from the -- he suggested a sock at the end.
 - Q. Did you put a sock on?

A. Yes, we did. Then he suggested a series of siltation fences, this and -- he even suggested to put some down into Mr. Siebke's area, which we did. We did a lot. Basically what he told us to do.

Actually, he was pretty helpful in that, yeah.

- Q. And what was the -- were you continuously sampling as you discharged?
- A. As we pumped we sampled as we were supposed to, three equal outputs in the amount of time. We tried to time it out, you know, like eight hours, so we knew when we had to sample, you know, timewise. We explained all that to Jim and showed him how we were doing it, the sampling.
 - Q. Did you submit that sampling to the Agency?
- A. As far as I know, it was, yeah, the DMRs, yes.
 - Q. Oh, it would have been on the DMRs?
 - A. Yeah. The tracking of how we sampled and times. I think we gave him that separately, I believe. He saw that. But that's not a part of the DMRs.
 - Q. During this three- to four-month period was it just one time that Jim Kammueller was there?
 - A. I think he made a couple trips out during

1 | that period of time.

Q. Now, is water accumulating in the nonwaste disposal area currently?

- A. No. It can't.
- Q. Why not?

4

5

8

9

7.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- A. It's mounded.
- 7 Q. I'm sorry?
 - A. It's mounded. It's -- I mean it just -- it's higher than everything else around it. We keep it up above where we're at.
 - Q. I believe you testified that if you received a citizen complaint that you felt was ridiculous, you wouldn't respond to it?
 - A. Yeah. If the sky is falling, exactly.
 - Q. Any idea how many times you would have not responded to a citizen complaint?
 - A. No. I usually responded to all of them. I mean the ones that I got. I'd look into it. A lot of them were -- I mean I did have ridiculous ones, yes.
 - Q. Do you still have Exhibit 13 there?
 - A. Is that the inspection report?
 - Q. Yeah. It's an inspection report.
- A. Oh, it would be this one. Here's 13, yeah.
- 24 O. Let me see that for a minute. Let me show

you -- I think you already testified about photograph number 26.

A. Uh-huh.

- Q. On the left-hand side of the picture there appears to be a road and then a slope?
 - A. Right.
- Q. What is that road? Is that the diversion structure?
- A. Yeah. Yeah, it's an access road around the site that we put in there to help divert so the only -- basically, you know -- it was down here before, and as you can see, that doesn't --
 - Q. Down at the bottom of the slope?
- A. Yeah. And that didn't make sense. You want to get it up there a ways from that. Hopefully it will divert all the way this way. Now, the water that you see that would come around that, you see siltation fences and stuff to keep the silt down that does actually make the turn, but it will be going way past here.
 - Q. So there are siltation fences here?
- A. Yeah, there's one right here. There's one right here.
 - HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Right here?

A. Oh, excuse me. Center of the photograph at

2 demoving from the center left side to the center

3 right side of the picture you can see a chain, I guess

4 you would call it, of siltation fences that were

5 installed.

- Q. Are there any other siltation fences in that area that do not appear on that photograph?
- A. Yeah, I'm sure there is. There's a lot of them down there in that area.
- Q. Take a look at photo 25. What's that a picture of?
- A. Those are the siltation fences that we're referring to in 26. It's a better picture, actually.
 - Q. What's the description of 25?
 - A. View south at 001 -- I don't know what that is -- flow just downstream of photograph number 24.
- Q. Could 001 be the permitted discharge from the NPDES?
- 19 A. No, not at all.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- Q. What's the purpose of the siltation fences in that photograph?
- 22 A. Well, this --
- Q. I guess are there siltation fences in that photograph number 25?

- A. Oh, yeah, uh-huh.
- Q. How many?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

20

21

22

23

- A. One, two, three that I can identify clearly.
 - Q. Where are those located in the --
 - A. Top left-hand corner.
 - Q. What's the purpose of those?
 - A. To keep siltation from running down into that area towards that retention pond -- Mr. Whitley's retention pond area.
 - Q. Do you have any idea when you would have installed those fences?
- They look fairly recent to me because of the 12 amount of material in front of them. I mean not that 13 14 much material in front of them. You need to do that time of the year because of -- you can't get -- it's 15 16 extremely hard to get equipment in there, it's so muddy and that, so temporary fixes that time of the 17 year when you can't do a permanent you do siltation 18 19 fences and that's probably why that was done.
 - Q. Why don't you take a look at photograph 28.
 - A. Uh-huh.
 - Q. Are there siltation fences in that photograph? 28.
 - A. Oh, 28. Yeah. Definitely.

Q. Okay. Who would have installed those fences?

A. People at the land -- Joe Chenoweth or one of his designees, a couple laborers, whoever, people at

4 | the landfill.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

17

MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: I'm going to object. I think the witness is pointing out questions or suggesting questions to counsel.

HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Sustained.

- Q. Take you back to photo 33. You indicated that that depicted a washout?
- A. 32.
- 12 0. 33.
- A. Oh, 33, yeah. It looks like there was a washout there, uh-huh.
- Q. Now, did Watts have any procedure in place to repair washouts such as that?
 - A. That would be silt fenced.
- 18 Q. And who would have done that?
- A. Joe Chenoweth or one of his designees, one of the laborers at the site.
- Q. Have you ever responded to complaints by Mr. Siebke?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. What have you done? I guess I should first

say what were -- what was the complaint or complaints?

- A. He was having an erosion problem that he attributed to where we were discharging 001 down near his road where his driveway is that goes out onto Highway 92.
 - Q. Did you respond to that?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. What did you do?
- A. We took a dozer down there, brought out -widened it, straightened it, I believe put some
 erosion things in there like siltation fences, things
 like that, tried to seed it down for him, make it so
 that wouldn't happen. It was starting to eat away
 towards his driveway, and we went down and at his
 direction we did what he asked us to do.
 - Q. If Mr. Siebke complained of garbage or litter in his drainageway, would you consider that a ridiculous complaint?
 - A. No, not at all.
- Q. If Mr. Whitley complained of silt running into the retention pond, would you consider that a ridiculous complaint?
- 23 A. Not at all, no.
- 24 MR. NORTHRUP: I don't have any further

1 questions. HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Ms. Symons-Jackson. 2 RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY 3 MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: 4 Just real quick. I think you indicated that 5 Q. all your sampling for the NPDES permit was sent to is 6 7 it Beling? As far as I know of, yeah. 8 Would that have been the case with the first Q. 9 NPDES permit that was issued in 1986? 10 Α. I don't know. Couldn't tell you. 11 You had two sample points under that permit? 12 Q. Correct. 13 Α. 001 and 002? 14 Q. Uh-huh. 15 Α. And that permit was or you were operating 16 Q. pursuant to that permit until the new permit was 17 issued just earlier this year, right? 18 Α. Uh-huh, uh-huh. 19 And prior to issuance of this new permit were 20 Q. you sending samplings from 001 and 002 to Beling for 21 analysis? 22 23 If there was a discharge, we would have been,

24

sure.

Q. Do you know what the cost of a month's sampling and analysis would be from Beling?

- A. For NPDES?
- O. Uh-huh.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

- A. If we pumped 30 days, it would be extremely expensive. If we pumped once -- I mean it depends on how many you send in.
- Q. Did you only take samples if you were pumping?
 - A. On 001 during that time, yeah.
- 11 Q. From 1986 to 1996?
- A. Oh, no, no. Well, yeah, I would assume so, sure. It was ponded.
- Q. Now, are you familiar with the sampling that is required under the NPDES permit?
 - A. Relatively speaking, yeah.
- Q. And would you agree that Watts is not always required to composite their samples?
- 19 A. I thought we always composited them.
- Q. Okay. How much does it cost for Beling to run a composite sample?
- A. To composite them?
- 23 O. Uh-huh.
- 24 A. I don't know. They don't charge us for the

1	composite. They charge us for the analytical. They
2	do that.
3	Q. What do they charge you for the analytical?
4	A. Might be 2 or 300 bucks. I don't
5	Q. Per sample?
6	A. Per event?
7	Q. Uh-huh.
8	A. Yeah. I would say something like that.
9	MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: Okay. That's all I
10	have.
11	HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Mr. Northrup, do you
12	have anything else?
13	MR. NORTHRUP: No.
14	HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Okay. We're done
15	with this witness then. Thank you.
16	(Witness excused)
17	HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Please call your next
18	witness.
19	MR. NORTHRUP: Tom Jones.
20	THOMAS A. JONES
21	called as a witness herein, having been first duly
22	sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
23	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY
24	MR. NORTHRUP:

- Q. Go ahead and state your name for the record.
- 2 A. Thomas A. Jones.
- 3 Q. Where do you work?
- A. ESG Watts.
- 5 Q. What do you do there?
- 6 A. I'm an engineer.
- 7 Q. How long have you been with ESG Watts?
- A. I started working there in 1991, February of '91. I did leave there for approximately a year from May of '95 to July of '96.
- 11 Q. Where did you go in that time frame?
- A. I went up to Michigan where I'm originally from.
- 14 Q. What did you do there?
 - A. I worked at a landfill.
- Q. What types of things do you do -- have you done at ESG Watts?
- 18 A. I -- there's a lot of duties that I handle.
- 19 You know, design, permitting, meet with neighbors,
- 20 | take complaints, work on permits, just whatever is
- 21 | necessary.

- Q. Do you draft permit applications?
- 23 \ A. Yes, I do.
- Q. What kind?

- A. Closure plans, you know, cost estimates. You know, I've applied for permits for groundwater monitoring wells. You know, I've had a lot of input and drafted portions of our Sig Mods.
- Q. Why don't you explain a little bit about your educational background, high school and college?
- A. I graduated from high school in 1981. I have a B.S. degree in civil engineering from Michigan Technological University. And I've been employed in the waste business since 1988.
 - Q. Any graduate work after your B.S.?
- A. I've taken a few graduate courses, but I don't have a Master's.
 - Q. Are those related to waste operations?
- A. Somewhat. They're more related to hydrology. I've taken some courses on -- it's the Army Corps of Engineers, it's a program that they've established for hydrology. It's a computer program. It's called the HEP program, and I did a couple courses in that.
 - Q. Anything else?
- A. No.

- Q. Do you attend seminars from time to time?
- A. Yes, I've gone continuing education and seminars, and I have, you know, 40-hour training.

It's like a hazardous type response training.

- Q. Do you hold any professional licenses?
- A. I'm a professional engineer in the State of Illinois and the State of Michigan.
 - Q. When did you receive those licenses?
 - A. The one in Illinois I received in I think '94 and the one in Michigan in '95. Probably the one in Illinois in '93.
 - Q. Do those have any continuing educational requirements to maintain your license?
 - A. Yes, they do.

1.0

- Q. Why don't you describe for me where the landfill is located?
- A. The landfill is located on a bluff overlooking the Mississippi River in Rock Island County. More specifically it's west of -- east of Andalusia. It's in Andalusia Township. You know, it's approximately about five -- about a mile from the Mississippi River to the south of the river. The setting is, you know, typically it's a very rolling terrain or rough terrain, you know, where we're sitting at. There's a lot of ravines that have been created over the years by, you know, just the natural erosion process. There's farmland, you know, around

the property to the east. There's farmland to --

- Q. Here, let me show you People's Exhibit 3, which may be your -- can you tell me what this is?
- A. It's a topographical map of the ESG Watts

 Taylor Ridge Landfill. Basically it encompasses the whole landfill and then there's some boarding property around the landfill but not very much.
- Q. Why don't you tell me -- let's just go around the landfill and describe for me the topography and the land use of the area around the landfill. Why don't we start on the east side.
- A. Okay. This east portion over here, this is a wooded ravine area in here.
 - Q. You have to describe a little --
- A. To the west in the north corner it's a ravine, wooded area.
 - Q. East or west?

A. East. And approximately three or 400 feet further south from, you know, the north boundary a crop field is -- it's usually corn is planted, and it's corn basically all the way down to about the middle of the site, and then I think it's soybeans toward the south side on the east side of the landfill.

- Q. So it's all agricultural?
- A. Yes.

- Q. Is there any slope to that ground?
- A. Toward the south portion it's, you know, rolling hilly. More toward the north it's deep ravines.
 - Q. Okay. How about the -- I guess it would be the south side of the landfill?
 - A. South side is property owned by ESG Watts and it's mostly wooded. Originally it was mostly wooded. We've excavated areas in there to -- for daily cover and final cover and intermediate cover. But for the most part, we're probably in maybe like a five acre area. The rest of it is, you know, wooded in its original state with deep ravines running across the property.
 - Q. You don't landfill in that area?
 - A. No, we do not.
 - Q. How about the west portion?
 - A. Part of the west portion toward the south end is again cropland. I think it's a cornfield. It's, you know, pretty steep, you know, rolling hill. The further north you go there's an open field that has a relatively flat spot but there are deep ravines going

away from it in a lot of different directions.

- Q. What direction do the ravines go? Are they north-south, east-west?
- A. Most of them run north-south. Some feed, you know -- some head to the south to the -- I think it's -- there's a creek that runs along the south border of the landfill and most of them head that way. There's a few that head to the north toward the Mississippi River or, you know, Andalusia Road.
 - O. How about then on the north side?
- A. On the north side there is -- the property is owned by Mr. Whitley. In that corner directly to the northwest there is a pond that was created when -- you know, from my understanding of talking to people, I wasn't there at the time and how it was created, but I was told it was created by somebody constructing an earthen dam across a ravine and then, you know, over the years water has -- runoff water has filled in the ravine to create a pond.
- Q. You are aware -- if I use the term Whitley retention pond, you know what I'm talking about?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. What do you understand that to be?
- A. Mr. Whitley constructed a berm across, you

know, approximately, you know, I don't know, 30 or 40
feet north of our property line to slow down runoff
coming from the surrounding areas. Part of it coming
from our landfill and part of it coming from the
property to the west of us that drains across our
landfill.

- Q. Okay. Let's talk about that. The property directly south of Whitley's retention pond, that's landfill property?
- A. Uh-huh.

- Q. And what's the topography of that? Is there a slope? And where does that go?
 - A. The landfill property?
 - Q. Yeah, the landfill property.
 - A. It's gently sloping. Well, not gently. It's sloping to the -- toward the retention pond and, you know, and most of the water that falls on the landfill in that general area, you know, heads off in that area. We have constructed diversion berms on that northwest area that we try to divert the water toward outfall 001.
 - Q. To the west of the Whitley retention pond describe that property for me, the topography and the slope, if any.

- A. It's -- you know, it's a -- you know, it's a ravine that comes down to the Whitley pond.

 Q. To the Whitley retention pond?
 - A. Yeah. But there's also -- there's kind of like a hill there that prevents any water from going down that way, but it comes --
- Q. Why don't you use this map, just point out directions and that type of thing.
- A. Okay. Well, there's a high area right in here.
- 11 Q. Here. Why don't you go ahead and mark on that.
- MR. DAVIS: Well, wait a minute. This is the original that Mr. Grothus has marked on.

MR. NORTHRUP: Kammueller?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. DAVIS: No, Steve.

HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Let's go off the record for a minute.

(Discussion off the record.)

HEARING OFFICER FRANK: For the record, there were two copies of People's Exhibit Number 3, both have been used for different witnesses and both have marks on them from the hearing process, so we're going to go ahead and admit both of them and they can just

be jointly Exhibit 3. They will both be given to the Board.

And, Tom, I believe you're going to mark on the one which has the outfalls on it.

A. Okay.

HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Okay. So go ahead and use this one.

- A. I'm drawing basically a circle west of ESG Watts' property on the north end of the site. There's an area here that drains in, you know, across down through here. All this drains in here. And this comes in. And it drains along the west edge of our property down this haul road and down around here like that.
- Q. That circle that you've drawn, what is that?

 Is that agricultural field? Is it timber?
- A. It's just a -- mostly it's just a vacant field. I think Mr. Whitley has a few horses that I've seen them up in there in the past. I don't recall seeing them in the most recent past, but I've seen them in the past there. And then there's -- there's like stands of trees, you know. There might be, you know, some trees here and then over here there might be a few trees. But for the most part it's open

pasture.

- Q. Okay. How about just north of that circle you've drawn, what's in that area?
 - A. There's a lot of trees right in this area.
 - Q. Is it sloped?
- A. Yeah, it's a steep embankment that comes down onto our landfill.
- Q. How about the property northeast of the retention pond, what's the topography of that?
- A. It's a steep slope that comes down into the retention pond and into Mr. Whitley's pond.
- Q. Why don't you go ahead and draw a circle or something.
- A. There's a steep slope like right in here.

 And then, you know, further east of that slope it
 levels out to another pasture. I think that one of
 the neighbors uses it to hit golf balls in. So it's
 pretty -- you know, this might be a better map for me
 to --

HEARING OFFICER FRANK: The second circle that you just drew, can you give a verbal picture of where that is so that when the Board is looking at that map they'll be able to tell which circle you're referring to?

- A. The second circle is directly north of the landfill toward the west side. It is directly east of the retention basin.
- Q. Why don't you go ahead and mark that circle with a -- put the bigger circle with an A and then the smaller one with a B.
 - A. Okay.

- Q. Let's talk about watercourses around the landfill. On the map that you've been writing on there is actually some numbers. The northeast corner is 001. Does that represent any kind of watercourse?
- A. It's a natural occurring ravine that's been there for, you know, I don't know how many thousands of years. You know, however long it took for it to develop through the erosional process, but it's been there a long time.
 - Q. That something that Watts has created?
- A. No, it was there before Watts purchased the property. You know, it's a pretty steep ravine through there. I would say the change of elevation is probably, you know, 60, 70 feet from the top of the ravine to the bottom and it's -- you know, it's a pretty steep slope. It's, you know, steeper than the slopes at the landfill.

- Q. Where's the bottom? Where do you consider --
 - A. I don't understand the question.

- Q. If you measure down 60 or 70 feet, where are you going to be on that property?
 - A. In terms of mean sea level or elevation?
 - Q. Just location. Okay. I'll scratch those.

How often is water in that -- I can't remember what you called it -- in 001?

- A. Very rarely. Only when there is some type of precipitation and, you know, it might not last very long. You know, I've seen where it's rained quite heavily and nothing's gone down there. It all depends on how much moisture is already in the ground, you know, the ability and the capability of the surface area to absorb the water.
- Q. You are familiar -- if I use the term Siebke property, you're familiar with that?
- A. Yeah, it's 20 acres -- I think it's approximately 20 acres directly north of the landfill on the east side.
- Q. Now, is that the property that 001 flows -- travels through?
- 23 A. Yes, it is.
 - Q. Can you describe for me the topography, any

slopes on that property?

- A. It's a -- his property is all steep ravines except out toward the -- along Andalusia Road there's a small area that he plants corn on that, you know, that might be an acre that's relatively flat, but most of his property is either, you know, rolling hills or ravines. I'd say 90 percent of his property probably has timber on it, too.
- Q. Let's look at the south side of the landfill.

 Are there any watercourses along the south side of the landfill?
- A. There's an intermittent stream that runs along the south boundary.
 - Q. Do you know the source of that stream?
- A. From what I can tell it starts approximately 1500, 2,000 feet southwest of the landfill in a -- you know, in a farm lot. You know, there's animals running around it. I think the farmer has a pond up -- a dammed-up pond there that kind of is where it starts and then it drains on through his cornfield and then all the way out to the Mississippi.
- Q. How often have you observed water in that intermittent stream?
 - A. You know, again, it depends upon the

- rainfall. But I would say that there's usually water in there. You know, it's not always flowing. A lot of times you'll just see standing water that might be trapped like in a pool or something, but there's usually water, but I would say probably, you know, there's flowing water 60 percent of the time.
 - Q. Okay. That 60 percent does that vary the amount of water that's flowing through there?
 - A. Oh, yeah. You know, definitely. You just might see a trickle going through there or you might -- you know, after a heavy rainstorm it might be a foot deep.
- Q. Have you ever observed any aquatic life in that stream?
 - A. No, I haven't.
- 16 Q. Let's switch gears now.
- 17 You know what a Sig Mod permit application is?
- 18 | A. Yes, I do.

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

21

- Q. Has one been submitted for the Taylor Ridge site?
 - A. One has been submitted twice.
 - Q. Okay. When was the first one submitted?
- A. I want to say sometime in 1993. I think we got a denial in February of '90 -- was it '94? So it

1 | would have been submitted sometime in '93.

- Q. What happened to that submittal? I should say did the Agency act on that submittal?
- A. Well, at first it was -- there was a completeness review. It was deemed incomplete. We met with the Agency personnel. We discussed with them the issues that were involved. We corrected them. We were issued a letter of completeness. And they started to begin the official review of the application and then we received a denial of that application.
 - Q. Let me show you what I have marked as Respondent's Number 8. Can you identify that for me, please?
 - A. It's a section of the Significant

 Modification that included the Closure and Postclosure

 Care Plan and cost estimates for the Taylor Ridge

 Landfill.
 - Q. Who prepared that?
- 20 A. I prepared it.
 - Q. Is that a true and accurate copy of that portion of the Sig Mod?
- A. To the best of my recollection.
- MR. NORTHRUP: I'd go ahead and offer

1 | Respondent's 8.

1.0

HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Do you have a date?

Is that the most recent submittal?

A. No, this is not.

MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: Yeah, which Sig Mod are you talking about?

A. This was the first Sig Mod that was denied.

HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Is there any
objection to it?

MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: I'm going to object. I don't think this is relevant to the issues at hand. This submittal has already been filed with the Agency, and it's already been denied by the Agency. There's a permit appeal filed with the Board. If there are issues regarding this, I think they're better taken up in the permit appeal.

MR. NORTHRUP: Well, first of all, I've provided this. One of the allegations in the complaint is that no cost revisions were submitted until -- I can't remember when. These are cost revisions that were submitted with the original Sig Mod, which in the PCB opinion 94-127, when the issue came up with respect to the submittals of the Sig Mod, the Board accepted these as being a valid submission

-- submittal. I mean one of your allegations is that 1 closure plans haven't been submitted. 2 MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: I think there's some 3 confusion, Charlie, over what the allegations are. 4 You might want to look at page 7 of the complaint. 5 MR. NORTHRUP: Mr. Taylor, as well, testified 6 that closure plans had not been submitted, when in 7 fact this document had been submitted. 8 9 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Mr. Northrup, do you have anything else? 10 MR. NORTHRUP: Well, sure, on page 7 they 11 make the allegation in paragraph 21 that by failing to 12 13 revise the cost estimates on or before April 30th, 1995. In fact, this document revising the cost 14 estimates was submitted in September of '94 as 15 indicated on -- in paragraph 20. And the Board in PCB 16 94-127 has accepted the submittal -- has said the 17 submittal of cost revisions with those Sig Mods 18 constitutes the formal submittal of the cost 19 revisions. 20 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Now you're confusing 21 me. Are these the second submittal or the original 22 23 submittal? MR. NORTHRUP: This is the original. 24

```
HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Okay. Do you have
1
     anything further?
2
              MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: The same objection.
 3
 4
     They've since filed a revised or a new submittal for
     the Sig Mod. I don't think this is relevant to the
 5
     issues before the Board.
 6
              MR. NORTHRUP: Well, it's extremely relevant.
 7
 8
              HEARING OFFICER FRANK: I'm going to allow
     it, so you don't need to argue any more.
9
              MR. DAVIS: Which exhibits are we talking
10
     about for the record?
11
              HEARING OFFICER FRANK: My understanding is
12
    Exhibit 8.
13
              MR. NORTHRUP: 8.
14
              HEARING OFFICER FRANK: The section of it
15
    which has the cost estimates.
16
              MR. DAVIS: I'm looking at Exhibit 9 which
17
18
     has the cost estimates. So I just wonder how many
     different ones we have.
19
              MR. NORTHRUP: This is a different one.
                                                       This
20
    was the next one they did. 8 is from the original Sig
21
     Mod that was submitted back in September of '94.
22
23
              HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Do they have 8?
24
              MR. DAVIS: If I may, Ms. Hearing Officer,
```

we've got an allegation on page 6 in paragraph 16 that alleges that there was a failure to revise the estimates on or before November 28, 1992. That was the permit imposed date. We tried to acknowledge in the complaint that there had been some permit activity. And then on paragraph 20 on page 7 we say that there was a subsequent failure after the Sig Mod was denied in February of '95 to revise the cost estimates. So I hope it's clear at least to the Board that there is a time period that is not intended to be covered by our complaint, and that we are not trying to relitigate a case that we won in 94-127. We're trying to move on and obtain the relief that we should have been granted.

So, you know, I'm looking at Exhibit 9, which is dated October '95, and that -- you know, that would seem to be pertinent, but it doesn't negate the allegation in paragraph 21 on page 7 that Watts failed to revise the cost estimates on or before April 30th, 1995.

HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Okay. I don't -first of all, I don't believe Mr. Northrup has tried
to move Exhibit 9 yet. My understanding was we were
talking about Exhibit 8.

MR. NORTHRUP: That's correct. 1 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: And as to whether a 2 document does or does not negate items in the 3 complaint, that's up to the Board. 4 MR. DAVIS: I'm simply responding, and albeit 5 Mr. Jones is not my witness, but Mr. Northrup has made 6 statements in support of 8 that tends to confuse the 7 whole big picture, and that's what I'm concerned 8 9 about. If 8's in, that's fine. But let's not -- you 10 know, let's not have a mistaken impression on what the 11 complaint says. You know, the allegations are clear 12 and that's fine. But that's really what I'm trying to 13 correct. I'm not trying to say it shouldn't be 14 admitted. I'm simply responding to that argument. 15 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Okay, that's fine. 16 Let's go ahead and move on. MR. NORTHRUP: So 8 is admitted? 1.7 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Yes. 18 (Respondent's Exhibit Number 8 19 admitted.) 20 Now, with respect to the original Sig Mod 21 submittal, you said that was denied by the Agency, is 22 23 that correct? 24 Α. That's correct.

- Q. What did you do in response to that denial?
- A. Well, we met with our consulting firm,

 CH2M-Hill and, you know, we looked at the denial

 points that we had on the denial letter, and we just

 reviewed them and, you know, what we had to do to

 correct the deficiencies. We held meetings with the

 Agency to discuss the denial points in trying to

 figure out what they expected in the application.
 - Q. Did you submit any documents in response to your meetings with the Agency?
 - A. No.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. I believe Respondent's Exhibit 1, which was referred to as a Sig Mod resubmittal, was submitted in October of 1996. Are you aware of that?
- A. Yes. We did redo the application, address the points, and then we did resubmit another Sig Mod.
- Q. Okay. And at some point after that resubmittal did you learn that that submittal was not in the form the Agency wanted it in?
- A. Yes. We received the information back with a letter addressing the fact that they felt it was not adequate, what they were looking for.
 - Q. What did you do in response to that?
- A. We put together a complete application with

the signature forms and sent it off to the Agency. 1 Q. Now, since the original submittal of the Sig 2 Mod has Watts submitted any additional cost revisions 3 to the Agency? 5 Yes, there's been three revisions since the Α. original Sig Mod was submitted. 6 Okay. Let me show you what I've marked as 7 Respondent's Exhibit 9. Can you identify that for me, 8 9 please? That's a Closure/Postclosure Care Plan and 10 Α. cost estimates for the ESG Watts Taylor Ridge Landfill 11 that was submitted in October of '95. 12 13 Q. Okay. Did you prepare that? 14 Α. I prepared it. 15 Q. Was that submitted to the Agency? Yes, it was. 16 Α. Is that a true and accurate copy of that 17 18 document that was submitted to the Agency? From a cursory review it looks like it is 19 Α. what I submitted. 20 21 MR. NORTHRUP: Okay. I would go ahead and offer Respondent's 9 into evidence. 22

MS. SYMONS-JACKSON:

HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Any objection?

23

1 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Okay. Then it's 2 admitted. 3 (Respondent's Exhibit Number 9 admitted.) 4 5 Q. Now, was a permit issued following the 6 submittal of that application? 7 Α. Yes, it was. And let me hand you People's Exhibit 56. 8 9 Would that be the permit that was issued? 10 Α. Yes, it is. 11 Does that permit contain a groundwater 12 assessment program? 1.3 Yes, it does. 1.4 Did your application contain a request for a 15 groundwater assessment program? No, it did not. 16 Α. Where did the requirement in the permit come 17 Q. 18 from, if you know? 19 Α. I have no idea. The Agency unilaterally 20 issued an assessment monitoring program without us 21 requesting it. 22 Okay. What's the status of that permit, Q. People's 56? 23

It's under appeal.

24

Α.

Q. Do you know what the denial points of that -- do you know what the grounds are that Watts appealed that permit?

- A. We didn't necessarily agree with the groundwater monitoring assessment plan that the Agency proposed. We are working on our own, and actually, it has been submitted to the Agency in the Sig Mod, and, you know, we felt that we want to be in control of, you know, of the assessment process, you know, as recommended by our consulting firms.
- Q. Since the issuance of this permit, People's 56, has Watts submitted any additional cost revisions?
 - A. Two additional ones since that's been issued.
 - Q. When -- describe for me those submittals.
- A. One was submitted in March of '96 that dealt with the Gas Management Plan that we submitted to the Agency. There was some additional cost associated for closure of that activity and the postclosure care of it. That application addressed those issues and those costs.
- Q. Now, has there been any other submittals since then?
- A. Yeah, just recently, the one permit that I just looked at, exhibit -- People's Exhibit Number 56

1 has a special condition that the operator -- number 7,

- 2 | the operator shall file and revise cost estimates for
- 3 closure and postclosure care at least every two years
- 4 | in accordance with 35 Illinois Administrative Code
- 5 | Subtitle G Part 807 Subpart F. The next revised cost
- 6 estimates are due on or before November 28th, 1996.
- 7 And that has been submitted.
- Q. Let me show you Respondent's Exhibit Number 9 11.
- HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Do you know you
- 11 | haven't had 10?
- MR. NORTHRUP: Yeah.
- Q. Can you identify that for me, please?
- 14 A. It's a copy of an April 26th, 1993
- 15 | application for revised closure plan and revised cost
- 16 | estimates for the closure of the Watts landfill in
- 17 | Taylor Ridge.
- 18 Q. Did you prepare that document?
- 19 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Is that a true and accurate copy of the
- 21 document that was submitted to the IEPA?
- 22 A. Yes, it is.
- MR. NORTHRUP: I'd move for the admission of
- 24 | Respondent's 11.

HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Any objection? 1 MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: One second, please. 2 We have no objection. 3 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Okay. Then it's 4 admitted. 5 (Respondent's Exhibit Number 11 6 admitted.) 7 Let's shift gears and start talking about the 8 9 gas management system. What's your understanding of the term landfill 10 11 gas? It's gas that's generated by decomposing 12 garbage that's approximately 50 percent methane. 13 There's CO2. There's nitrogen and various other 14 compounds that, you know, make up less than, you know, 15 16 one percent. Let me go back. What prior experience, if 17 any, do you have with landfill gas and landfill gas 18 management systems? 19 20 I've been involved with landfill gas projects since 1988 on various landfills in the State of 21 22 Michigan. So your experience is not just related to 23 your experience at Watts? 24

A. No, it is not.

- Q. What are some of the other companies that you've had experience with?
- A. Wayne Disposal. I've been involved with an ongoing gas project. They have a 450 acre landfill in the Detroit area, and there was always need to install additional gas wells there, and so it was an ongoing project where we were installing wells and withdrawing the gas and generating electricity with the gas.
 - Q. How is landfill gas generated?
 - A. From the decomposition of garbage.
 - Q. How does that process work, if you know?
- A. It's an anaerobic process, just as the garbage decays it gives off, you know, methane and carbon dioxide. It's just the -- it's a natural process of breaking down. You know, as anything starts to decay, it gives off methane. You know, in the forest, you know, the leaves they start decaying, they, you know, in an anaerobic condition they could break down and give off methane and carbon dioxide.
- Q. Have you smelled methane gas at the Taylor Ridge Landfill?
 - A. Yes, I have.
- Q. Do you smell it every day?

A. Not every day.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

20

21

- Q. Let me show you what I've marked as
 Respondent's Exhibit 10, and can you identify that for
 me, please?
- A. This is an application to install a gas management system at the Watts Taylor Ridge Landfill.
 - Q. Did you prepare that submittal?
- A. I was actively involved in the submittal and I signed the application as a PE.
- Q. Describe the -- how you were actively involved with that.
- 12 RTC originally sent me an application that they were going to send in on behalf of Watts. 13 reviewed it. I told them it was unacceptable. 14 started rewriting it. I probably rewrote, you know, 15 16 50 to 75 percent of the application, sent it back to They had their comments added to it and expanded 17 18 on the application, sent it back to me. I found it acceptable and we submitted it to the Illinois EPA. 19
 - Q. Is Respondent's 10 a true and accurate copy of what was submitted?
 - A. Yes, it is.
- MR. NORTHRUP: I'd offer Respondent's 10.
- 24 | HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Is there any

objection? 1 2 MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: No. HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Okay. Then it's 3 4 admitted. (Respondent's Exhibit Number 10 5 admitted.) 6 7 Q. Now, was a permit issued for that gas management? 8 Yes, it was. I think it was issued in May or 9 Α. June of '96. 10 11 Q. Let me show you People's Exhibit 2. Would 12 that be the permit? 13 Yes, it is. June -- it was issued June 13, 14 1996. Why don't you take a look at Respondent's 10, 15 16 the permit application. Does that application contain cost estimates? 17 18 Α. Yes, it does. And what is that cost estimate? 19 Just for the gas portion the cost added 20 740,000 dollars to the cost estimates for the site. 21 22 Q. And is that reflected in the gas permit that was issued? 23

Yes, it is. They -- I think from the

24

Α.

application we submitted in June -- October of '95 it
was I think 558,000 dollars and this application
raised it to like 1.2 or 3 million dollars. Yeah. On
page 5 condition number 7 it states that the new cost
estimate for closure/postclosure care is basically 1.3
million dollars.

I'd also like to point out that --

MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: I'm going to object.

There's been no question posed to this witness.

HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Sustained.

- Q. What is your understanding of whose responsibility it is to obtain financial assurance?
- A. The responsibility rests with ESG Watts. We do have a contractual relationship with RTC that any and all activities that they perform on our site they are responsible for any financial repercussions for that, which would include the additional closure costs.
 - Q. But you understand under the law it's ESG Watts' responsibility?
 - A. Yes, I do.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Have you been involved in any attempts to obtain financial assurance?
 - A. Yes, I have.

- Q. Why don't you describe those for me?
- Since the middle of 1995 I've been working 2 Α. with a gentleman by the name of Jack Lawley who owns 3 Lawley Insurance Company. They're out of Buffalo, New 5 We've been working on various programs that York. would allow Watts to fund our trust funds or, I guess, 6 come up with another mechanism to meet the requirement 7 without having to have a trust fund where we have 8 large amounts of cash sitting in a bank. 9
 - Q. Does Watts currently have whatever it was in the permit, 1.2 million in financial assurance?
 - A. No, we do not.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Were you involved in bringing RTC to the landfill?
 - A. Yes, I was.
- Q. Okay. Explain that for me.
- A. Probably back shortly after I started working at Watts in '91 I -- one of the things that was identified that needed work was a Gas Management Plan, and I was looking at various mechanisms to fund it, if we could work out a joint venture with somebody or, you know, get somebody to come in and install the system, just a lot of different things. I probably talked to about three or four different companies. We

were in negotiations with one company for probably more than a year before we approached RTC. Those negotiations fell through.

Q. Okay. When did you approach RTC?

- A. RTC was approached in December of '94. They had contacted us probably six months prior to that and I had told them I was in negotiations with a company called Air Products, and at that time I said, you know, I don't want to be negotiating with two different companies. If things don't work out with Air Products, I will contact you. And I contacted them and it was December of '94.
- Q. So for the preceding year, going back to December -- approximately December of '93 you were negotiating with this company called Air Products?
- A. I started negotiations with Air Products in December of '93.
- Q. And the negotiations -- the purpose of the negotiations was what?
- A. Were to determine what they would construct on-site, how much it would cost, whose responsibility it would be to -- you know, just the money issues on, you know, the cost of the site or the construction of the system, the management of the system. And

basically what happened there is we had a contract worked out with Air Products. We had signed it and sent it off for them to sign it and they -- their legal department had some problems with them, so they basically tossed the contract and they started from scratch with a new one. I gave them a deadline to have me a new one by on a certain date, and they missed that deadline, and I started talking with RTC.

- Q. And that would have been in December of '94?
- A. December of '94.

- Q. When -- you do have a -- Watts does have a contract with RTC?
- A. We've hired them as a contractor to construct the gas management site -- gas management system on our site.
- Q. And when did you do that?
- A. I think the contract was signed like in June of '95.
 - Q. So -- and then what specifically were you doing then between December of '94 and June of '95?
 - A. Negotiations.
 - Q. What is your understanding of the term final cover?
 - A. At this site final cover in all areas that

- did not accept waste after I think it was in October
- 2 of '93, if they had -- it's two feet of final cover.
- 3 I think it's referred in the regs as a low
- 4 permeability layer and then six inches of topsoil or
- 5 | soil to sustain vegetative growth.
 - Q. Does Taylor Ridge have final cover?
- 7 A. In a lot of areas it does.
- 8 Q. I'm sorry?

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 9 A. In a lot of areas it does. Probably 90
 10 percent of the closed portion of the site has final
 11 cover.
- 12 O. Has that cover been certified?
 - A. We hired a firm to do a certification.

 They're in the process of doing that. They have completed the thickness portion of it and they have determined that 90 percent of the site has that minimum thickness as required, but the certification is not complete due -- the soil has to meet certain requirements.
 - Q. Who did you retain to do the testing out there?
 - A. There's two different firms that we're under a contract with to do work. Actually, there's three.

 There's Noble Earth Corporation; there is Able

1 | Technologies; and then Indeco.

MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: What was the last one?

- A. Indeco, I-n-d-e-c-o.
- O. What was the middle one, the second one?
- A. Able Technologies.
- Q. And what is Able Technologies doing?
- A. Able Technologies, they are performing the -I guess providing the PE stamp. It has to be
 certified by a professional engineer. The
 relationship -- Noble Earth is doing a lot of the
 field work and Able Technologies, they've been on-site
 a couple times to review their field activities, and
 Able Technologies relies on the information supplied
 by Noble Earth Corporation to certify the cover.
 Indeco is doing all the laboratory testing for the
 soil cover. And Able Technologies will also rely on
 - Q. Let me show you what I've previously marked as Respondent's Exhibit 5. Can you identify that for me, please?

that information to certify the cover.

- A. This is a Leachate Control Plan that was developed based on the outcome of a Circuit Court ruling.
 - Q. What's your understanding of that Circuit

Court ruling?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- A. The judge at the time issued an order that ESG Watts develop a Leachate Control Plan.
 - Q. When was that document prepared?
 - A. It was shortly after the ruling. You know, the judge's ruling was issued in, you know, September of '93, and we probably would have done it that fall.
 - Q. Did you prepare that document?
 - A. I helped prepare it. I didn't do it completely. It was a joint effort by myself and Tom Quinn.
- 12 Q. Who's Tom Quinn?
- A. Tom Quinn used to be the general manager of Land ESG Watts.
- Q. Is that a true and accurate copy of the original Leachate Control Plan?
- 17 A. Yes.
- MR. NORTHRUP: I'd go ahead and offer Respondent's 5.
- 20 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Is there any 21 objection?
- MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: I would reserve an objection subject to our cross-examination of this witness.

HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Okay. Can we go off the record for a moment.

(Discussion off the record.)

HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Okay.

б

1.5

- Q. Have you submitted that document to the Agency?
- A. I think we've supplied it to them. Not as a formal application. You know, not like in a supplemental permit, but it has been supplied to Agency personnel.
- Q. Do you keep a copy of this document at the landfill?
 - A. It's kept in our operating record.
 - Q. What's the operating record?
- A. The operating record is a record that's required by Subtitle D regulations that were imposed by the federal government and the Illinois EPA has adopted a lot of those regulations. One of the things is an operating record where you keep all the information that you develop on the site. You know, there's various things that have to be in it like groundwater monitoring results. You know, there has to be cover records for, you know, daily cover, intermediate cover, and final cover. Just various

type of operational records that document activities that we have done or completed.

- Q. Does Watts rely upon that document?
- A. Yes, we do.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. Let me show you what has been marked People's Exhibit 24. Can you just identify that for me?
- A. It's an Illinois Environmental Protection
 Agency Air Pollution Odor Log prepared by Jerry
 Martens.
- Q. Have you ever seen that document before?
- A. First time I saw it was today when Mr.
- 12 | Grothus looked at it.
- Q. You never received a copy of this at the landfill?
- 15 A. No, I have not.
- Q. Let me just hand you a copy of People's

 Exhibit 14. Can you identify that for me, please?
- A. It's a NPDES permit issued by the Division of
 Water Pollution Control on April 16th, 1996.
- Q. Does that permit require Watts to maintain a 21 Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan?
- A. Yes, it does. There's a condition in here somewhere that requires that.
 - Q. Has Watts prepared such a plan?

- A. Watts hired a consulting firm to prepare one for us. CH2M-Hill of Milwaukee, Wisconsin, prepared a -- I think they sent us a draft copy of it in December of '95.
 - Q. Has that plan been finalized since that time?
 - A. I think there are still changes that we're making on it, but for the most part it's probably 90 percent complete. We're still complying with the portions that we feel are pertinent in the plan.
 - Q. Where is that plan kept physically?
- A. It's kept in the operating record at the landfill.
 - Q. Do you rely upon that plan?
- A. Yes, we do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

13

19

20

21

- Q. Now, were you at the October -- it's either the 29th or the 30th -- were you at the hearings in this case?
- 18 A. Yes, I was.
 - Q. Do you recall Mr. James Kammueller testifying that I believe on the 30th he had gone to the landfill to look at this storm water prevention plan?
 - A. Yes, he did.
- Q. Do you recall that he testified that no one at the landfill could show him a copy of that?

- 1 A. I remember that testimony, yes.
- Q. Do you recall who he testified he spoke with?
- A. Shirley, our receptionist, and Elmer Elliott, the manager out at the landfill.
 - Q. Would Shirley know where this plan is kept?
 - A. Probably not.

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

- Q. Would Elmer know where this plan is kept?
- A. He should, but he probably didn't.
 - Q. Are there other people at the landfill who would know where that plan is kept?
- A. There's probably -- I know three people that
 would know where they could find it. One would be me,
 Steve Grothus, and Nikki Wuestenberg.
 - Q. Was Mr. Grothus or Ms. Wuestenberg at the landfill that morning?
 - A. No. We were all at the hearing.
- Q. Let's take a look at a document that has been marked People's Exhibit Number 7. Can you identify that for me, please?
- A. It's a February 14th, 1994 inspection report
 that James Kammueller performed at the Watts landfill
 up in Taylor Ridge.
- 23 \ Q. Were you present on February 14th?
- A. Yes, I was.

- Q. Did you accompany Mr. Kammueller on his inspection?
 - A. Yes, I did.

- Q. Actually, I should say have you ever seen this document before, People's 7?
- A. I've seen it since the beginning of this hearing, but it was never mailed to me or -- before that -- before the hearing.
- Q. On page 3 of that document Mr. Kammueller has written out under the title Apparent Violations a failure to monitor outfall 001 as required by the NPDES permit. On page number 4 at the top he says Failure to Monitor Outfall 002, and then the next paragraph is Falsification of DMRs. No discharge is usually reported for both outfalls.

Now, Mr. Jones, have you ever intentionally falsified any DMRs?

- A. No, I have not.
- Q. Can you explain why you were apparently writing no discharge for outfalls -- for outfall 001?
- A. It was my understanding from the permit that that outfall was for ponded storm water that we discharged from the site, and at that point, you know, we stopped collecting ponded storm water and, you

know, we weren't pumping it. You know, my instructions to our operating people, if at any time they ever pump water from our site, they were to inform me so we could obtain a sample. And every time that I've been informed that we were pumping water from the site, we obtained a sample.

- Q. It also says a failure to monitor or apparently you wrote no discharge on outfall 002 as well. Can you explain that for me, please?
- A. I guess basically it was ignorance on my part. Looking at it, we should have been doing that. I took over a program that was in existence, and I tried to sort out and figure out what we had to do. Nobody had been monitoring 002 before I'd been there. You know, we were never cited as, you know, we were doing anything wrong, so I continued the program that was in existence.
- Q. Let me show you what I have marked as Respondent's Exhibit 12. Can you identify that for me, please?
- A. It's a public notice fax sheet proposed modified NPDES permit to discharge into waters of the State. It's stamped the date is May 4th, 1990. At the time it was my understanding this was our permit,

but it's since come to our attention that this permit 1 was never issued and that it's always been a draft. 2 This permit was never denied, but it was just never 3 issued either. Have you relied upon that permit? 5 0. 6 Α. Yes, I did. MR. NORTHRUP: I would go ahead and offer 7 8 Respondent's 12 into evidence. 9 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Is there any 10 objection? 11 MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: Do you have a copy of that for us? 12 13 MR. NORTHRUP: Yeah, you should have that in 14 your stack. MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: Charlie, you can give us 15 a copy of that later. I think we've seen this 16 17 document before. 18 MR. NORTHRUP: You have? MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: Well, I assume it's in 19 20 the Agency files. MR. NORTHRUP: Well, yeah, I would assume so. 21 22 Do you want to take a look at it?

MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: I believe we'll

stipulate to the introduction of this document into

23

```
evidence. However, for the record I would say this is
1
     not a permit. It was never issued as a NPDES permit,
 2
     and I would object to any reference to this document
 3
     as a permit.
              HEARING OFFICER FRANK: I think Mr. Jones has
 5
     made it clear that and Mr. Northrup through what
 б
     they've already stated that it's a draft document.
7
              MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: Okay. That's fine.
 8
     just wanted to make sure it was clear for the record
 9
     that --
10
              HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Then it's admitted
11
     into evidence.
12
                   (Respondent's Exhibit Number 12
13
                   admitted.)
14
              Going back to People's Exhibit 7, on page 5
15
         Q.
     you will see there is a list of things under the
16
17
     heading recommendations.
              Uh-huh.
         Α.
18
              The first one monitor per 1986 permit.
                                                       Since
19
         Q.
20
     that February 14 inspection of '94 have you, in fact,
     been monitoring per the 1986 permit?
21
              We have relied on the 1986 permit as our
22
     guidance to monitor for the NPDES discharge.
23
```

Q.

Okay. And prior to -- well, it says as

discussed during the inspection. Who did you have this discussion with?

- A. This discussion was held with James Kammueller.
- Q. And prior to this time apparently you were not relying on the '86 permit?
 - A. No, I was not.

3

4

5

6

8

9

16

17

18

- Q. And what permit were you relying on?
- A. I was relying --
- MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: I'm going to object again. You're calling it a permit.
- Q. What document were you relying on prior to this discussion?
- A. I was relying on the draft document from May of 1990.
 - Q. Okay. But -- and what did Mr. Kammueller say about that?
 - A. He told me I was incorrect that that permit --
- 20 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: That document.
- A. That document -- actually, at that time
 during the inspection when I showed him that, he was
 unaware of it and did not know of its existence. He
 was only aware of the permit from 1986. If I

recollect correctly, he did do a file search and he cleared up, you know, that -- at the inspection he was pretty confident that a permit was never issued for that, but he said that he would review the files to make sure, and he did call me and tell me that one was never issued.

- Q. So again, since that conversation you have been monitoring per the 1986 NPDES permit?
- A. That, and, you know, the permit -- there's -you know, the Code of Federal Regulations that expand
 upon the permit, too. There's other information in
 there that we're required to and I rely on that also.
 - Q. Okay. What other information would that be?
- A. Just there's basically sampling procedures. It expands upon the permit. You know, the proper methods for analytical, retention times. There's a lot more definitions in the Code of Federal Regulations. You know, what constitutes a sampling event, stuff like that.
 - Q. Are you today relying on this 1986 permit?
 - A. No, we're not.
 - Q. And why not?

A. A new permit has been issued by the Division of Water of the Illinois Environmental Protection

Agency.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

- Q. Number 2 under recommendations, in fact, says submit a revised NPDES permit renewal application?
- A. We did that. I'd also like to point out that there was another application submitted in 1991 that the Agency never acted on.
- Q. Okay. Well, when did Watts submit a renewal application for their NPDES permit?
 - A. It was in February of 1991.
- 10 Q. Okay.
- HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Is this renewal of the 1986 permit?
- A. Yes, it is. It's a renewal of the 1986 permit.
 - Q. Okay. And what happened to that permit application?
- Shortly after James Kammueller came out to 17 the site, the Agency sent us a letter -- which would 18 19 have been, you know, in February 1994, the Agency sent 20 us a letter with the notice of the intent to deny that permit. That permit was never denied. We requested 21 22 that we withdraw the permit from the Agency. 23 felt it wasn't representative of what was happening on 24 the site. When I got the letter, I called Rick

Pinneo. I don't think that he issued the letter. It was issued by Thomas McSwiggin. But I think it said please contact Rick Pinneo. I contacted Mr. Pinneo, who works in the Division of Water, and I asked him, you know, "What's this about?" He says, "Well, we don't feel it's representative of what's happening with the site." I said, "Are you going to deny this permit or is there an opportunity for us to work this out?" And Rick says, "Hey, you know, come on down, let's set up a meeting, and we'll work something out." He was very cooperative, very helpful. And we held a meeting shortly after that to come up with a new NPDES permit that reflects the activities at the site.

- Q. Did you then make a resubmittal?
- A. Yes, we did.

- 16 \ O. And what has happened to that resubmittal?
- A. There was a permit issued based upon that application. It was a little different than what we applied for.
 - Q. Under number 3 the recommendation talks about developing and implementing a comprehensive Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan. Now, have you done that?
 - A. Yes, we have. We've done that. We had a

- 31 (d) meeting with Mr. -- Mr. Davis was present and
 Mr. Kammueller and various other personnel of the

 State, and they requested a lot of different things
 for us to do, and that was one of the requirements
 that they requested that we do, and we immediately
 hired CH2M-Hill to do that and, you know, a draft copy
 was issued to us in December of '95.
 - Q. This is the Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan that we've been discussing earlier that Shirley and Elmer couldn't find?
 - A. That is correct.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. Respondent's Exhibit 2. Why don't you take a look at what's been previously marked as Respondent's Exhibit 2. Can you just identify that for me?
- A. It is a draft copy of the Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan.
- Q. Why don't -- take a look at page number 5 under recommendations. And I want you to go down each of these subparts, A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, read that and explain to me what, if anything, Watts has done to comply with that.
 - A. Okay. Point A.
- MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: Just a minute. What exhibit are we looking at?

MR. NORTHRUP: People's 7.

MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: That's the 1994 inspection report?

MR. NORTHRUP: February 14, '94, right, page 5.

MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: Okay.

A. "Point A. Provide final cover and contouring as needed, and establish vegetative cover on cap, side slopes and perimeter areas."

That's been an ongoing process at the site. You know, you're always disturbing soil, so we're always having to, you know, put more cover on, you know, recontour it, regrade it, add, you know, vegetative --we've hired, you know, a local farmer who has come out and he's vegetated, you know, our surfaces on a couple occasions, you know, seeded them. We used like a drill seeder. You know, we've had landfill employees also go out and, you know, put down grass seed on various areas of the landfill. And we've constructed various berms to divert water away from the active face of the landfill. We've constructed berms to divert water away from Whitley's retention basin on his property. We've, you know, we've constructed a lot of different type of berms to control the storm

water runoff.

1

2

22

23

- Q. Where have these berms been constructed?
- There was one in particular that was a major 3 Α. project that we worked on was -- it was constructed on 4 5 -- it would have been like the west slope and it would have been in the center of the landfill, kind of like 6 in the active portion, and everything that fell like 7 on the top and went east toward the active area it was 8 9 caught by this berm and diverted toward outfall 001 10 and away from the active area. You know, it's something that -- we've put silt fences on that berm 11 12 to slow the water down as it goes. We've placed bales 13 of hay there. We've constructed or we've placed bales 14 of hay that we take large fence posts and we nail them 15 down into the ground. We've done that along the west road headed toward Whitley's pond. We've done it, you 16 know, all in that general area. We've, you know, 17 18 constructed silt fences over in that northwest area 19 and just about anywhere where we see an erosional 20 problem we will put up a silt fence or a bale of hay or straw to slow down the runoff. 21
 - Q. With respect to the seeding and vegetation, how effective has that been?
 - A. It depends. A lot of it is a timing issue.

You know, if you get it down and, you know, you get the right amount of rain, and it grows, it's effective. But if you get a big rainstorm, it will wash all the seed away before it has a chance to germinate and take hold. Or sometimes you might go a period where you don't get any rain and you get hardly any growth because of the lack of rain. But, you know, when it is able to grow under the right conditions, we're able -- it's pretty effective.

- Anything else under subpart A? Q.
- Α. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

- Why don't you go on to B? Ο.
- "Construct defined watercourses and/or Α. conduits to direct storm water to sedimentation ponds prior to discharge."

Something we have a problem there is that the sedimentation ponds -- it's difficult for us to construct any type of sedimentation ponds on our property. We did discuss and explore our options to build sedimentation ponds over the garbage.

- Basically --
 - Who did you have those discussions with?
- Division of Water and Division of Land. 23 Α. Division of Water they had no problems with it, but

they said that was not something that they were allowed to permit, that was a Division of Land issue. You know, they did want to see sed ponds, but it was not in their power to permit the location and the design. That was solely up to the Division of Land. And I think CH2M-Hill came up with a couple of designs where they used a synthetic liner over closed portions of the landfill to try to collect runoff and store it for retention time to allow the sedimentation to fall out and then we could discharge it. But the Division of Land, you know, in initial discussions they said that was not a good idea and they don't think that they would approve it, so we didn't, you know, proceed with that avenue.

- Q. What other avenues then have you proceeded with, if any?
- A. Well, basically just, you know, trying to construct, you know, the berms and stuff to slow down the water and, you know, the seeding. Just trying to control, you know, water sources and direct the water where we want it to go. You know, water is a pretty strong force of nature and it does what it wants to do a lot of times.
 - Q. Is that it for subpart B?

A. Yes.

1.0

1.8

- 2 O. Okay. Go on to C.
 - A. "Erosion control methods in open ditches should include riprap, silt fences and vegetative cover."

You know, we have silt fences all over the site.

You know, it's an ongoing process where, you know,
we've placed the silt fences. We are exploring other
options at this time to slow down and control the
runoff. You know, we have seeded. We have also used
-- we were written up for this, but we were using wood
chips to control erosion on our interior roads. We
were cited for uncovered refuse for using wood chips.
We argued that with the inspector that the wood chips
were not waste. They were something that by process
of, you know, it's a commodity that we had paid for to
use for that purpose, and we paid for the trucking to
go pick up the wood chips and bring them to --

MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: If I can just interpose an objection here. I don't think this is necessarily responsive to the question asked. This gets into stuff we talked about before. It's not in response to efforts they've taken to comply with Subpart 3 C.

MR. NORTHRUP: I would say that's true to a

certain extent. But if you look at Subpart F, they do get into that issue of somehow surfacing the perimeter road and borrow road. So if he doesn't get into it now, he'll talk about it then.

HEARING OFFICER FRANK: I think for the transcript for the Board it would be much better if he specifically talks about each section as we go through it, especially since he's reading the part out loud. So if you can stick to the one that you're on.

- Q. Were you finished with C then?
- A. Yes.

"D. Sedimentation ponds should be equipped with open channel flow measurement devices."

We did contact various vendors of measurement, you know, flow measuring devices. You know, one of the firms was IOSCO, which is I-O-S-C-O, or something like that. There was another firm that we had them come out and do a demonstration at the facility to try to see if their devices would work for our situation.

Both of the individuals that came out --

- Q. Let me interject. You do not have any sedimentation ponds at the landfill, is that correct?
 - A. No, we do not.
 - Q. Okay. So what situation are you talking

about that these people came out to discuss flow meters?

A. We tried to have open channel flow meters, which he recommended.

HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Who he?

- A. Mr. Kammueller. He recommended open channel flow measurement devices leaving a sedimentation pond. We did not have sedimentation ponds, but we looked for something that could be used in the open channels, a ditch that we could place the device that would measure flow leaving our site. And the two vendors that came out to the facility their application would not work in our situation.
 - Q. Okay. Anything else with D?
- A. No.

8.1

- 16 Q. Okay. Go on to E.
 - A. "The landfill perimeter road elevation should be increased to accommodate construction of defined storm water ditches/conduits. Use of the road surface as a storm water conveyance should be discontinued."

We have -- we've added additional soil all, you know, around the perimeter road to prevent it being used as a ditch. There is one area of the landfill we cannot do that. If we were to build the road up any

higher, we would block a drainage pathway coming from Mr. Whitley's property to the west of us that drains down that road. And, you know, we don't want to block that and back up water on his property. But for the most part we've added additional soil around the perimeter roads.

And one of the problems, you know, we run heavy equipment on the roads and it is an ongoing process where we always have to add additional soil. The heavy equipment will tend to beat the roads down and so we do have to add more soil occasionally to keep it up higher.

- Q. Anything else?
- A. No.

- Q. Turn the page.
- A. "The surface of the perimeter road and borrow road should be rocked or hard-surfaced to reduce runoff of soil particles."
- Q. This is subparagraph --
 - A. F on page 6. We have not, you know, added any rock or, you know, we have not hard-surfaced our interior roads or perimeter roads. We did try to use wood chips on the interior roads that the truck traveled on to and from the active waste face.

There's a lot of different reasons why we used that.

One was to reduce the erosion. Other reasons are it suppresses dust. It prevents mud from tracking out onto the road or to other areas of the landfill. We were cited for not having a permit for that. We were also told that, you know, what's the difference if we purchase rock for the road or if we purchase wood chips to use as a road material, and we were told that you don't have a permit for that either, you know, so it was -- you know, we were trying to do things to minimize erosion and mud tracking with wood chips and we were cited with a violation for that.

- Q. Have you applied for a permit to use wood chips?
- A. Yes. It's included in the Significant Modification.
- Q. What other -- well, go ahead on to G if you're done with F.
- A. "Storm water diversionary structures should be provided to minimize the entry of surface water into the active landfill area."

We have -- it's always been a standard operating procedure at the site to construct berms to divert any type of runoff from entering the active area where

we're disposing of garbage, you know. We don't allow, you know, large quantities of the storm water runoff to enter it. It just doesn't happen. You know, the rainfall that lands on it and there's rain that -- in that general area that falls on the garbage, but that's it. There is -- you know, storm water does not run through the active area.

- Q. Is that it for G?
- A. Yes.

- Q. Go on to H.
- A. "H. Develop and implement spill control measures for the outdoor equipment fueling area as needed to achieve compliance with 40 CFR Part 112."
- Q. I guess, first of all, let me ask do you know what 40 CFR Part 112 is?
- A. Well, not in detail. I know basically it's, you know, spill prevention measures to -- you know, where you have operations of heavy equipment, where you're fueling, where you're oiling. They're just trying to prevent you from, you know, spilling the fuel, spilling oil, spilling antifreeze. You know, they just want you to be conscious of the need to have good housekeeping practices for these activities.

 Most of the -- the fueling would be the only problem,

you know, where we would have spills, and there, you know, if they're identified, we will remove the soil and haul it away and then backfill. But most of the oiling that we do and changing of any of the other type of fluids are done inside the building, which is covered and we have a trap that goes down the center of it that everything drains to that and that is cleaned out on a periodic basis and, you know, it's a mixture of, you know, mud and, you know, a little bit of the spillage from those activities and those are hauled down to the active waste face.

- Q. Now, of all these subparts are there -- are these also discussed in the -- your formal most recent Sig Mod submittal?
- A. Yes. There's a part in the Sig Mod that's storm water management plan. It's a section in the Sig Mod. It was in the original Sig Mod that was denied and it's in this Sig Mod.
- Q. Since this inspection of February 14 what has been your -- does Watts have a procedure for sampling the 001 outfall and the 002 outfall?
- A. Yes. You know, since that inspection we've tried to comply with the permit. You know, it's -- I'm not always on-site, you know, if there's a

discharge. But there are people that -- who have been trained and instructed any time that they see any runoff leaving the site, they are to collect a sample. You know, we've gone over, you know, compositing samples. You know, we're not always able to composite samples as required by the permit. You know, a storm event might only last for, you know, an hour. We do not know -- you know, we know when the storm starts but we won't necessarily know when the middle of the storm is and the end of the storm, you know, but we try to do the best we can.

These permits were originally set up for discharges at wastewater treatment plants, and, you know, they control their discharges very closely, you know, at the times when they do it. So they are able to, you know, plan ahead to collect their samples. We are not afforded that opportunity unless we are pumping the water and we know how long we're going to pump it. Then we are able to collect the composites as required.

- Q. What are the requirements regarding compositing or collecting composite samples? What does the permit require?
 - A. You are supposed to collect three equal

aliquots. And I think an aliquot is 100 milliliters, which really isn't very much water. We collect quite a bit more than that for our sampling. You know, there is usually three or four bottles that we collect. Some have preservatives in it. And I should clarify that. Our permit has recently changed and I'm not real familiar with the permit that was recently issued and, you know, and I don't know, you know, what the bottles are that are set up for that and I'm just talking about for the '86 permit.

O. '86 or '96?

1.0

- A. '86 permit. What I'm familiar with. I have

 -- I really have not updated myself on the '96 permit.

 Nikki Wuestenberg has been handling that.
 - Q. You said individuals at the landfill have been trained and instructed on how to collect samples?
 - A. Yeah.
 - Q. Who did the training?
- A. Basically, I sat down with a gentleman by the name of Joe Chenoweth, who handles a lot of these type of things. I'm not at the site 100 percent of the time. You know, I spend a lot of time traveling around to various company operations. You know, I'm probably at the landfill, you know, 60 percent of the

time, 75 percent of the time. And, you know, that 1 2 other 25 percent when I was not there, I spent time with Mr. Chenoweth explaining to him the proper 3 procedures for collecting samples and about 4 5 compositing samples during the storm events. And like I said, most of the time he had trouble -- I mean 6 there have been occasions where we have been able to 7 collect a sample from one of the discharges, but by 8 the time we get to the other side of the landfill to 9 10 collect the discharge, the rain event has stopped and 11 there is no discharge on the other side. So it's a 12 difficult permit to comply with.

HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Let's go off the record for a minute so our court reporter can change paper.

(Discussion off the record.)

HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Let's go back on the record. And, Mr. Jones, you're still under oath.

A. I understand.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Now, were you present when Mr. Kammueller testified in the first two days of this hearing?
 - A. Yes, I was.
- Q. Do you remember him testifying to his belief that the February '94 DMRs had been falsified?

A. Yes, I do.

- Q. In those DMRs it was reported no discharge?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Did you falsify those DMRs?
 - A. No, I did not.
 - Q. Why was there in your opinion no discharge?
 - A. There's a couple reasons. First of all, I tried to obtain a sample after Mr. Kammueller left. By the time I was able to get some bottles for sampling and went out to the site, there was just hardly anything, you know, just a trickle and I just -- it was difficult to obtain a sample.

Mr. Kammueller, he obtained like from outfall 002 he obtained a sample approximately 200 feet from the property line, you know, in the middle on the south side of the landfill. He collected it from a small depression in a haul road where the water was collecting. It was a very small amount. He did not collect that sample as required by our permit. You know, it did not -- that water was not leaving the site. You know, and again, you know, he's been a stickler on like compositing samples from the beginning, middle, and end of the storm. He pulled a grab sample. Mr. Kammueller never -- did not document

was greater than .1 inches. And in my belief I do not feel that the discharge was greater than a tenth of an inch, you know, of snowfall melt. A tenth of an inch of snowfall melt is the equivalent of one inch of rain. One inch of rain is a pretty big storm event.

HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Did you say a tenth of an inch of snowfall melt is equivalent to one inch of rain?

- A. The ratio is considered one to ten.
- Q. Where does that .1 inch come from that you're talking about?
- A. It's in the CFR, the Code of Federal Regulations, on NPDES discharge permits. It was not in our original 1986 permit for -- but it is -- you know, it was a requirement in the CFR and it was something that was added to our most recent NPDES permit that was issued. That is a special condition there, a tenth of an inch.
- Q. What procedures do you have in place now to take samples from outfall 001?
- A. Under the current permit Nikki Wuestenberg is handling most of that. I am familiar with what's going on, having discussions with her on it, but I am

not actively involved. Basically any time we see any type of precipitation, you know, rainfall or if we feel that there could be snowmelt leaving the site, Joe Chenoweth is instructed -- he knows to do this on his own, we don't have to go out and say, "It's raining, Joe, go look." Joe has already gone and looked to see if there is any precipitation leaving the site, any runoff leaving the site. If his first inspection he doesn't see any, he will go back, you know, half hour later, you know, an hour later. He will keep looking at the outfalls to see what type of runoff is leaving the site, if at all any is leaving. He is then instructed to collect a sample of the runoff and he's supposed to go back and collect additional samples periodically so we're able to composite.

- Q. What procedures do you have in place for taking samples from -- and this is prior to the new permit. What procedures did you have in place to sample 002?
 - A. After the inspection from Mr. Kammueller --
 - Q. After the inspection.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A. -- Joe again was instructed to go out and look for discharges along the south side of the

- landfill. And he was supposed to look for a discharge anywhere along that south side.
 - Q. Let me show you what has been marked People's Exhibit 12. Can you just identify that for me, please?
 - A. February 7th, 1996 inspection performed by

 Mr. Kammueller and accompanied by Rick Pinneo of the

 Illinois EPA.
 - Q. Now, on page 2 of that inspection there is a list of apparent violations. And I want to run down some of these.
 - A. Okay.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- Q. Look at number 1, talking about composite samples not always being collected.
- A. Composite samples -- do you want me to read

 16 it?
 - Q. Sure, go ahead and read it.
- A. "The composite samples are not always

 collected as required by the NPDES permit. This

 includes but is not limited to February 8th and 9th,

 1996. Certain chain of custody sheets submitted with

 DMRs also indicate this condition. Discharges were

 certainly continuous on February 9th, 1996, and

 composite samples could have been collected."

Have you had any discussions with Mr. 1 Q. Kammueller on taking composite samples? 2 I've asked him in the --3 Α. Since this time? 4 Ο. 5 Α. Since this time? February '96. 6 Ο. 7 Α. No, I have not. Has your procedure changed at all from this 8 9 time on taking those samples? No, it has not. 10 Α. 11 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Let's go off the record for a second. 12 13 (Discussion off the record.) 14 Q. Take a look at number 5 and read that one. 15 pH is being averaged on certain DMRs. Α. 16 Ο. Do you still average pH on your DMRs? 17 We -- we averaged -- well, since this has 18 been brought to our attention -- and I quess I -- I would have to talk to Nikki. I mean I'm not real 19 20 familiar with that, to be honest with you. But I do 21 know that, you know, we did average the pHs. 22 was no intention of us trying to do anything wrong 23 there. We included the analytical, the raw data from 24 the lab with all the DMRs, so that, you know, it was

obvious what the pH was, that you would be able to identify the high, the low, and the average. And you know, that is something that I'm aware of now.

- Q. Did you attend a -- or I believe you've testified earlier you attended a 31(d) meeting?
 - A. Pertaining to this case, yes, I did.
 - Q. Correct. Do you recall when that was?
 - A. It was August of '95.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

- Q. Do you recall any requests made by the Agency at that time?
- 11 A. There were -- there were numerous requests.

 12 One being that, you know, we submit a revised

 13 Closure/Postclosure Care Plan. Part of the agreement

 14 that --
 - Q. Let's just go one at a time. Has that revised closure plan been submitted?
- A. Yes, it was. There was a special condition that Mr. Davis requested on that.
 - O. What was that?
- A. The regulations require us only to have a postclosure care period for 15 years. Regulations for new sites require 30 years. Mr. Davis requested that we waive our right to do it for 15 years and we estimate it over a 30-year period.

MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: I'm going to object at this point in time. I believe the 31(d) meeting was held as a negotiation at that point with an eye possibly toward settlement. With that in mind, certain negotiations and matters discussed at the 31(d) meeting are not the proper subject for discussion at this hearing as they were negotiations with an eye towards settlement, and I would object to this line of questioning for that reason.

HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Mr. Northrup?

MR. NORTHRUP: My response is in the Attorney General's opening statements, as well as questions to Kammueller I know they brought out what was discussed at that meeting, what certain requests were made of Watts and whether or not those had been complied with. They've opened the door. I think I'm entitled to pursue this.

HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Okay. I'm going to allow the question.

- Q. Okay. What else -- what else other than the revised closure plan?
- A. They requested that we do assessment monitoring for the groundwater. They requested that, you know, get the Sig Mod in.

Q. Have you done that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Yes. Which there's assessment monitoring Α. proposal in the Sig Mod. Mr. Kammueller requested that we put together a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan. You know, he specifically requested that we hire an outside consulting firm to do that, which we complied with. There was -- they requested that we do gas management, leachate control, which we've submitted an application for gas management which has been approved. We're actively constructing a gas management system. We've applied for a permit for a leachate extraction system in our Sig Mod, which we -- you know, we agreed that everything that they requested was reasonable and we would work toward that goal and I think we've -- everything that they requested we have -- we've complied with at this time.
- Q. Was there any discussion of a penalty?

 MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: I'm going to object again. We have not raised the issue of penalty in this case and penalty discussions certainly are settlement -- negotiations towards settlement, and I would object to any mention of penalty in this hearing.

MR. NORTHRUP: They opened the door to this

31(d) meeting by having them say what they proposed to 1 have Watts do. They've opened the door for that. 2 think we're entitled to inquire on penalty issues. 3 MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: If the ruling on the 4 5 prior issue was that we had opened the door and so he was allowed to talk about technical things, that's one 6 thing, but penalty is a different issue. 7 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: The objection is 8 9 sustained. Please ask another question. 10 Ο. Was there anything else discussed at that meeting that you recall other than what you've already 11 12 testified to and the penalty? 13 Yeah, Mr. Davis thought we could reach a negotiated settlement. He didn't want to make a 14 career out of --15 MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: I'm going to object once 16 Mr. Davis is not on trial here. He's not a 17 witness. I don't think this is proper, and I would 18 19 move that the response be stricken. 20 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Sustained. ask another question. 21 22 MR. NORTHRUP: I don't have any further 23 questions.

HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Okay. Then let's go

off the record and take a lunch break. 1 (A recess was taken.) 2 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Let's go back on the 3 record and continue beginning with the 4 cross-examination of Tom Jones. 5 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY 6 MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: 7 8 Mr. Jones, first of all, let's go back and talk about the retention pond. Okay? 9 10 Α. Okay. Do you know which pond I'm referring to when 11 Q. I say retention pond? 12 I assume you're talking about the pond that 13 has been created by Mr. Whitley constructing a berm 14 near our property lines. 15 16 Ο. Okay. It's the retention pond in the 17 northwest corner of the site. 1.8 Α. Okay. And that's the same one you're thinking 19 Q. about, right? 20 Α. That's correct. 21 22 Ο. Okay. Was your testimony earlier that the 23 retention pond receives drainage from property other 24 than the landfill?

- A. There's water that comes off other people's property on our property and drains in the retention pond.
- Q. And what other property are you talking about?
- A. It would be Mr. Whitley's property directly to the west of us.
 - Q. So Mr. Whitley owns the property directly to the north and to the west of the landfill?
 - A. It's my understanding, yes.
- Q. And I think you indicated earlier that that property is just to the west in the northwest corner of the landfill filled with trees and is somewhat hilly, is that what you indicated earlier?
 - A. Yes, I did.

- Q. How can you tell that there is water or run-on from that portion of the facility to the landfill?
 - A. I've watched it. I've seen it.
- Q. And have you watched it -- is there any way to differentiate run-on from that portion of the property as opposed to just storm water runoff from the landfill itself?
 - A. I'm sure you could sit down and run some

calculations based upon the area of each drainage basin and the terrain and the slope. There are ways that you can do it. You know, use the rational method or --

- Q. You've not done any of that testing?
- A. No, I have not.

- Q. Now, the 001 outfall under the NPDES permit, this does not drain into the retention pond, does it?
- A. No. That's not our interpretation of the permit.
- Q. So just back to an earlier question, the runoff from the portion of Whitley's property to the west of the landfill first drains onto the landfill and then into the retention pond?
- A. It doesn't go directly on the landfill. It drains under our property and it runs along the haul road that runs along the west side of our property, and then it drains down into the retention basin.
- Q. Now, when you testified earlier, Mr. Northrup had asked you about a number of documents such as the odor logs prepared by Jerry Martens?
 - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. And the February 14, 1994 inspection report prepared by Jim Kammueller. He'd asked you if you'd

ever seen those documents before and I think your response was no. Is that accurate?

- A. That's correct. Now, I was aware that the odor logs existed, but I had not seen them. Mr. Martens discussed them in his deposition.
- Q. Were you aware that those documents were provided to Mr. Northrup in the spring in response to a discovery request he made?
 - A. No, they were never provided to me from him.
- Q. Okay. You had never seen those documents from Mr. Northrup from spring of this year until today?
- 13 A. No.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- Q. Okay. Now, what you've called the Storm

 Water Pollution Prevention Plan, and that is your

 exhibit --
- MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: Do you know what exhibit that is, Charlie?
- 19 A. 2.
- Q. Respondent's Exhibit 2, okay. This was prepared by CH2M-Hill for the facility?
- 22 A. Yes, it was.
- Q. Can you tell me again when that was prepared by CH2M-Hill?

- A. I remember seeing the first draft copy in December of '95.
 - Q. And I think your earlier testimony was that has not been finalized yet. Is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

20

21

22

23

- Q. Are there any plans or is CH2M-Hill currently working on finalizing that plan?
- A. Well, I think if you read a NPDES permit, basically it's always an ongoing updating of the operations of the site. We may issue a final version of this plan, but it's something that will always have to change as the site changes. It makes adjustments for current conditions.
- Q. Has this plan ever been submitted to the IEPA?
 - A. It's not required to be.
- Q. Have you ever shown this plan to Mr.

 Kammueller to see if he thinks that the provisions in this plan are adequate for the site?
 - A. No, we have not.
 - Q. Now, is it fair to say that Elmer Elliott and Joe Chenoweth are responsible for performing day-to-day maintenance at the landfill?
 - A. That's very loosely you could say that, yeah.

- Q. Elmer is the site manager, correct?
- A. Uh-huh.

- Q. And Joe Chenoweth, as we know from his earlier testimony, performs site inspections where he keeps a log and documents any problems he's seen and any actions he's taken to address those problems, right?
 - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Now, when you mentioned the people that knew where this Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan is located at your facility, you did not mention Elmer Elliott and you did not mention Joe Chenoweth. Now, my question is if they are the ones who are performing the daily maintenance at the site, how are they supposed to know what to do to address storm water problems if they don't even know where the plan is?
- A. Well, we've had the plan out before and we've discussed it with them. And I did mention Elmer Elliott's name in my previous testimony. I did discuss him. But it's not something like we show them exactly where in the file we put it. We've had it out. We've sat around in conferences and discussed it with, you know, various personnel on-site.
 - Q. And with Elmer Elliott?

A. I've had discussions with Elmer Elliott on our Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan and things we needed to do to comply with it.

б

- Q. Yet, Mr. Kammueller stopped out at the site,
 I believe on the morning of October 29, and questioned
 Elmer Elliott as to whether the facility had a Storm
 Water Pollution Prevention Plan, he didn't know what
 Mr. Kammueller was talking about?
- A. I don't know. I wasn't there for the conversation.
- Q. I think you testified earlier that, in fact, Elmer probably should know about it, but he probably doesn't know about this plan?
 - A. I did probably say that. I said that.
- Q. Do you think it would be advantageous for you to or someone else from ESG Watts who is familiar with that plan to discuss it with Elmer Elliott so he knows exactly what is required and where it's located?
- A. We've had discussions with Elmer on the requirements of it, but, you know, I've never taken him and said this is where we put it in the file if you would ever like to see it. Elmer is well aware of the housekeeping duties that he's supposed to perform to prevent spillage of pollutant, chemicals, oils,

- 1 | fuels, antifreezes.
- Q. Okay. That's all I need.
- Now, you testified earlier about what is
 Respondent's Exhibit 5, the Leachate Control Plan.
 - A. Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. And I think you testified that preparation of the plan was in response to a court order.
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you a copy of People's Exhibit 29, and can you tell me what date that order was entered on? That is the preliminary injunction order from the Sangamon County Circuit Court?
 - A. I assume it's this date up here.
- Q. Okay. And you can check on the back, too, where the judge has signed it.
 - A. Okay. September 11th, 1992.
- Q. Okay. So your earlier testimony that that -- 20 strike that.

I think your earlier testimony was that your

Leachate Control Plan was drafted or prepared

immediately following this court order. Now that

you've seen this court order would you say that was

accurate testimony earlier?

- A. I assume it is. I don't remember the exact date that we prepared it, but I know we prepared it shortly after the judge's order.
- Q. Okay. Who prepared the Leachate Control Plan?
- A. It was done in conjunction by me -- by myself and Tom Quinn.
 - Q. Who is Tom Quinn?
- A. Tom Quinn used to be the operating manager for ESG Watts.
- Q. Okay. Now I'm looking at what is

 Respondent's Exhibit 5 that I earlier said is the

 Leachate Control Plan, and I don't see a date on here

 that actually indicates the date it was prepared, and

 you don't have any independent recollection of when it

 was prepared?
 - A. No, I don't.
 - Q. I'm going to direct your attention to the first page of Exhibit 5. Looking along with me, it says for history, on 14 September 1993, which is nearly a year after the preliminary injunction order, Circuit Judge Richard J. Cadagin issued a ruling that required ESG Watts to correct immediately any leachate

problems as they apply to the neighbors, and refers to an attachment.

And I can -- attached to this, which is

Respondent's Exhibit 5, is a letter from Judge Cadagin

of that same date, September 14, 1993, which indicates

what the docket entry is for that date, September 14,

1993, a year after the preliminary injunction order,

it indicates rule to show cause allowed in part. And

you're ordered through this September 14, 1993 order

to implement a Leachate Control Plan. Correct?

A. Okay.

- Q. Do you agree with that?
- A. I agree with that letter.
 - Q. Okay. From September 11, 1992 until this rule to show cause letter was issued on September 14, 1993, what had you done with regard to a Leachate Control Plan?
 - A. We had always -- it's been an ongoing bone of contention with the Agency about leachate and we've always maintained that we have monitored through inspections, you know, we've looked for leachate seeps on our property. We've identified them and we would take necessary corrective action. And it's always been the policy, you know, since I've been there.

Q. Okay. Let me refer again to Respondent's
Exhibit 5, second paragraph under history, it was
determined at the hearing on 15 February 1994 -- now
we're two years or a year and a half, I guess, after
the original injunction order -- that ESG Watts should
submit a Leachate Control Plan that the Illinois
Attorney General's office can review and comment on.
This Leachate Control Plan which is Respondent's
Exhibit 5 indicates in here it was developed for that
purpose. So, in fact, according to your Leachate
Control Plan, it was developed a year and a half after
the preliminary injunction order?

- A. I disagree with that.
- Q. Please explain.

1.4

- A. Well, I think that we had been -- we had a plan in place, but it was never formalized in this format to -- and we -- at this point we formalized it, submitted it, I guess according to that. I don't recall us sending it off anywhere. I can't remember that. But it was like, okay, let's sit down and put it down on paper what we're doing. But we had been doing those activities way before this plan was developed.
 - Q. And how were your leachate control measures

prior to this plan being developed, how were they communicated to the Watts employees?

- A. The same way. We -- you know, Joe Chenoweth, he was instructed and there were some personnel before Joe -- we hired Joe. I can't remember the date that we hired Mr. Chenoweth. But they would take, you know, a walk around the site or drive a vehicle or maybe a piece of heavy equipment, and they would look for these type of leachate seeps. And if they encountered them, they were instructed to fix them. And that's what we did. That plan is no different than the everyday practice that we had implemented. It's just a formalization of our activities.
- Q. Okay. Now, you were present during Mr. Chenoweth's testimony earlier in this hearing, were you not?
 - A. That's correct.

- Q. First of all, do you recall Mr. Chenoweth testifying that after he would conduct an inspection of the facility, if the weather was particularly bad, he would not undertake corrective actions, not wanting to endanger the safety of himself or his fellow employees?
 - A. I remember that.

- Q. And so would you agree then, Mr. Jones, that there were some instances at the site where leachate problems may have been noted on one day by Mr.
- 4 Chenoweth and then went uncorrected for any number of days up to a couple of months even?
 - A. I would disagree with a couple of months. I mean it's well-documented in Mr. Chenoweth's daily inspections when he identifies a leachate seep and when he made the correction. You know, it's on record when he identifies them and when he made the correction.
 - Q. So if in those site inspection reports Mr. Chenoweth himself indicates that for over a period of months, if you look at the inspection reports, he was not able to correct a certain problem, would you disagree with what Joe put in his report?
 - A. No, I wouldn't.
 - Q. Now, according to the injunction order that's Exhibit 29 before you, you're ordered to implement measures to both monitor and control leachate. Would you agree with that?
 - A. Yes.

Q. And are there still reoccurring problems with leachate at the landfill on this date?

1 A. Yes, there is.

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. So you would agree that the measures

 implemented by ESG Watts at some point after September

 of 1992 to monitor and control leachate have not

 corrected the problem?
 - A. I disagree with that.
 - Q. You're still having problems with leachate at the site, are you not?
 - A. We're monitoring and controlling leachate.

 But every landfill in the State of Illinois has

 leachate seeps.
- 12 Q. That's not what I asked you, Mr. Jones.
- 13 A. That's what I told you.
- Q. You're still having problems with leachate at this time, correct?
- 16 A. It's an ongoing issue, yes.
- Q. Okay. That's fine. Now, you indicated that
 you had some input into the Sig Mod -- I'm sorry, into
 the gas permit?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. And that's working with RTC?
- A. Resource Technologies Corporation, that's correct.
- 24 Q. And I believe Robert Fortelka, who testified

on behalf of Watts at previous days of this hearing, indicated that some of the wells they have installed for gas extraction are also going to be installed -- are also going to be used for leachate extraction?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- A. We've made that proposal in the Significant Modification. When the Sig Mod is issued, we can do that permitted activity.
- Q. Can you tell me what will be needed -- what you need to do to those gas extraction wells to ready them for leachate extraction?
- Α. We would have to put down a leachate pump, you know, lower it down into the bottom of the well. It's probably going to be very similar to the ones that we constructed at Sangamon Valley Landfill. They're going to be driven by air. They're pneumatic You know, they'll pump up leachate to a pumps. central holding tank, and then there are a couple different options. We can haul it -- we do have a permit to haul it to a local wastewater treatment plant or we are looking at utilizing landfill gas to -- there is a method that you can heat up the leachate and put it through a volatile organic compound filter that, you know, will pull the volatiles off, and we are looking at that option, and we'll probably apply

for a permit to do that once the gas system is up and running.

- Q. Now, as part of your contract with RTC are they required to do any of the installation of equipment or prepare -- or otherwise prepare these gas extraction wells for the leachate extraction?
 - A. No.

- Q. That's something that Watts is going to do?
- A. That's solely on our -- our responsibility.
- Q. Now, I believe the gas management system is not supposed to be ready and operational until the middle of 1997, is that right?
 - A. Most likely. That's the schedule.
- Q. Now, when can you begin -- let's assume for a minute you obtain a permit to do the leachate extraction. When based on the completion of the gas management system can you begin installing the necessary equipment on the wells to extract leachate?
- A. We do not need to wait to complete the activities for the gas management system. We can install the leachate collection system at any time.
- Q. Okay. Even before the gas extraction system is completed?
- A. That's correct.

- Q. Since we're talking about the Sig Mod to a certain extent, can you explain why when the original Sig Mod was denied in February of 1995 why it took Watts until October of this year to make a resubmittal of that application?
- A. Well, I don't know what happened when I was not employed by Watts. I wasn't privy to the conversations between CH2M-Hill and ESG Watts at all times. I had been involved a little bit. But I had been gone for about a year period at that time and, you know, when I was employed there, CH2M-Hill they were working on the revision. There were certain things that we needed to do. We had to install some -- we wanted to install some wells off-site to do, you know, groundwater investigation. And I'm not real familiar with all the details on it. I'm kind of shaky on it.
 - Q. Are those the Hanson field wells?
 - A. That's correct.

- Q. And the purpose for installing those wells was to serve as a basis for a background study, is that --
- A. Background and to help classify the groundwater in the area, if I remember correctly.

- Q. Now, I do realize that you were not employed by Watts from May of 1995 until July of this year. Is
 - A. That's correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

1.8

19

2.0

21

Q. -- the time period you gave?

Okay. Do you know, though, did Watts ever give a deadline to CH2M-Hill or to their own site employees as to when this new Sig Mod submittal had to be completed?

- A. Not that I recall.
- Q. Isn't it true that the only deadline for resubmittal that was ever mentioned by Watts to CH2M-Hill was that it be submitted prior to beginning the hearing in this case?
- 15 A. It was the goal.
 - Q. Now, aside from the work you mentioned, installation of the wells and other work you mentioned, was there other engineering work that Watts had to do on-site and provide the results to CH2M-Hill for this Sig Mod resubmittal?
 - A. We had to collect leachate samples.
- Q. Now, again, understanding that you were not employed by Watts during this certain period of time, do you know when those leachate samples were

collected?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

20

21

- A. Actually, I think I was employed by the time the samples were taken. It was sometime this summer, summer of '96.
- Q. Do you have any explanation as to why nearly a year after a meeting with the Agency to discuss the Sig Mod denial why it would take that long for leachate samples to be collected?
- A. Well, we had to install leachate -- we had to install wells to extract the leachate. We needed a permit to install the wells.
- Q. Who oversaw this work, the engineering work that Watts performed?
 - A. What work are we --
- Q. For the Sig Mod resubmittal.
- A. I was involved with it, Mr. Grothus was involved in it, for CH2M-Hill it was the responsibility of Steve Keith.
 - Q. Was Steve Keith present at the site during the performance of the engineering work?
 - A. He had made visits to the site, I don't know, three or four times.
- Q. Did Watts hire any outside personnel to assist them in performing the work necessary for the

Sig Mod resubmittal?

1.4

- A. CH2M-Hill.
- Q. People to perform the work that Watts was going to perform on-site?
 - A. We hired contract -- Indeco, we hired them to do some work on-site. They're the company that drilled the Hanson wells.
 - O. When was that done?
 - A. I'm not exactly sure. I wasn't employed at the time when that was done, but it was in the summer -- it was shortly after that August of '95 meeting.
 - Q. And how is it that you know that?
- A. Just with conversations with Mr. Grothus.
 - Q. Was the collection of leachate samples the last bit of work that Watts needed to do and submit to CH2M-Hill for the Sig Mod resubmittal?
- A. I think so but I -- you know, I wouldn't be a hundred percent sure. I think that was one of the things holding up the project was the leachate.
 - Q. Now, we also -- already know through testimony of Steve Keith of CH2M-Hill that there were some delays resulting from Watts' inability or unwillingness to pay bills that were owed to CH2M-Hill. Do you recall that testimony of his?

A. I recall that.

- Q. And would you agree with that testimony of his?
 - A. I have no reason to disagree with it.
- Q. Do you know how much Watts has paid CH2M-Hill to date for the preparation of the Sig Mod resubmittal?
 - A. The total amount or just for the resubmittal?
- Q. For the resubmittal. From February of '95 to the present.
 - A. 20 or 30,000 dollars.
- Q. And is CH2M-Hill currently performing any additional work in connection with the Sig Mod resubmittal?
- A. At this time the Sig Mod has been submitted. There is no work to be done at this time until we get a response back from the Agency whether it's complete or incomplete or denied or approved.
- Q. Okay. Now, we know we're talking about two Sig Mod resubmittals. There was a resubmittal on October 18, 1996, and then there was, from what I understand from today's testimony, a formal reapplication in the first couple weeks of November, is that accurate?

A. Yeah.

- Q. Now, between the October 18, 1996 submittal and the resubmittal of the formal application, was there any additional engineering work that needed to be done?
 - A. Not that I recall.
 - Q. Basically, a permit application just needed to be filled out and signed, is that your understanding of the work that needed to be done?
 - A. Yeah. We may have changed a few minor sentences or something, but, you know, no major calculations were performed or major revisions of design or anything like that.
 - Q. Does Watts currently owe CH2M-Hill any money for the work --
 - A. We received an invoice just recently. I think our balance due is 4,000 dollars.
 - Q. Were you involved at all in drafting any portions of the Sig Mod Application or resubmittal?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What portions were you involved in drafting?
- A. You know, they're clearly spelled out in the applications. There's like subtitles or subheadings that, you know, delineate who it was prepared by. I

1 don't know if I'll remember all of them. But I did

- 2 | the closure plans, a lot of them. You know, I had a
- 3 | lot of input probably on just about every section
- 4 except probably groundwater.
- Q. And for the groundwater portion of the Sig
- 6 | Mod you relied on CH2M-Hill?
 - A. For the most part.
- 8 Q. You indicated you were involved in drafting
- 9 | the closure plan in the Sig Mod?
- 10 A. Uh-huh.

- 11 Q. And that involves cost estimates?
- 12 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. And I assume you were also involved in
- 14 | preparing the cost estimates, correct?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. Do the closure/postclosure cost estimates in
- 17 | the Sig Mod Application include any estimates related
- 18 | to the gas management system?
- 19 A. I think they do.
- 20 MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: Charlie, what exhibit
- 21 | was this of yours, the Sig Mod resubmittal?
- MR. NORTHRUP: 1.
- 23 \ Q. I'm going to hand you Respondent's Exhibit 1.
- 24 A. Okay.

- Q. Actually, if you'll agree that this is Exhibit 1, I'll just show you the portion I'm talking It's in Attachment 18, which deals with the contingency plan, and then we've also got closure costs in here.
 - Α. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

- Can you take a look at those for me? Ο,
- Are we just looking at closure costs or Α. closure and postclosure costs?
- What I'm concerned about is whether there are Ο. any estimates relating to the gas management system.
 - In either section? Α.
- 13 Ο. Right.
 - I would say that we left it off. Α. Okav.
 - Okay. Now, you testified earlier that RTC is Q. bearing the responsibility for the finances involved in installing this gas management system, correct?
 - Α. Yes.
- 19 Now, once the gas management system has run Q. 20 its course, 10, 15, however many years down the road, 21 and there's no longer gas to be extracted from the 22 landfill, what happens to all of these wells that have been installed?
- 23
 - They will be plugged. Α.

- Q. And who's responsible for the plugging of those wells?
 - A. RTC.

- Q. And that's pursuant to your contract with RTC?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. So any -- strike that.

All of the costs associated with the installation, operation, and dismantle, if you will, of the gas management system are costs that are borne entirely by RTC, is that correct?

- A. That's incorrect.
- Q. Okay. What costs are not borne by RTC?
- A. We've assisted them in a lot of their activities that have been costs, you know, solely associated with our company that we have not charged them with. We've supplied them with equipment to use, we've supplied them with personnel when they lacked people, we've supplied them with office space, telephone, secretarial services. There is a cost associated, you know, with all those activities that we have bore.
 - Q. Can you put a dollar figure on that cost?
 - A. I probably could if you want. We have

tracked, you know, time that people have spent, the equipment that we've loaned them and used, the fuel, but we've never put a dollar figure to it, but I probably could.

O. Go ahead.

5

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

- A. You know, I -- it would be in the range of tens of thousands of dollars.
 - Q. 10,000 dollars is that what you --
- 9 A. Tens of thousands, you know, 10, 20, maybe
 - Q. Was this a contractual obligation with RTC?

 As a part of your contract were you supposed to supply them with, you know --
 - A. No, we were not.
 - Q. So it was just out of the kindness of your heart that you did that, is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. That's very nice of you, Tom.
- 19 A. Thank you.
- Q. I think you indicated earlier that the burden for providing financial assurance is a burden borne by the landfill owner/operator, correct?
- A. Yes. It's solely our responsibility
 according to the regulations of the Illinois

Administrative Code 35.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

1.7

18

- Q. And so whether or not you have a separate contract with RTC to dismantle the gas system, isn't that a cost that would be part of the closure/postclosure care costs of the landfill?
 - A. Yes, it would be.
- Q. So that's a cost that's not included in your recent submittal to the Agency, correct?
 - A. That is not included.
- Q. Do you have -- would you prepare the calculations for that cost or would that be something that RTC would prepare and submit to you?
 - A. We do it jointly.
- Q. And do you have an estimate of what that cost is for the closure/postclosure care of the gas management system?
- A. It's been filed as an application to the EPA and it's been approved and it's 740,000 dollars.
- Q. Tom, how many acres have been filled at the landfill and are currently inactive?
 - A. 40.
- Q. And how many acres make up the currently active site?
- 24 A. 15 to 16.

- Q. Now, talking only about the inactive areas of the site, when was the final lift of waste placed in those areas?
- A. The inactive areas, when was the final -could you please repeat the question?
- Q. Sure. In the 40 some acre inactive area, when was the final lift of waste placed in that area?
- A. There would have been, you know, various times through the years, you know. Some areas would have reached final grade, you know, four or five years ago. Some maybe, you know, three years ago.
- Q. So would it be your estimate that the final volume then of waste that was placed in the inactive area would have been placed three years ago?
 - A. Yes, maybe four.

- Q. I'm going to show you a map. This was not on our previous exhibit list. It's what we've identified as People's Exhibit 68. Can you identify what this map is?
 - A. It's a map prepared by Noble Earth

 Corporation that it's identified as landfill cover

 certification depth check locations.
- Q. Okay. Now, isn't it -- wouldn't it be accurate to say that the map or the contours on the

map were not prepared by Noble Earth? Would those have been prepared by someone else?

- A. This aerial survey would have been prepared by -- I'm pretty sure it's probably Surdex Corporation a few years back.
 - Q. Do you know how many years ago they did that?
- A. We've had the site flown, you know, two or three times in the last, you know, four or five years, and I don't know which flyover this is from. I honestly don't remember.
- Q. And the part that was prepared by the Noble Earth would you agree is limited to the grid that's on top of the map or on top of the contoured -- contours of the site?
- A. The grid and the small circles with numbers identifying the locations.
- Q. Can you identify looking at this map where the final lift of waste was -- where is the location of the final lift of waste in the inactive area of the site?
- A. Well, my guess -- and, you know, I haven't been there for all the years, but we started, you know, from talking to site personnel, Watts personnel started filling the landfill in this southwest --

west and then they worked their way north along the west side. And my guess would be somewhere in the northwest portion.

1.0

- Q. Can you identify it by any numbers that are on there what your estimate would be, the numbers of the grid?
- A. You know F-0 or E-0 or E-1, somewhere in there.
 - Q. Do you know how much final cover has been applied to this inactive area?
 - A. In terms of thickness or quantity in yards or --
 - Q. Why don't you give me thickness first?
 - A. It varies but, you know, it's anywhere from, you know, two and a half feet to three feet to four feet. I've seen places with thicker cover on it than that.
 - Q. And were you employed by Watts during the years when the final cover was placed on this inactive area?
 - A. Some areas I was employed and some areas was previous to my employment.
 - Q. And would you have been the person during

your years of employment that would have been responsible for overseeing the placement of the final cover?

- A. No, not necessarily. You know, Elmer Elliott would have, you know, known that he had to place final cover. I don't think I ever gave him a direct order, said go put final cover here, but it was done through, you know, the years that I've been there.
- Q. Now, isn't it true that there's still some work that needs to be done on the final cover?
- A. There are a few areas that we have identified that lack adequate cover.
 - Q. When are those areas going to be addressed?
- A. I think some of them have already been addressed. Other ones -- there's an area that was identified I'd say around like K-14 I think. There's a lot of equipment sitting on top of the landfill in that general area that the cover was identified as thin and we would like to move the heavy equipment that's sitting in that area and apply additional cover.
- Q. Now, there have been gas extraction wells installed in this area, have there not?
 - A. Wherever you see a small circle I think

that's what they indicate that that's a gas extraction well. I think there's 88 of them in this area.

- Q. Now, it was my understanding that the small circles on this map represented the borings taken by Steve Brao in connection with his -- the soil borings he was taking to determine the thickness of cover.
- A. Well, I look at some of the designations on them, you know, I see like GE 40 and like GE, you know, those are designations that they use solely for landfill gas extraction wells.
- Q. Was the final cover disturbed during the installation of the gas extraction wells?
 - A. We drilled through it.

- Q. And was there any work that needed to be done after the wells were installed to reinforce or to correct the final cover?
- A. When they would set up their drilling rig, they would have to make it level, and they would do one of two things to make it level to set up the drilling rig. They would either cut into the area to make it flat or they would bring soil in to level the area out. And those areas that they had to cut into the cover to level it off, we had to redo and add more cover.

- Q. And would you say then that was -- well, let me ask you this. Prior to the soil borings taken by Steve Brao, when was the last time cover material was applied over the inactive area?
 - A. I'm going to say sometime in '92.

- Q. Now, what about the vegetative cover at the inactive area? Isn't it true that there are still some areas that lack a vegetative cover?
- A. There is areas where we've had problems with maintaining the cover. I think every area that we've applied final cover we have at one time seeded.
- Q. Can you describe for us in detail what the vegetative cover currently in place is like?
- A. Well, it depends where you're at. We've had a lot of problems on the west side. At one time I think shortly after 1990 -- sometime in 1992 after we applied a lot of final cover in this area, we hired a local farmer, Bush Farms, to come in and seed, and you know, he seeded, I don't know, 20, 30 acres, you know. We have an invoice, you know, showing that -- he's got a meter on his tractor that counts how many acres he seeds. You know, they use it in farming to track how much they plant for crops and stuff. And that's how he billed us and I can't remember the exact number.

But it basically covered this west portion.

- Q. And what about any other areas of the site, have you had problems maintaining a vegetative cover in any other areas?
- A. There are areas over the entire site we've had trouble maintaining a vegetative cover.
- Q. Would you agree that some of the erosional or runoff problems at the site are at least in part due to a lack of vegetative cover in some areas?
- A. Well, I -- I think that the -- in some places the lack of cover has been caused by the erosion and then, you know, it's a catch-22 situation, you know.
- Q. Now, aside from having this Bush Farms, or whoever you mentioned, come in and seed the western portion of the site, what other efforts has Watts undertaken to maintain a vegetative cover?
- A. Well, we've purchased seed on our own and we've applied it ourselves in various areas, you know, in small areas all through the site. What Watts did to seed, you know, the south half of the landfill before I was employed there I have no idea. But there is, you know, vegetation throughout the south side of the landfill. I remember in the northwest corner we did a lot of work there in grading it one summer,

regrading it, trying to control the runoff in Mr.

Whitley's pond, and we did have it -- you know, it

looked like a green grass field for the summer, and

then the next spring it really didn't hold the -- it

was washed away.

- Q. Now, this map, People's Exhibit 68, was prepared I think by Steve Brao and Noble Earth to assist in the soil borings he was taking, correct?
 - A. That's correct.

- Q. When did Watts decide to perform these soil borings?
- A. We contacted Noble Earth Corporation to do it it was in the early part of 1995. Steve was awarded a contract by Resource Technologies to do the CQUA work on the installation of the gas system and at that time we asked him if he would be interested in performing the -- you know, looking at the cover thicknesses on-site, and he said he would, and we asked him to submit a proposal, and he did.
- Q. And that was this summer, is that what you said?
- A. Probably we got the proposal sometime this summer.
 - Q. What prompted your decision to perform the

soil borings?

- A. Basically, you know, we were confident that, you know, we had adequate cover, but we felt that the burden of proof would be on us with the Agency, so that's what prompted it.
- Q. And did Watts give Mr. Brao and the Noble Earth Corporation a deadline for performing the soil borings?
 - A. No.
- Q. Was it anticipated or expected by Watts that the soil borings would be completed prior to the hearing of this case?
- A. That was our intention, but we didn't say it had to be done by that date. I think when we entered into the contract we assumed it would be.
- Q. And I think you testified earlier that all of the work -- it was not just a matter of taking soil borings, number one, there were some other tests regarding permeability that needed to be performed after that, if I remember Mr. Brao's testimony correctly.
- A. Yeah. There are various different types of permeability tests. In this case it's not an in situ permeability, it's a lab permeability where you look

at the properties of the soil itself and see what type of permeabilities it is able to obtain in the lab conditions. And then you take that information -there's a lot of different -- you use a proctor and you come up with a correlation between the moisture density relationship, and you make an assumption that by this moisture density relationship, if you 7 compacted the soil to a certain density and it has a certain moisture content, you will achieve a certain permeability. 10

- And I think you said earlier that those -the tests or the analysis of the soil is still ongoing, is that --
- I called the lab yesterday and the lab manager that I've been communicating was not in and he was supposed to call me back and I haven't heard from him.
- But in any event, you haven't gotten results from them yet, is that true?
 - Α. That's correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Now, looking at this Exhibit 68, I guess, first of all, would you agree that this is an accurate representation of the facility?
 - In some areas it is and in other areas there Α.

have been changes to the -- you know, to what these contours would represent.

- Q. Okay. Who -- you said Surdex prepared this for you?
- A. There's been a couple different companies.

 Surdex was one company we've hired and another company

 I think we've hired is Air Maps.
- Q. Tom, do you know what the maximum permitted elevation for the Taylor Ridge Landfill is?
 - A. It's like 765 I think.

Q. Now, this is a permit 1995-374 SP. It was the January 1996 permit. We've already offered it into evidence. I believe it was Exhibit 59, but if you can double-check for me.

MR. NORTHRUP: 56 I think.

HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Yeah, 56.

- Q. Tom, would looking at this permit refresh your recollection as to the permitted maximum elevation of the landfill?
 - A. I'd have to see it to --
- Q. This one is not marked with an exhibit number, but I think you can probably --
 - A. It's listed as 760 feet MSL.
 - Q. Okay. And MSL is mean sea level?

A. That's correct.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. And you agree that 760 feet mean sea level is the maximum permitted height of the landfill?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. I want you to look again at Exhibit 68.

 Please identify for me what the highest elevation is indicated on this map. If I can direct your attention, there's a lot of numbers to look at.
- 9 Around in this area?
 - A. I see some 770s. It's kind of hard to read the map. 775. I think this one here might be 775.
- 12 | 0. And a 776 or 778 over here?
- 13 A. I can't tell whether that's --
 - HEARING OFFICER FRANK: For the record, we're in the center kind of right-hand side of the map.
 - MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: The numbers on the map for reference we're looking in the area of -- there are small circles on the map and the numbers in this area are 153, 149, 152.
 - Q. So the contours on this map, Tom, would you agree are higher -- show elevations higher than the maximum permitted height of the landfill?
 - A. Yes. But, you know, that maximum --
- Q. That's good. And so are you aware, Tom, that

the landfill is currently overheight -- over its permitted height?

A. That's debatable.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

22

23

- Q. Go ahead and explain.
- A. That's a stockpile of soil that we put up there. It's not garbage. And that stockpile is no longer there.
 - Q. Have you had any air surveys done since this map was produced?
 - A. We did one in preparation for the Significant Modification that was recently submitted. I mean the original submission of the Sig Mod there was an aerial performed that I think --
 - Q. The '94 submission?
- A. I think so.
- Q. I mean we're not talking about the resubmittal of this year?
- A. No, the original submission had a different --
- 20 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Are you talking about 21 Exhibit 3?
 - A. It should say in here when the aerial was taken and by who. This is the same one as this.
 - Q. So this map that is People's Exhibit 3 is the

same as People's Exhibit 68? I mean the contours that are shown?

- A. See, up in here they look at the same, and I'm trying to see if down here at the bottom, it would be the northeast corner, would tell if there was differences. I think that they're probably the same map.
- Q. Let me ask you this then, since we're talking about People's Exhibit 3. Would you say based on what you know about the site, was this the last aerial survey that was made of the landfill?
- A. You know, I wouldn't be able to tell without looking at the two different ones that we have done in recent years.
- Q. Okay. Well, why don't you look at People's Exhibit 3 then and tell me what the contours indicate in the same area we were looking at before? What are the maximum numbers there?
 - A. I see a 775.

- Q. And that's above the maximum permitted height of the landfill, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And that was the survey that was prepared for the original Sig Mod submittal, right, to the best of

your recollection?

- A. Yes.
- Q. So am I correct then in understanding your testimony that as you sit here today you're not aware of whether the landfill is overheight or not?
- A. A lot of the material up on top of that landfill is cover dirt, stockpiled soil from when this aerial photo was taken. There are still areas up there that I know of that we have six, seven, eight feet of cover material. As the drillers drilled down on that top area through the cover, there was, you know, a lot of cover on top before we hit garbage.
- Q. There's currently material being stockpiled at the top of the landfill, is that your testimony?
- A. There's stockpiled material up there, but we're not moving material to stockpile it now. It's old spoils piles from before.
- Q. Now, have gas extraction wells been installed in that area?
 - A. Yes, they have.
- Q. Wouldn't it be very difficult to go in there
 and move cover material once the gas extraction wells
 are in place?
 - A. Not necessarily. I've done it before at a

1 site.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

- Q. Is it difficult to do?
- A. No, it wasn't.
 - Q. Wouldn't it be easier if there were no wells in place?
 - A. Yeah, it would be easier.
 - Q. Do you have any plans to -- or let me ask you this. Was a new survey prepared for the Sig Mod resubmittal that was just made to the Agency?
 - A. Not that I -- I would say no.
- Q. Does Watts have any plans to prepare a new survey that would indicate what the elevated -- what the contours of the site are?
- A. I think we're required to do that on a biennial basis, every two years, and we had planned to do it in '97.
 - Q. And if you do have material stockpiled at the top of the site where gas extraction wells have now been installed, when do you plan to move it?
- A. You know, we can move it at any time we need to. I mean --
- Q. At this point do you have any plans to move it?
- 24 A. No.

Q. If you want to put those maps aside, I don't think we're going to talk about them. They're right there in your way.

HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Do you want to move --

MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: Yes, I would move to admit People's Exhibit 68.

HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Is there any objection?

MR. NORTHRUP: Yes, there is an objection.

First of all, we don't know if this map reflects

current conditions at the landfill. Apparently, it's

a map from 1983.

Number two, you know, obviously they're trying to prove that Watts has filled beyond its permitted boundaries. That's not an allegation that was ever made in the complaint. This is the first time we've ever heard of anything along those lines in this case. The first time I have seen this map marked as an exhibit is today. You know, why haven't they provided me this map two months ago, if in fact they had it? I mean this is just incredible that they wait until, you know, two hours before we're done with a hearing that's lasted three days and has been on file for

```
almost two years for them to bring this thing out.
 1
              HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Have you had this map
 2
     prior to today's date?
 3
 4
              MR. NORTHRUP: From what Tom says -- I don't
     know where it came from.
 5
              MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: This came from you guys.
 6
 7
     You gave it to us at Steve Brao's deposition.
 8
              HEARING OFFICER FRANK: You've seen this map
     before.
 9
10
              MR. NORTHRUP: It came from Steve Brao.
11
              HEARING OFFICER FRANK: I'm going to admit
     it.
12
                   (People's Exhibit Number 68 admitted.)
13
14
              MR. NORTHRUP:
                             I just want to go on record.
     I think that's really stretching and I think it is
15
16
     really incredible that this case would be on file for
     two years and then at the last minute they bring
17
18
     something like this in with an attempt to prove an
19
     overfill where we have had no opportunity to defend
20
     ourselves on this. It's just incredible.
              MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: For the record, we've
21
22
     had this map -- we got this map at the last minute, if
23
     you want to say, from your witness, Mr. Brao. You've
24
     had this map and you could have looked at it just as
```

easily as we could have.

HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Okay. That's enough fighting back and forth. The map has been admitted. We have two hours to finish this hearing, so let's move on.

MR. DAVIS: Ms. Hearing Officer, we are not fighting. We are responding to accusations as have been raised continually. And the filing of the complaint was November of last year.

HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Okay. And you guys have stated that and Charlie has stated that.

Let's move on at this point. Mr. Northrup, I remind you that you can always request that the Board overrule me, but you have to do so in writing and restate your objection. It doesn't -- it's not good enough to just state it orally at hearing.

Let's move on.

- Q. Moving on to the February 14, 1994 inspection that was conducted by James Kammueller, you testified earlier you were present with him during that inspection?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And you did not dispute the statements you made with regard to never monitoring outfall 002

and not monitoring outfall 001 for a period of -whatever period is indicated in Mr. Kammueller's
report?

- A. I don't dispute the not monitoring 002, but I do dispute 001.
- Q. Now, with outfall 001, in Mr. Kammueller's inspection report, which is People's Exhibit 7, he indicates that outfall 001 had not been monitored since February of 1991. Is that an accurate statement? Do you agree with that?
- A. We had not had a discharge that we pumped that we monitored, no.
- Q. So your testimony is that your interpretation of your NPDES permit was that only those discharges that you were actively pumping had to be monitored?
 - A. That's correct.

1.0

1.6

- Q. And is it now your understanding that that interpretation of the permit is incorrect?
 - A. I don't agree with his interpretation.
- Q. After Mr. Kammueller's inspection of February 1994 and having just stated that you didn't agree with his interpretation, does that mean you're not going to -- you weren't going to continue -- begin monitoring outfall 001?

- A. We monitor it after that point as he required, but we still disputed his interpretation of the permit.
 - Q. Now, during the site inspection you observed discharge exiting the site with Mr. Kammueller, did you not?
- A. Yes, I did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

20

21

22

23

- O. And that was in the area of outfall 001?
- A. There was a small discharge at outfall 001.
- Q. And that was the discharge you mentioned earlier or you testified earlier that you then went back after the inspection to try to take a sample, correct?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. And when you got there, the discharge was so small that you could not get a sample?
- A. Not really. I mean I was -- I would have to dig around in, you know, it just -- it was just very minute. There just wasn't that much snowmelt.
 - Q. I think you testified earlier that the sample amount that you were required to take pursuant to that NPDES permit was three aliquots, correct?
 - A. No. Three equal aliquots.
 - Q. Three equal aliquots?

- A. As a composite sample. One aliquot at each sampling event, beginning of the storm, the middle of the storm, the end of the storm.
- Q. And you indicated that that was a very small amount, I think in your earlier testimony, an aliquot?
- A. Well, an aliquot in the permit is defined as a hundred milliliters.
- Q. Which I think you indicated was a very small amount.
 - A. It's a tenth of a liter.

- Q. And you were not able to get that amount for a sample from the discharge?
- A. No, I didn't say that. It was -- you know, the discharge was so small, you know, it just -- I didn't think it was a monitorable event.
- Q. And so then when you report no discharge on your DMR for February 1994, you in fact knew there was a discharge in February 1994, is that correct?
- A. I seen small amounts of runoff leaving the site but not what I would attribute as a monitorable discharge.
- Q. So instead of indicating on your DMR that there was discharge but a small amount, you just put no discharge, which is not entirely accurate, correct?

- A. Then I filled it out incorrectly.
- Q. Are you still signing DMRs at this time?
- A. No, I'm not. No, I'm not.
- Q. Under the new permit have you signed any
- 5 DMRs?

2

3

7

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 6 A. No, I have not.
 - Q. Who signs those DMRs?
- A. I think they're signed by Jerry Eilers. It

 was also pointed out that I did not have the authority

 to sign DMRs.
 - Q. Now, for outfall 002 that you admittedly had not monitored since 1986 when the permit was issued, you also continually reported no discharge from 1986 to 1994, correct?
 - A. I would not know anything previous to 1991 when I was employed.
 - Q. Okay. From the time you were employed until Mr. Kammueller's inspection of February 1994, no discharge was reported for outfall 002?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. And you indicated in response to one of Mr. Northrup's questions that you did not intentionally file a false DMR. Did you know whether -- did you monitor 002 to ever see if there were discharges

occurring?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

1.2

13

14

15

16

21

22

23

- A. No.
- Q. Now, if you didn't do that, how could you accurately and truthfully report that there were no discharges?
 - A. I couldn't.
- Q. And yet, that's what you reported and those are the DMRs you signed?
 - A. I did it wrong.
- Q. Now, do you know how many outfalls -- I realize you've said you're not that involved with the new NPDES permit. Do you know how many outfalls you're required to monitor under that permit?
 - A. The new one I think there are eight.
- Q. Have you had any problems monitoring any of those eight outfalls?
- A. We have been collecting samples from them.

 I'm not aware that we've had real difficulty. I know
 that there has been a few problems, a few glitches
 that we're trying to work out.
 - Q. Do you review those DMRs that are submitted, the DMRs under the new permit?
 - A. I do not think I've looked at them.
 - Q. And Beling Consultants, they're the ones that

1 do your lab work for you for the DMRs?

- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. And they're still doing that today?
- 4 A. Yes.

- Q. Were they your consultants in 1994?
- A. As long as I've been at the site they've done our analytical for our NPDES permit.
 - Q. Okay. Since 1991 as far as you know?
- 9 A. As far as I know, yeah.
- Q. And is there a certain parameters list that's in the permit that you give to Beling that they conduct samples for?
- 13 A. Yes, there is.
- Q. And then they report their analyses results to you or to Watts?
- 16 A. They send us the reports directly.
- Q. What does the lab charge you to run one
- 18 | sample?
- 19 A. Our old permit it was 57 dollars per out --
- 20 | Q. Per sample?
- 21 A. Per outfall.
- Q. And was that from 1991 until April of 1996
 when the new permit was issued?
- 24 A. I don't know -- I can't remember what the

prices were in '91. I looked at our most recent 1 invoice, just recently, and it was -- and this is the 2 most recent invoice before we switched our NPDES 3 program over. I happened to look at it the other day 4 5 knowing that I'd probably get asked this question. Ο. And that was the 57 dollars? 6 7 57 dollars. Α.

- Q. So for the time prior to February 14 of 1994 when you were not sampling 002 and for the time period that you did not sample 001 you weren't having to pay Beling Consultants for any analyses, correct?
 - A. Not any NPDES analysis.
 - Q. Right. That's what I meant.
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. To clarify, they were also doing groundwater work for you?
- 17 A. Yes, they were.
- 18 Q. And so Watts then realized some savings as a 19 result of not sampling these outfalls?
- 20 A. I guess.
- MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: That's all I have.
- 22 A. Okay.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- 23 | HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Mr. Northrup.
- MR. NORTHRUP: Just a couple of quick

questions. 1 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY 2 MR. NORTHRUP: 3 When we were talking about Respondent's 4 5 Exhibit 2, which is the Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan prepared pursuant to the NPDES permit, 6 does Watts rely on that plan? 7 Yes, we do. Α. 8 9 Ο. Is that plan required by permit? It's required by our NPDES permit. 10 Α. What does the permit require with respect to 11 the preparation of that plan? Do you know? 12 I think it has to be within our operating 13 record within 180 days after the issuance of the 14 15 permit. Does the permit require you to notify --16 strike that. Does the permit require you to submit 17 that plan to the Agency? 18 Α. No, it does not. 19 Does it require any kind of notification at 20 Ο. all to the Agency? 21 Not that I'm aware of. 22 Α. You said the landfill has reoccurring 23 problems with leachate. Do you recall that? 24

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. Are there long-term fixes available to address leachate?
- A. Yeah. We've submitted in the Significant

 Modification a plan to extract leachate from the

 landfill.
 - Q. And when can you implement that plan?
 - A. When a permitted is granted.
 - Q. I believe during direct examination you indicated that a cost revision was submitted in November.
- 12 A. Yes.

7

8

9

10

- Q. Did that cost revision contain figures related to closure of the gas management system?
- 15 A. Yes, it did.
- Q. You also indicated Watts has had problems
 maintaining vegetative cover on the west side of the
 landfill?
- 19 A. Yes, I have.
- Q. Is the west side of the landfill bare?
- 21 A. Not completely.
- 22 Q. There is some vegetation there?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Looking at People's Exhibit 68, does in fact

that reflect in your opinion the current elevations of the landfill?

- A. It does not reflect my opinion of the current elevations of the landfill.
 - Q. Okay. Why not?

- A. This map is -- you know, I don't know, three, four years old. It was flown during a time when we were doing a lot of excavation in the northeast corner of the site and all the material that we were excavating out was being stockpiled on top of the landfill. We had piles of dirt up there 20, 30 feet high.
 - Q. And that soil has been removed?
- A. Some of it has been removed. We had different stockpiles up there with different material. We had a very good blue clay that we stockpiled in one area. We had a different brown clay that we stockpiled in another area. And then we had just other soil that we would have no use for like in constructing liners or cover material, and we stockpiled that in another area. And in the last three or four years we have slowly removed some of that material for daily cover operations, intermediate cover. We've removed some of the blue clay for liner

material, to construct our liners with.

So it's an ongoing process of -- and it's a common practice at most landfills to stockpile soils on top of the landfills. You know, it's a place that's close to the after burial where you're going to need it. We don't want to haul it necessarily to a much further distance where it would take longer to get it to, we'd use more fuel, we wouldn't be able to move as much soil because it's a greater distance. And it's also been used in the past to increase settlement. At one landfill I used, we stockpiled I don't know how many millions of cubic yards on top of a landfill and we went in and removed that stockpile when there was a need for it, and the landfill had settled ten to fifteen feet, and we were able to add another lift of garbage across the top of the landfill.

- Q. Do you know the purpose of why this map, People's 68, was originally prepared?
- A. The original preparation by the company that did the aerial or by Noble Earth?
 - Q. Both.
- A. First of all -- and I don't remember which company flew it. It was flown because we needed to have an aerial or I -- we didn't necessarily have to

have an aerial for the Sig Mod, but we had to have a topographical map. One of the easiest and cheapest ways to obtain that is -- on such a large area like this is with an aerial survey. That's what this was originally prepared for when we started work on the Sig Mod, which would have been, you know, three, four years ago.

Noble Earth Corporation we supplied this map to them just as a way that they could document the areas that they probed the landfill cover to document the thickness of it and to help them track the locations where they pulled samples.

- Q. Are you familiar with aerial surveys?
- A. Yeah.

1.6

- Q. How accurate are they?
- A. They're only as accurate as the land survey that's performed, the ground survey that supplies -the ground -- they take a picture of the area with a plane, just with a regular -- it's a specialized camera but it's -- and you get a picture, a photograph of it, and they scan that photograph, and by scanning it, the computer can pick up the changes of elevations relative to each other. But you have to give them the baseline information. And so you establish points

throughout the site. And in this case we actually established points, you know, as much as a half mile or a mile away from our landfill. We did a complete perimeter around the site, and to the west, to the east, you know, to the north and the south we established these points. And those points are known, you know, done by a ground survey. And those points then are entered into the computer and then the computer adjust -- you know, enters all the data in between everything else.

- Q. I believe the new NPDES permit gave you -- or contained eight discharge points?
 - A. Uh-huh.

1.0

1.6

- Q. Has that number reduced -- do you discharge from all eight points?
- A. No, I don't think we -- we do not. We've implemented a program where we're trying to eliminate as many discharge points as possible so we only have runoff leaving the site at the minimum amount of points, and I think we'd like to try to get down to four points.
- Q. What have you done to minimize those discharges?
 - A. We've grade --

Q. Discharge points?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

20

21

22

- A. We've graded areas. We've constructed berms to divert the water away from those areas, so the storm water runoff will go to another -- we're probably like -- I guess you could say we're trying to combine discharge points.
- MR. NORTHRUP: I don't have any further questions.

HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Anything else?

MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: Just a couple real brief to follow up.

RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY

MS. SYMONS-JACKSON:

- Q. I'm curious as to why you would hire someone to conduct a survey knowing that the contours were not going to be accurate.
- A. I didn't say these contours weren't accurate.

 I said they're not accurate representation of what's

 at the site right now.
 - Q. Why would you -- how much does an aerial survey like this cost?
 - A. About 4, 5,000 dollars.
- Q. Why would you spend 4 or 5,000 dollars on an are a survey when you know or when you allege that

there is cover material on top of the landfill that may bring it overheight and you may have contours that show up on your survey that show the landfill to be overheight?

- A. Well, we're not trying to hide anything here. I mean, you know, we know what our permit is. We know how high we have to fill garbage and we'll comply with that.
- Q. Tom, isn't it true that maximum elevation limits are not just for garbage but for everything, cover material and everything on top of the landfill?
- A. Those are the final cover contours. When we close the site, that is what the elevation is supposed to be. I don't know of any regulation that prevents us from utilizing those areas for stockpile material.
- Q. Mr. Northrup asked you about the accuracy of aerial surveys and you indicated that sometimes these surveys may not be totally accurate. Is that right?
- A. It depends on -- they're only as accurate as the ground survey performed as the baseline.
- Q. Now, when you spend, what did you say, 4 or 5,000 dollars on an aerial survey, wouldn't you want your survey company to do all they could to make sure the results were going to be accurate?

1	A. I assume that it's accurate, yeah. I have no
2	reason to doubt that these were the conditions at the
3	site when the aerial was taken.
4	MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: Okay. That's all.
5	HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Mr. Northrup?
6	MR. NORTHRUP: No further questions.
7	HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Okay, thank you, Mr.
8	Jones.
9	(Witness excused)
10	HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Mr. Northrup, did you
11	have another witness?
12	MR. NORTHRUP: Yes. John Reiser.
13	JOHN REISER
14	called as a witness herein, having been first duly
15	sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
16	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY
17	MR. NORTHRUP:
18	Q. Can you go ahead and state your name for the
19	record?
20	A. John Reiser.
21	Q. Where do you work?
22	A DOO Walks
	A. ESG Watts.
23	Q. Where at?
23	

1 | Springfield.

- Q. What do you do there?
- A. I'm the -- my title is technical representative, and I'm the certified operator for that landfill and work with the day-to-day operations of that facility.
 - Q. As a practical matter what are some of the things that you do?
- A. Both deal with the County and State inspectors, work with our engineering staff on submittals for Sangamon Valley Landfill, work on some of the, you know, record and information data gathering that's required for the landfill from the permits, you know, the regulatory compliance things that are required by the permits.
 - Q. How long have you been at ESG Watts?
- A. I've worked at Watts for, let's see, approximately six years.
 - Q. And has that all been at the Sangamon Valley Landfill?
 - A. Most of it has been in Springfield after some training time in Taylor Ridge. My duties have changed during that time.
 - Q. How have your duties changed over the years?

- A. I began working at Watts as a salesperson for special waste and then my duties have expanded to include some of the more day-to-day operations of the landfill.
- Q. Just give me a real brief background on your educational, where you went to high school, where you went to college, what your degree's in?
- A. Graduated from Porta High School in

 Petersburg in 1985, have a Bachelors of Science Degree

 from the University of Notre Dame in 1989. That

 degree was professional studies with a minor in

 business, mostly like biology, sciences.
 - Q. So the major was primarily biology?
 - A. Yeah.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

- Q. In your position at Watts are you familiar with the various permits that have been issued for that facility?
 - A. For the Sangamon Valley facility?
- 19 Q. For the Sangamon Valley facility.
- 20 A. Yes, I am.
- Q. Are you familiar with some litigation, it's captioned as 91-CH-242, that occurred in Sangamon
 County before Judge Zappa?
 - A. Yes, I am.

- Q. How are you familiar with that?
- A. I was a witness at the hearing and I've also dealt with coming into compliance with the court order issued for that case.
- Q. Does the Sangamon Valley Landfill have any permits related to groundwater remediation?
 - A. Yes, we do.
 - Q. How many?

б

- A. Well, there's one main one called 1992-57.
- 10 Q. When was that issued?
 - A. In 1992, August I believe.
 - Q. And what does that permit require?
 - A. Several things. There's a requirement for groundwater removal. Basically we had to construct four wells on the southeast corner of the landfill to serve as a hydraulic barrier to keep contaminated groundwater from leaving our property, required installing three leachate wells on the south face of the landfill, some like soil investigation around our shop area to make sure that that wasn't contaminating the groundwater. It's also required some additional monitoring of groundwater wells on an annual basis for volatile organic compounds.
 - Q. What groundwater wells are you required to

1 | sample --

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

21

22

23

- 2 A. The --
- Q. -- through the permit?
 - A. Through that permit, I couldn't quote you the numbers, but basically they're the ones, you know, surrounding on the southeast, in the southeastern corner of the removal project. Some of them are -- we put in a new well, you know, beyond the hydraulic barrier to use to sample as like a background well, and then the others, you know, basically surround the well, you know, the well system.
 - Q. Are there private residences in proximity to the landfill?
 - A. Yes, there are.
 - Q. Do you know do those residences have groundwater wells?
- A. Yeah, everyone in the well is on private wells.
- Q. Does that permit require you to do anything with those wells?
 - A. Yes. We're also sampling four private wells, basically the four nearest to where we installed the groundwater removal system.
 - Q. And from time to time has Watts sampled those

wells? 1 2 Α. Yes, we have. Have any of those samples showed 3 contamination attributable to the landfill? 4 No, they have not. 5 Α. Are you currently pumping and treating 6 groundwater at the landfill? 7 8 Α. Yes, we are. And you're doing that pursuant to permit? Q. 9 Correct. 10 Α. 11 Q. What -- how are you treating that 12 groundwater? The groundwater is pumped and then it's 13 Α. hauled to the Sanitary District, the Springfield Metro 14 Sanitary District for disposal. 15 How many wells do you pump water from? 16 Ο. There are four. 17 Α. 18 Q. And those are located? Basically on the southeastern edge of our 19 Α. facility. 20 21 Are you currently removing any leachate? Q. 22 Α. Yes, we are. 23 And how many wells are you removing the

24

leachate from?

1 A. Three.

5

6

7

- 2 0. Where are those wells located?
- A. Those wells are located on the south face of the landfill, more towards the western side.
 - Q. Let me show you what has been marked People's Exhibit 64. Do you want to go ahead and identify that for me?
- A. Let's see, it's a supplemental contempt order from the Sangamon County court.
 - Q. Okay. Are you familiar with that order?
- 11 A. Yes, I am.
- 12 Q. How is that?
- A. Just through working at the facility. One of my duties was to help meet the parts of the order.
- Q. Is it fair to say that that order required the removal of waste or in the alternative filing a siting application with the County?
- 18 | A. Yes, it is.
- 19 Q. Has any waste been removed?
- A. Well, actually, yes, it has been.
- Q. Has any waste been removed pursuant to that order?
- A. No, not done pursuant to this order.
- Q. Has a siting application been filed?

1	A. Yes, there has been.
2	Q. When was that?
3	A. That was filed December 2nd.
4	Q. Why wasn't it filed December 1st?
5	A. December 1st was a Sunday and the County
6	Clerk's office was closed.
7	Q. Okay. Let's turn to page 2. And beginning
8	right there can you read that, just that sentence?
9	A. "As to closure, the defendants have made no
10	attempts to conform the slopes to final contours and
11	to provide final cover."
12	Q. With respect to conforming the slopes to
13	final contours, have you done anything to conform the
14	slopes to final contours?
15	A. Well, because the there was overfill, we
16	haven't been able to conform the until we get the
17	siting application back, then we'll have to put in a
18	permit to change the final contours.
19	HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Can you speak up,

HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Can you speak up, please?

A. Sorry.

20

21

22

23

24

HEARING OFFICER FRANK: That's okay.

Q. Does -- with respect to providing final cover, does the Sangamon Valley Landfill have final

cover?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- A. No, we do not have final cover in place.
- Q. Why not?
- A. Basically until we resolve the overfill issue, we won't be -- we can't put on final cover because if we lose the siting application and are required to remove the overfill, you know, we would have wasted all that work and we can't afford to do it twice basically.
 - Q. Is there intermediate cover on the landfill?
 - A. Yes, there is.
- Q. Let me show you People's Exhibit 63. Can you just go ahead and identify that for me?
- A. This is a contempt order from the Sangamon County courts.
- Q. Let me turn -- direct your attention to page 4 and at the top of that page it was your -- you were required to work on approximately 400 feet on the south portion of area 1, et cetera, et cetera. Has Watts done anything to comply with that requirement?
- A. Yes. We've installed a bentonite slurry wall in that section of the liner.
- Q. Okay. And has that been acceptable to the Agency?

```
They issued a permit to allow us to
 1
         Α.
              Yes.
     construct that liner as a replacement to the original
 2
 3
     permit.
              HEARING OFFICER FRANK: You need to speak up.
 4
              I'm sorry.
 5
         Α.
              HEARING OFFICER FRANK:
                                      That's okay.
 6
              With respect to subpart B, which talks about
 7
         Q.
     proper certification of remainder of the sidewall
 8
     liner, now what have you done to comply -- what has
 9
10
     Watts done to comply with that?
              We've had a soil -- sidewall liner
11
         Α.
12
     investigation which the final report was turned in in
13
     early November of this year. That permit will be the
14
     third one issued by the EPA dealing with the sidewall
     liner investigation.
15
16
         Ο.
              Okay. So that certification hasn't been
17
     approved yet?
18
         Α.
              No, no, that permit is still on file so --
              Okay. But the documentation has been
19
         Ο.
     submitted?
20
         Α.
              Correct.
21
22
         Ο.
              And the Agency is reviewing that?
23
         Α.
              Right.
              Turn to page 5. Let me direct your attention
2.4
         Q.
```

to paragraph 6, which discusses the installation of best available technology for noise control. What has Watts done to comply with that requirement?

- A. Watts contacted a muffler manufacturer called Nelson Muffler and they reviewed our list of our equipment and recommended their best available mufflers for us, and we installed that equipment -- those particular mufflers on our equipment.
- Q. Let's look at paragraph 7, which talks about implementation of the groundwater remediation program. Is it your understanding that Watts has complied with those requirements?
 - A. Yes, it is.

- Q. Now, why don't you take a look at paragraph 8, this is on page 6, which talks about surface water control measures as required by permits. Now, what has Watts done to comply with that requirement?
- A. We've finished construction of the storm water outfalls that were required by that permit.

 Basically they required some concrete pipe being -- to go from a mid slope terrace down to the level, that was to cut down on erosion and keep erosion from destroying the side slopes.
 - MR. NORTHRUP: Those are all the questions I

1 have. 2 MR. DAVIS: If I may. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY 3 MR. DAVIS: 4 Mr. Reiser, pursuant to the original judgment 5 Q. 6 order entered in February of 1994 the Sangamon Valley 7 Landfill was supposed to initiate closure by May 31st of '94, isn't that correct? 8 9 Α. Yeah. 10 And shortly thereafter, during June of 1994 11 the landfill ceased accepting commercial hauling tips? 12 Α. Correct. 13 Okay. And subsequent to that, John, in February, I believe of 1994, pursuant to the first 14 contempt order, your landfill ceased accepting all 15 wastes? 16 17 Α. 190? 18 Ο. 195? '95, right, okay. 19 Α. 20 Being shut down has not prevented you from Ο. 21 implementing these corrective action and corrective --22 and compliance measures, has it? Yeah, that's what we've been working on since 23 24 we've been shut down.

Recently with the filing of the local 1 Ο. Sure. 2 siting petition the Watts companies paid a filing fee of 200,000 dollars to Sangamon County, did they not? 3 4 Α. That's correct. And recently the Watts companies paid -- made 5 Ο. a payment toward penalty of 129,500 and something, did 6 they not? 7 8 Α. That's correct. Would you agree, John, that there seems to be 9 Q. approximately 150,000 dollars remaining to be paid on 10 the original penalty? 11 12 Α. Correct. Would you also agree that there would be 13 14 200,000 dollars on the contempt sanction to be paid? If -- yeah, I think it's -- I'm not exactly 15 Α. 16 sure on the contempt whether the judge has affirmed 17 that or not, but the 200,000 I -- probably that's in 18 one of those reports, but I'll believe you if you say 19 so. MR. DAVIS: Okay. Thank you. 2.0 That's really it. Thank you. 21 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Mr. Northrup, have 22 23 you got anything additional?

MR. NORTHRUP: Yeah, let's see.

```
1
         No. I don't have anything.
              HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Okay. Thank you, Mr.
 2
     Reiser.
 3
 4
                   (Witness excused)
              HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Do you have any other
 5
     witnesses?
 6
 7
              MR. NORTHRUP: I do not.
              HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Then I would like to
 8
     take a ten minute break until a quarter till 4 before
 9
     we start with your rebuttal.
10
11
                   (A recess was taken.)
12
              HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Let's go back on the
     record.
13
         You have rested?
14
15
              MR. NORTHRUP: Yes.
16
              HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Okay.
                                              Then, Ms.
     Symons-Jackson, did you have additional witnesses you
17
     wished to call?
18
              MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: Yes, we do.
19
         Before we call our first witness, we would just
20
21
     tender People's Exhibit 69 into evidence. It's a copy
22
     of the amended complaint in the Sangamon County case
23
     91-CH-242 that Mr. Reiser was talking about.
24
     believe we've already stipulated to all court filings,
```

1	and so would I just offer this into evidence.
2	MR. NORTHRUP: Yeah. I don't have any
3	objection.
4	HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Is there a date on
5	there?
6	MR. DAVIS: This was a document that was hand
7	delivered to the court on November
8	MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: 18, 1992.
9	MR. DAVIS: 18, '92.
10	HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Okay. I just wanted
11	it for the exhibit list. That's fine. That is
12	admitted.
13	(People's Exhibit Number 69 admitted.)
14	MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: And our first rebuttal
15	witness is Mr. Kenneth Liss.
16	HEARING OFFICER FRANK: I believe you
17	testified at our last hearing, so you are still under
18	oath.
19	MS. LISS: Okay.
20	KENNETH LISS
21	called as a witness herein, having been previously
22	duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
23	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY
24	MS. SYMONS-JACKSON:

- Q. Now, Ken, as indicated, you previously gave testimony during the October 29 and 30th hearing in this matter, correct?
 - A. That's correct.

1.3

- Q. And at that time you had not had an opportunity to review the Sig Mod resubmittal, as we've been calling it, from Watts?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. At this point in time have you or the groundwater unit of the Agency been able to perform a review of that submittal?
 - A. Yes, we have.

MR. NORTHRUP: Okay. I'm going to object at this point. I'm going to object to any testimony from Mr. Liss on the -- his review of the Sig Mod resubmittal. I believe when we left off at the hearing on the 30th, the issue of rebuttal witnesses came up. I was specifically allowed the opportunity to depose anyone that the Agency -- that the AG would call as a rebuttal witness specifically with regard to the Sig Mod resubmittal. I have not been given that opportunity. I have not been notified that Mr. Liss was going to testify today. Therefore, I'm objecting to any of his testimony.

1 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Ms. Symons-Jackson? 2 MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: In response, first of all -- a couple of things. First of all, Mr. Northrup 3 4 has already been given the opportunity to depose Mr. 5 Liss and he did. Second thing, there was a report prepared by the personnel of the groundwater unit of 6 7 the EPA and that document was provided to Mr. 8 Northrup. Mr. Liss' testimony today is basically going to be on that report and that report was 9 provided to Mr. Northrup. Upon receiving that report, 10 11 Mr. Northrup did not contact me or my office 12 requesting a deposition of Mr. Liss. 13 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Did you tell him that Mr. Liss would be testifying today? 14 15 MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: Not specifically, no. MR. NORTHRUP: Let me follow up. I received 16 this --17 18 MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: Well, if I could back At the previous hearing I think we indicated in 19 up. conclusion of our hearing that we would be submitting 20 this Sig Mod submittal to the Agency, including 21 someone from the groundwater unit, Ken Liss, and 22 23 possibly someone from permits to review, and that was 24 the purpose of our needing additional time to put on

rebuttal evidence because the submittal was sent to the Agency on October 18. It was not received by them until less than a week before the hearing, and they didn't have time to review it. Mr. Northrup was aware of our intentions regarding rebuttal evidence.

1.0

7.4

1.6

1.8

MR. NORTHRUP: In response, I received this memo from the AG's office on December 10th, which is two days before this hearing. I don't think that gives me any time -- any reasonable time to review it, certainly to depose Mr. Liss. And once again, I mean I'll just state right out of the transcript on page 623, and this is the Hearing Officer speaking, "Mr. Northrup, I did agree to let you depose whatever witness the Attorney General's office decides that they are going to use to testify."

HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Okay.

MR. DAVIS: What did we talk about during the telephone conference --

HEARING OFFICER FRANK: You're asking me to recall a telephone conference that I don't specifically recall. Why don't you tell me what we talked about?

MR. DAVIS: -- that you ordered the parties to have so that we'd be ready to proceed?

Yes, I am asking you that. Because I don't 1 remember, either. 2 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: My -- I can tell you 3 4 that it was very clear when we left you objected. You 5 objected several times to the fact that I as the Hearing Officer ruled that Mr. Northrup would have the 6 7 opportunity to depose whoever you decided to call. was left open at the close of the last hearing as to 8 who you were going to call. 9 10 MR. DAVIS: Sure. HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Because you guys 11 12 weren't sure who you were going to call. 13 MR. DAVIS: But we talked about this on the 14 phone, didn't we, Charlie? 15 MR. NORTHRUP: We talked about setting this 16 date. 17 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: We talked about a 18 hearing date. MR. NORTHRUP: I don't recall one way or 19 20 another what we talked about. 21 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: I'm not sure the 22 three of us were ever on the phone together because 23 you guys were supposed to call me, and I ended up 24 calling both Amy and Charlie about hearing dates, and

at that time I specifically asked Amy is X person 1 going to testify, and she said, "I don't know yet." 2 If you're talking about that conversation between me 3 4 and Amy, I remember that clearly. 5 MR. DAVIS: That's what I'm asking you. 6 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: So at that point she 7 still was not sure who was going to be testifying. 8 That was part of what she was going to check on for checking hearing dates. 9 10 I am not going to allow this witness to testify. 11 As Charlie read straight from the transcript, it was 12 very clear that you were to allow him enough time to depose a witness. And I know that you objected to it, 13 14 but that was still my ruling, and you guys did not try to have the Board overrule that. 15

MR. DAVIS: Then we'll make an offer of proof.

HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Okay. That's fine. You may do that.

- Q. (by Ms. Symons-Jackson) Ken, I believe my last question to you was at this point in time you have had an opportunity to review the Sig Mod resubmittal?
- A. Yes, that's correct.

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q. And during your previous testimony in this matter you indicated that it was your opinion, based on your review of all available information, that the groundwater at the Taylor Ridge site was classified as a Class I groundwater, is that accurate?

A. Yes.

- Q. Now, does the Sig Mod submittal from Watts address the classification of groundwater at the site?
- A. Incompletely. So it addresses it, but it does not address all of the units at the site.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. In the classification.
 - Q. Can you explain for me what you mean by that?
- A. In the different stratum there are some wells and I guess hydraulic information to attempt to indicate that it's Class II, but the information is incomplete to verify the validity of the data, and some of the units are not characterized with respect to classification in that report.
- Q. Okay. So, Ken, based on your review of this Sig Mod submittal, what is your opinion today as to the classification of groundwater at the site?
- A. I would still say we would consider it Class

 1 I at this time.

- Q. Briefly, one other area to touch on. I believe you testified prior in this case that an assessment or assessment monitoring is required at this site.
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Of the groundwater. And is that still your opinion today?
 - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. How is the requirement of assessment monitoring triggered?
- A. Based on a comparison of groundwater data at the site where we find exceedences over the background or exceedences over State standards -- groundwater standards.
 - Q. So is it fair to say when an exceedence or an event, can we call it, occurs, that this in turn requires the performance of assessment monitoring?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Now, does the Sig Mod submittal address groundwater assessment?
 - A. No, it does not.
- Q. Is there an assessment -- there's not an assessment monitoring plan proposed in that Sig Mod submittal?

- A. There is information provided for an assessment, but it does not meet the rules that are applicable to that type of a facility.
- Q. And so is it your opinion that that assessment monitoring plan that is prepared is incomplete?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And can you briefly tell us how it is incomplete?
- A. It does not contain the frequency of monitoring. It does not contain the parameters which were concerned. I think there are about seven or nine organic parameters that were detected that were not included in the assessment, which is the basis for the assessment, or additional monitoring wells, or the federal assessment monitoring parameter list.
- Q. Now, are there elements under Section 811.319 of the Pollution Control Board regulations that pertain to groundwater assessment?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And does the Sig Mod submittal address -- adequately address those five elements?
- 23 A. No.
 - Q. Are you aware, Ken, what the status of this

1	can we call it a Sig Mod Application now? Has a
2	Sig Mod Application been made?
3	A. That's correct.
4	Q. And that was made first couple weeks of
5	November?
6	A. I think it was the end of October.
7	Q. You're not quite sure on the date?
8	A. I'm not quite sure. I think it was October.
9	Q. Do you know what the current status of that
10	Sig Mod Application is?
11	A. We denied it based on incompleteness. Do you
12	want me to explain what that means?
13	MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: That's fine. That's all
14	I have.
15	HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Okay, Mr. Northrup.
16	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY
17	MR. NORTHRUP:
18	Q. When did you deny the Sig Mod based on
19	incompleteness?
20	A. Official the official denial I think was
21	yesterday, the 12th.
22	Q. Has Watts how has Watts been notified of
23	that denial?
24	A. The notification would have been mailed and
1	

signed yesterday.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

- Q. Now, this is an incompleteness denial, so does that mean that Watts has an opportunity to make it complete?
- A. There is some information on the -- above the signature of the permit section manager that explains the alternatives to filing an appeal, resubmitting the application, and a time frame.
- Q. With respect to resubmitting the application, what is the time frame associated with that?
 - A. I think it's 35 days.
- Q. If in fact this -- these incompleteness points are addressed, something is resubmitted within 35 days, what happens then?
 - A. We will look at that for completeness.
- Q. Basically do your completeness review all over again?
- 18 A. Correct.
 - Q. If it is deemed complete, what happens?
- A. We'll do a full technical review.
- Q. If it is incomplete, what happens?
- 22 A. We will deny it based on incompleteness.
- 23 | Q. Which would give Watts another 35 days to --
- 24 A. I think so.

- Q. Would you consider portions of the groundwater at the facility to be Class II groundwater?
- A. As I testified previously, I think there's a potential that one of the units could be classified as Class II.
- Q. Are you familiar with the original Sig Mod that was submitted for the Taylor Ridge facility?
 - A. The one that was denied in February of '95?
 - Q. Right.

- A. Yeah, I'm familiar with it.
- Q. Did the Agency perform a technical review on that?
- A. I think the denial was based on a technical review.
 - Q. So in other words, that application was complete?
 - A. That would indicate it's complete. Or it could be the Agency passed its technical completeness review time period. If we don't perform a technical completeness within so many days, 30 days, and issue a letter of incompleteness, we have to do a full technical review. I don't think we're required to do a completeness review.

- Q. Do you recall if a letter of incompleteness was issued for that permit?
 - A. No, I don't recall.

MR. NORTHRUP: I don't have any further questions.

HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Anything else?

MR. DAVIS: Ms. Hearing Officer, let me take
just a minute here to state something.

First of all, we opposed the request for deposition. We objected. We didn't disagree with your ruling. We didn't seek any appeal of it. We did attempt to comply by giving notice. Obviously or apparently, that was insufficient.

But what we've done here on an offer of proof has been in good faith. What Mr. Northrup has done is to avail himself of cross-examination and to go beyond the scope of our offer of proof. Now he has acquiesced in that. He has waived any claim of prejudice. And that's what I wanted to say.

HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Okay. Well, you can bring that issue before the Board because it will be up to the Board as to whether or not they're going to accept the offer of proof, and I'm going to leave it to them to decide.

MR. DAVIS: Sure. 1. HEARING OFFICER FRANK: And if you want to 2 use that argument before them, you certainly may. 3 MR. DAVIS: I think I'll have to. 4 MR. NORTHRUP: Let me just respond, too. 5 mean that's -- the purpose of being given the 6 7 opportunity to depose anybody you were going to call was to be able to go into certain things in depth what 8 their testimony was going to be. I have been denied 9 that opportunity. It was stated at the last hearing 10 11 on the 30th if witnesses were going to be called, I was going to have Steve Keith here from Milwaukee to 12 assist in that. Since I did not know, I was not 13 availed of the opportunity to have my people here to 14 effectively cross-examine Mr. Liss. I have not waived 15 16 anything by asking him some follow-up questions to your questions. 17 MR. DAVIS: May I? 18 What was the date on the document that we faxed to 19 20 you? MR. NORTHRUP: The memo from Andrew Catlin to 21 Ken Liss was dated December 3rd of '96. 22 MR. DAVIS: When did you receive it? 23 MR. NORTHRUP: I received my fax at the top 24

```
says December 10th at 10:52 a.m..
 1
              MR. DAVIS: Does it show when we received it?
 2
              MR. NORTHRUP: Where would it show that?
 3
              MR. DAVIS: It would have been a fax.
 4
 5
              MR. NORTHRUP: I would assume you received it
     on December 10th at 10:50 -- it came in sequentially,
 6
7
     10:53, 10:54, that type of thing.
              MR. DAVIS: Okay. So it looks, Charlie, as
8
 9
     if we turned it right around to you after we got it.
              MR. NORTHRUP: Oh, that's what it looks like,
10
     yeah. And I don't dispute that --
11
12
              HEARING OFFICER FRANK: At this point you
13
     guys are making arguments that are better off before
14
     the Board.
              MR. DAVIS: I'm trying to get some facts into
15
     the record if you don't mind. If will just take
16
     another half a minute.
17
              HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Okay.
18
              MR. DAVIS: Did you think that we might be
19
     calling Mr. Liss or did you think that we might not be
20
     calling Mr. Liss?
21
              HEARING OFFICER FRANK: I don't think it's
22
23
     appropriate for you to be questioning Mr. Northrup.
     If you want to make arguments to the Board as to
24
```

```
accepting the offer of proof, you may do so.
1
              MR. DAVIS: It's a minor issue, Ms. Hearing
 2
     Officer.
 3
              HEARING OFFICER FRANK: I am not going to
 4
     allow you to question the other attorney at this
 5
     point.
 6
 7
              MR. DAVIS: But I already have.
              HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Well, let's move on.
 8
     If you would like to call another witness, you may do
 9
10
     so.
              MR. DAVIS: Joyce Munie.
11
              MR. NORTHRUP: I'm going to make the same
12
13
     objection to her.
              MR. DAVIS: Different issue.
1.4
              HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Well, let Joyce come
15
16
     on up.
17
         Thank you.
18
                   (Witness excused)
                          JOYCE MUNIE
19
     called as a witness herein, having been first duly
20
     sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
21
                   DIRECT EXAMINATION BY
22
23
                   MR. DAVIS:
              Would you state your name and occupation,
24
         Ο.
```

please?

- A. My name is Joyce Munie. I'm the Solid Waste Unit Manager in the Bureau of Land, Permit Section.
- Q. And how long have you worked for the Illinois EPA?
- A. I've worked for the Agency since October of 1984.
- Q. Could you summarize your credentials and qualifications in 30 seconds or less?
- A. I am a Licensed Professional Engineer in the State of Illinois. I have a degree in environmental engineering from Southern Illinois University at Carbondale.
- Q. Now, Joyce, we've asked you to testify concerning a meeting that you may have had with the folks from the ESG Watts company back in August of 1995. Do you understand that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Was this meeting intended to address the denial points from the February 1995 denial of the Sig Mod?
- A. This meeting was meant to assist Watts in complying with the denial, to come to compliance with the regulations, and to explain the denial to them.

- Q. Okay. And was the meeting at their request?
 - A. I'm sorry, I don't recall. It probably was.
- Q. Did you have a productive discussion regarding the various technical issues?
 - A. I thought we did, yes.

1.3

- Q. Did you come to any agreement or arrangement as to how a resubmittal of the Significant Modification Application would be made by Watts?
- A. We didn't so much as discuss the resubmittal specifically of the application. We discussed how they would go through the denial and -- I guess we did discuss the resubmittal of the application. That they would have to submit information in regards to all the denial points and attempt to address all the regulations that the denial said they did not comply with in the first one and then resubmit the application to us.
- Q. Now, were you senior staff member, if you will, in attendance on behalf of the Agency at that meeting?
 - A. Yes, I was.
- Q. Did you allow Watts to deviate from any of the generally applicable procedures or requirements as far as permit application submittals?

A. Not in regards to the resubmittal of the application, no.

- Q. Now, I can represent to you that there's been testimony to the effect that all they had to do was to send in some supplemental information, along with a letter -- cover letter indicating that nothing else had changed. Would this be acceptable to the Agency?
- A. Not as a resubmittal to the Significant Modification Application.
- Q. And was a filing made in mid October, I think October 18th, 1996, from this company?
- A. I don't know the specific date, but we did receive some information that was purporting to address the denial points.
- Q. And what action did the Agency take with that submittal?
- A. We rejected that application on the basis that it did not include application forms and signatures, and we sent the information back.
- Q. Was there a subsequent filing by this company?
 - A. Yes. They submitted a Significant Modification Application.
 - Q. Let me show you what we've just marked, and

for that matter just received, from your Agency. 1 We've identified this as Exhibit 70. Could you 2 identify it as to subject matter and so forth? 3 This is a letter of incompleteness for the 4 Significant Modification Application for Watts 5 Landfill, Andalusia in Rock Island County. 6 Is this an official permitting action by the 7 Q. Illinois EPA? 8 Yes, it is. 9 Α. Would you consider this to be a business 10 record created and maintained in the usual course of 11 the business of the Illinois EPA? 12 13 Α. Yes. MR. DAVIS: We would move into evidence 14 Exhibit 70. 15 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Is there any 16 17 objection? Is the letter dated yesterday? 18 Α. Yes. 19 MR. NORTHRUP: Yeah, I am going to object to 20 And the basis is that the whole point of our 21 22 discussion on the 30th was that the Agency would 23 present a witness to discuss the items in the resubmittal. You've already ruled, I mean, they 24

didn't give me the opportunity to depose anybody, and I think this is just a backdoor attempt to get their determination into the record without allowing me any opportunity to cross-examine or to depose and to have my witnesses look at the substance of the denial.

MR. DAVIS: It is not a backdoor attempt. We had intended to put Ken Liss on to address certain specific issues. Ms. Munie has been called to attempt to rebut the assertion that's been made several times regarding some sort of commitment by the Agency on the act of filing. As to this Exhibit Number 70, it's a business record. It -- I haven't read it. I don't know what it says, but it is an official action by the EPA on what they filed as Exhibit 1, and I think it has intrinsic value for that purpose. We're not asking her, nor is she prepared to discuss any of the technical matters underlying the decision. It's not a technical decision. It's a matter of completeness.

HEARING OFFICER FRANK: I'm going to allow it. I believe that it's relevant to this case.

(People's Exhibit Number 70 admitted.)

Q. Joyce, let me show you what we've had admitted previously as Exhibit 56 and ask if this appears to be a permit issued by your Agency on

| January 9, 1996?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

18

19

20

21

22

23

- A. Yes, it is.
- Q. And is it your understanding that this permit is under appeal to the Pollution Control Board?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Now, let me back up once again to August
 1995. Did you participate in discussions with the ESG
 Watts company regarding the need for assessment
 monitoring for their groundwater problems?
- A. Yes. We discussed groundwater monitoring at the landfill while they were attempting to get their Significant Modification.
- Q. Even though his testimony is in dispute or
 subject to being stricken from the record, did you
 understand that Mr. Liss just now indicated that
 assessment monitoring was triggered at this facility
 because of some exceedences in the monitoring reports?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Now, as a general matter, isn't this how it happens?
 - A. Yes. They start monitoring groundwater, and if something is found, it's triggered, then they start an assessment.
 - Q. That's the whole point of quarterly

monitoring and so forth, isn't it?

A. Yes.

- Q. Now, getting back specifically to the Watts
 Taylor Ridge facility, did you also participate,
 Joyce, in discussions involving the Attorney General's
 office and ESG Watts, either collectively or with
 separate parties at separate times throughout the fall
 of 1995 on the issue of assessment monitoring?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did your Agency fax to ESG Watts a list of parameters that would be subject to assessment monitoring?
- A. We faxed them a list of groundwater monitoring parameters that is a standard 620 list that you monitor for.
- Q. During the discussions that you participated in did it appear that ESG Watts was agreeable to undertaking assessment monitoring?
- A. They agreed to do groundwater monitoring in accordance with the 620 standards.
- Q. And did it appear that there may have been an issue as to what exactly had to be tested and you attempted to resolve that issue?
 - A. Yes. When they were asking about the

specific constituents that they would have to monitor for, we faxed them a list of standard constituents under 620.

Q. Does Exhibit 56 indicate when a permit application was logged in with the Agency?

- A. It was dated October 9th, 1995, and received by the Agency on October 11th, 1995.
- Q. Do you recall whether that application included any assessment monitoring proposal?
- A. It included a proposal to monitor the standard groundwater list.
- Q. And was -- and does the Agency in its permit issuance of this Exhibit Number 56 grant authority to do that?
- A. We grant the requirement to monitor groundwater quarterly and annually, and do the statistics and all the standard groundwater monitoring requirements.
- Q. I can also represent to you that there's been testimony earlier in this hearing that in issuing this permit, Exhibit 56, the Agency acted "unilaterally" by including an assessment monitoring program. Do you agree with that testimony?
 - A. We gave them a standard Attachment A for

groundwater monitoring, which would include assessment monitoring if something was triggered in the groundwater.

Q. And was it your understanding that they were

I

1.0

- Q. And was it your understanding that they were not only proposing to do so but were willing to implement such a program?
- A. It was my understanding that they were willing to do the standard groundwater monitoring, yes.

MR. DAVIS: Thank you. No other questions.

HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Cross?

MR. NORTHRUP: Yeah, just a couple.

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY

MR. NORTHRUP:

- Q. Going back to the Sig Mod meeting, what was the purpose of allowing Watts to resubmit information on the denial points?
- A. We had some discussion about assisting them with specific plans within the denial. There's leachate plans and there's gas monitoring plans, and things like that that did not comply with the 811 standards, and we did agree to assist them in bringing those specific plans to the 811 standards and discuss any specific problems with it prior to them

specifically submitting the Significant Modification

Application.

- Q. So the information that was -- the information that was submitted on those denial points what were you going to do with it?
- A. We would review them for adequacy with the 811 regulations and assist Watts to bring them up to the 811 standards if they didn't meet it or basically tell them that that plan would meet the 811 standards.
 - Q. Is that what you did?
- A. We only received one attempt before the Significant Modification Application, and that was an 807 application for leachate and gas management. We reviewed it in accordance with the 811 standards, but we issued it under the 807 supplemental.
- Q. You're aware that Watts submitted or through CH2M-Hill they made a submittal on October 18th of '96?
- 19 A. Yes.

- Q. That submittal addressed the denial points?
- A. I believe so. To be perfectly honest, I did not review that submittal.
 - Q. Okay. What did the Agency do with that?
 - A. We were looking for a Significant

Modification Application, and we rejected that 1 particular packet because it did not include 2 signatures or the application forms themselves. 3 Okay. But at your meeting you just testified that you were willing to assist Watts when they 5 submitted documentation with respect to those denial 6 7 points? Watts also indicated to us that they would be 8 Α. doing that soon, and a year later when the package 9 10 came in, we were looking for an application. Did Watts notify you that they were going to 11 submit an application? 12 13 Α. No. A formal application. Did you at any time 14 notify Watts that you would not consider documentation 15 that they submitted to you unless it was in the form 16 of a formal Sig Mod Application? 17 18 Α. No. MR. NORTHRUP: I don't have any further 19 questions. 20 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Is there redirect? 21 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY 22 23 MR. DAVIS: 24 Joyce, disregarding for the moment the fact Q.

that what you received in October was 14 months beyond the discussions that you had in August of '95, and disregarding the fact that an enforcement complaint had been filed regarding these issues with the Board in November of 1995, what's your -- did what you receive comport with your expectations?

A. No.

1.0

1.2

1.4

- Q. Was it neither fish nor fowl? Was it neither a formal permit application nor the informal let's sit down and talk about it, how's it look so far approach that you thought you were encouraging?
- A. It was questionable as to what it was. And we have specific statutory deadlines that say that once we receive an application, and if we accept it, we have 90 days or possibly 180 days to review it, depending on what regulations we're falling under.
- Q. And as a general matter, has the Pollution Control Board expressed its expectations in a variety of rulings in permit appeals about just how rigorous and stringent and exact these procedures must be?
 - A. Yes. We take those deadlines very seriously.
- Q. And for that matter, is there not also a prohibition between permitting and enforcement actions?

A. Yes, specifically.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

- Q. And is there any overt caution expressed by you in your unit to try to avoid any mixing of those types of issues?
 - A. Always. It's one of the first things we teach permit reviewers.
- Q. Okay. So while on the one hand we folks at the Attorney General's office may make enforcement demands and have you sitting at the table with us, it's up to you folks in the Permit Section to actually receive and do technical reviews and issue permits?
 - A. Yes.
- MR. DAVIS: Okay. I think I've made enough argument. Thank you.
- 15 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Mr. Northrup.
- MR. NORTHRUP: Yeah, just real quick.
- 17 RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY
- MR. NORTHRUP:
- Q. You said the submittal did not comport with your expectations?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. When did your expectations change?
- A. I had no reason to believe that we were still doing preliminary reviews for this facility. I was

expecting a Significant Modification Application by this time.

- Q. When did your expectations change?
- A. Soon after we sent a letter in October to all facilities warning them that the September 18th, 1997 deadline is fast approaching, and that if they don't get their Significant Modification approved by that date, they would have to shut down.
 - Q. October of what year did that letter go out?
- A. Of this year.
- Q. Okay. So your expectations changed in

 October of '96 and yet Watts made this resubmittal on

 October 18th of '96?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

21

22

- Q. Do you know the precise date when your October letter went out?
- A. It was I -- now I'm going to say it was

 August 9th. No, I cannot tell you the exact date that

 that warning letter went out, but I do now believe it

 was August.
 - Q. And did you do anything to notify Watts that your expectations had changed?
 - A. We did send that letter to Watts.
- Q. Okay. What was this letter again?

- A. It was a letter telling them that because of the new Subtitle D requirements, as of October 8th they would have to start monitoring in accordance with RCRA Subtitle D, and in addition to that, this is -- it was a warning that the September 18th, 1997 deadline was fast approaching.
 - Q. Was that a form letter?
 - A. It was a specific letter sent only to the 19 facilities that don't have a Significant Modification, but you might consider it a form letter.
 - Q. How many facilities don't have a Sig Mod?
- 12 \ A. As of that date 19.
- 13 Q. And those 19 facilities got the same letter?
- 14 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

19

2.0

21

- Q. Was there anything in that letter specific to Watts?
- A. No, except that it was specifically sent to their facilities.
 - Q. Did you send any letter to Watts specifically indicating your changed expectations with respect to the resubmittal?
- A. No. I haven't been in communication with Watts for guite a while.
 - Q. Do you know if Watts has attempted to contact

```
you?
 1
              They did the day of the last hearing.
         Α.
 2
              Did you return that call?
 3
         Ο.
              On advice of my counsel I did not.
         Α.
 4
              MR. NORTHRUP: I don't have any further
 5
     questions.
 6
                   REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY
 7
                   MR. DAVIS:
 8
              When you say counsel, Joyce, do you mean the
9
         Q.
     Illinois EPA's Division of Legal Counsel?
10
              Yes, I do.
11
         Α.
              The letter that you referred to that went out
12
     to 19 facilities, would it have gone out to ESG Watts
13
1.4
     Sangamon Valley Landfill?
         Α.
              I believe we sent them one.
15
              MR. DAVIS: No other questions.
1.6
17
              MR. NORTHRUP: No questions.
18
              HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Okay. Thank you.
                    (Witness excused)
19
              MR. DAVIS: We would call Joe Whitley.
20
              HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Mr. Whitley, I remind
21
22
     you that you're still under oath from our last
23
     hearing.
24
```

JOE WHITLEY 1 2 called as a witness herein, having been previously duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 3 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY 4 5 MR. DAVIS: 6 Mr. Whitley, were you here this morning when Q. Steve Grothus testified? 7 I was for the latter part of it. I wasn't at 8 9 the early part. 10 I'm going to wait until the train goes by, 11 okay, Joe? 12 The issue that I'd like to explore with you, Joe, 13 concerns the gas extraction wells. Did you have a 14 conversation with Mr. Grothus sometime in late summer? 15 Α. Yes, I did. 16 Did you initiate that conversation? Ο. Yes, I did. 17 Α. 18 Was this a telephone call? Ο. 19 Α. Yes, it was. 20 Can you tell us what you told him? Ο. 21 On a Monday fairly early in the morning, I'm 22 going to say around 8, 9:00, I called to the landfill 23 and I got in touch with Steve Grothus, and I said 24 since Friday -- well, Friday, Saturday and Sunday, all

weekend we have been absolutely smothered with odors. 1

- Steve Grothus replied to me, "I smelled it when I 2
- turned off the Andalusia Road going into the landfill. 3
- I will check and see what's the matter, and I will 4
- 5 call you back."
- Did he do so? 6 Ο.
- He did so. 7 Α.
- What did he tell you? 8
- 9 He told me there were some open wells. Α. That 10 on Thursday they was drilling and the rain caught them 11 unexpectedly and the wells was open at this time and they didn't get them covered up till Monday and 12

Okay. So your conversation was on a Monday?

- assured me that it would not happen again.
- Yes, it was. 15 Α.
- And did you understand him to refer to the 16 Ο. 17 previous Thursday?
- 18 Α. Yes.

Q.

13

- 19 Okay. Now, did he use the word or term open Q. 20 wells?
- I -- I'm not sure of the exact terms that he 21 Α. 22 did use. He said that there were some wells that did 23 not have the casings put in them. Now, whether that 24 is open or what it is, I'm not sure. Steve would

answer that better than I, but he said there was, he said, three or four wells there that wasn't finished.

They were incomplete, caught by the rain.

- Q. And did he acknowledge whether these were, quote, open wells or incompletely installed wells that such were the source of these odors that both he and you had detected?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Did this occur before or after your deposition that Mr. Northrup took?
- A. I'm not sure. What date was the deposition?

 MR. NORTHRUP: I don't know. I don't have it

 with me.
 - MR. DAVIS: I don't remember.
 - Q. Do you recall at that deposition, Joe, that Mr. Grothus was present and made certain statements about there being a danger regarding the wells being open?
 - A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. And did he in particular indicate that these were deep wells and that somebody, if they didn't know that they were there, could fall into the wells?
- A. Yes, he did.
 - Q. And did he express to you a concern that you

1 | should stay off the property?

A. Yes, he did.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

- Q. Did he mention anything at that time about big heavy steel plates that would cover these open wells?
 - A. No, he did not.
 - Q. Did he use at that time the term open wells?
 - A. Yes, he did.
- Q. Let me turn to one more issue regarding the retention pond. First of all, is the retention pond as it has existed these past several years, that is, generally speaking, is it located on your property entirely, on the landfill property entirely, or partially on both?
 - A. I constructed the dike and through the erosion and sediment that has come off of the landfill and where the new fence was put back in, it ran -- the water is higher than the elevation of the fence.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. So there is water on the landfill side.

 There is water on my side.
 - Q. Do you know how far from the fence, which represents the boundary, the dike is?
 - A, 20 to 30 feet.

- Q. So at least that portion is without a dispute on your property?
 - A. It is.

- Q. I understand from your previous testimony that the location of the fence was a matter of agreement between you and Mr. Grothus?
 - A. Yes, it was.
- Q. And is it fair to say, sir, that your agreement was based upon some surveying that you had had conducted?
- A. I did not conduct a survey, no, but I was there when the survey was done and I do know where the stakes are.
- Q. Okay. Was the -- how was the survey done? Who requested it?
- A. The guy's name was Marvin Kammerman that actually did the surveying at the time that I was present or the time that I was there, because he sent me a letter stating so that he was going to be there surveying the property. That's why I was there.
 - Q. On whose behalf was he acting apparently?
 - A, On ESG Watts.
- Q. Okay. So regardless of all of that, where the fence is is where everybody agrees the property

1 | line is?

- A. That's where the stakes are set.
- Q. Directing your attention now to November 19,
- 4 1996. Has anything occurred with -- in regards to the
- 5 | retention pond?
- A. I've had the retention pond cleaned, yes,
- 7 sir.
- 8 Q. On that date?
- 9 A. On a date in November. I'm not positive.
- 10 | I've got notes back there but -- I'm going to say it
- 11 | was in November, yes.
- 12 Q. Middle November?
- 13 A. Right.
- 14 Q. Okay. At whose behalf and at whose expense?
- 15 A. My behalf and my expense.
- 16 Q. How much?
- A. 80 dollars.
- 18 | Q. Has the landfill removed any silt or done any
- 19 | dredging in regards to the retention pond since summer
- 20 of '95?
- 21 A. No, sir.
- Q. Is your property still being impacted mid
- 23 December, that is as of today, by the retention pond?
- 24 A. Yes, it is.

O. How?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

There's siltation in it right now. siltation is approximately six inches from the top of the dike. That is why that I had it cleaned out, the part that never was cleaned out in '95, and built the dike a little higher to -- so it will retain more of the siltation that's coming in there before the water actually comes off, because actually it's only six inches from the top prior to my having it done. I had it done in late November because I've asked the landfill personnel since April of this year to do it, they haven't done it. So before the ground froze and got hard and the spring thaws next spring would completely fill the pond and overfill the pond, so I hired a guy to come down and dig out the portion that was there and put it on the dike.

- Q. Who did you talk to at the landfill to discuss this?
 - A. I didn't -- I haven't talked to anyone --
 - Q. I mean who did you make the requests upon?
 - A. You mean to clean out the pond?
- 22 Q. Right.
- A. I've made the request on numerous occasions,
 but mostly to Elmer Elliott, and he has been the

contact, I would say, probably 75 percent of the time.

- Q. Has Mr. Grothus been the contact any other times?
- A. Oh, I've talked with Mr. Grothus on numerous occasions, yes, sir.
- Q. Joe, you testified in the evening of the 29th of October of this year, and it occurs to me that that was a rather rainy evening, was it not?
- A. I'm not sure, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

HEARING OFFICER FRANK: I can take official notice of the fact that we had a huge thunderstorm that night.

- A. Oh, okay, at the hearing.
- 14 Q. At the hearing.
- A. Yes, we did. We had a terrible storm. I do remember.
 - Q. After you left the witness chair and went home that night or the next day, did you observe any runoff problems from the landfill?
 - A. I'm not sure that I went there the night, but in the next few days there was some runoff, yes, sir.
 - Q. Are things just as bad as they were, say, last year?
 - A. There's not much change, no, sir.

1	MR. DAVIS: Okay. Thank you, sir.
2	HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Cross?
3	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY
4	MR. NORTHRUP:
5	Q. You say there hasn't been much change in the
6	last year. Has there been much change from the way
7	things were in 1988?
8	A. There's been lots of changes in the landfill,
9	yes, sir, in the last ten years, eight years, seven
10	years. There's changes all the time.
11	Q. When you had this telephone conversation with
12	Steve Grothus, it was late summer of '96?
13	A. Yes, sir, either July late July or August
14	of this year, yes, sir.
15	Q. At any time well, with respect to the
16	November 19th cleaning of the pond, did you request
17	anybody at Watts to clean that pond at that time?
18	A. On that specific date?
19	Q. Uh-huh.
20	A. On November the 19th?
21	Q. Did you make any request of Watts to clean
22	the pond between August of '95 and November 19th of
23	196?
24	A. Yes, sir.

1 Q. How many times?

- 2 A. Probably four.
 - Q. Okay.

3

1.6

- 4 A. At least.
- Q. Okay. Now, did Watts clean that pond on any of those four occasions?
- 7 A. No, sir.
- Q. Who did you make your request to?
- A. I made most of the requests to Elmer Elliott.

 I'm not sure if Steve or Mr. Jones either one that I have talked to them about it or not, but Elmer was at my place and did go to the site with me and see what I wanted done, informed me that they had a breakdown of their small backhoe, I believe he called it, and he'd get it as soon as the backhoe got fixed.
 - O. And when was this?
- A. This would have been in April probably of this year.
- 19 Q. Of '96?
- 20 | A. '96, yes, sir.
- Q. Had you made any requests of the landfill to clean the pond since April of '96 to today's date?
- A. I'm not sure if that was the last

 conversation that I had. I do have that as a note in

```
my book that I talked with Elmer and went to the
 1
     landfill site with Elmer on that date of April the
 2
     26th. The other dates I'm not sure of whether I --
 3
 4
     I'm sure that I've asked him on more than one occasion
     to clean it out.
 5
         Q. Do you have any notations in your book that
 6
 7
     you made any --
 8
            I probably do. I don't have it with me, Mr.
 9
     Northrup.
            -- that you made any requests after April
10
     26th?
11
              It would be in my book, but I don't have my
12
         Α.
     book with me.
13
              Where is your book?
14
         Ο.
              It's at home.
         Α.
15
              Why didn't you bring it with you?
16
         Ο.
              I didn't know I was going to testify.
17
         Α.
18
              MR. NORTHRUP: Those are all the questions I
     have.
19
              MR. DAVIS: Nothing further.
20
              HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Okay.
                                              Thank you.
21
                    (Witness excused)
22
23
              HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Are there any other
```

24

witnesses?

MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: No.

2 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Okay.

MR. NORTHRUP: I want to call Steve Grothus

as a rebuttal -- surrebuttal. At least I think I do.

I want to talk to Steve first.

HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Can I go ahead and do a housekeeping matter before you -- we go off the record and I let you do that.

I just wanted to make clear at our last hearing I accepted an exhibit list instanter for purposes of hearing. You guys were nice -- you guys, the Attorney General's office were nice enough to provide it. I am not going to forward that to the Board because I think it would cause some confusion because it's going to be so similar to the complete exhibit list which I provide. It was very helpful for hearing, but I'm going to just go ahead and do an official exhibit list. So I want you to know that that will not be part of the record. It will be sort of part of the entire one.

I also need to mention for the record that there have been members of the public present throughout the day listening to the hearing.

And all of the witnesses that have testified I

have found credible. Weight of testimony, as we 1 discussed at the last hearing, is something for the 2 Board to examine. 3 4 And let's go ahead and go off the record. And while you talk to Steve, I'd also like you guys to 5 think of -- both parties to think about briefing 6 schedules. 7 8 MR. NORTHRUP: Quick question. I'd given you an exhibit list. It was incomplete in that I didn't 9 have my numbers on here. Do you want me to give you a 10 11 revised list of my own or are you just going to 12 incorporate? HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Actually, I don't 13 remember ever receiving an exhibit list from you. 14 MR. NORTHRUP: Okay. Do you want one? 15 16 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: No. I've been keeping track of them, and I've already typed in the 17 18 ones from the last hearing onto the disk which was provided to me by the Attorney General's office. So I 19 don't need it. 2.0 21 Let's go off the record. (Discussion off the record.) 22 23 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: You're still under 24 oath.

MR. GROTHUS: Yes, ma'am. 1 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: 2 3 STEVE GROTHUS 4 called as a witness herein, having been previously duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 5 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY 6 7 MR. NORTHRUP: 8 Okay, a couple quick questions. You were in the room here when Mr. Whitley just testified? 9 Yes, sir. 10 Α. 11 He testified about a telephone conversation Ο. that he purportedly had with you perhaps late July or 12 August of 1986 regarding odors from the landfill. 13 you recall that? 14 Α. 15 No. 16 Q. 196? 96. No, I don't recall. 17 Α. 18 Ο. Do you recall him testifying to that? Yeah, I remember him saying it. 19 Α. Do you recall that telephone conversation? 20 Q. 21 Α. No. Have you -- based on your knowledge have you 22 23 ever left a gas extraction well hole left uncovered? 24 Α. No.

- Q. Now, Mr. Whitley talked about -- used the term purportedly you said something about you left -- there were casings in these holes. Is casing a term that you understand?
- A. Not in this type of well drilling operation.

 Casing meaning the well casing? Is that what he's -okay, the well casing, yeah. Okay.
 - Q. Well, I'll just strike that question.

There was also some testimony regarding some statements you purportedly made at Mr. Whitley's deposition in this case.

- A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Do you recall him testifying about that?
- 14 A. Yes.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

21

22

23

- 15 Q. Do you recall that day?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. When he was deposed?
- 18 | A. Yes, I do.
- Q. What is your recollection of what you told
 Mr. Whitley that day?
 - A. We were talking about the well drilling operation, and I believe I asked him that I noticed some people on the landfill late one evening prior to the well drilling operation. Knowing what was going

to happen, I was very concerned about that. If there 1 are people walking across it from -- they came from 2 north to south when I saw them. If we're up there 3 drilling, they may not realize what's going on. told him I was very concerned, I was afraid that 5 somebody might fall in a well. Basically to scare him 6 or for him to let people know or whatever. I told him 7 that to scare them off, keep people out of there at 8 9 all costs. 10 Ο. At the time you made that statement was there ever a period prior to that time when a well had not 11 been covered at the end of the day? 12 13 Not that I'm aware of. 14 So what again was the purpose of putting him Ο. on notice that these holes were out there? 15 16 To make sure that if anyone he knew or any 17 one of the neighbors or anything were walking across

the site, that's extremely dangerous at that time.

MR. NORTHRUP: No further questions.

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY

MR. DAVIS:

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Steve, I seem to recall you also discussed the fact that people had been driving recreational vehicles or something?

- A. Yeah, I found ATC tracks and things like that up there.
- Q. And the people that you may have seen on-site, that didn't include Mr. Whitley, did it?
- A. No. Heavens, no. Could have been anybody.

 I'm assuming it was kids.
- Q. So when you did talk about the danger of open wells and the long shafts and the lack of air and all that, that was just, as you put it, an effort to scare him?
- A. Yes. To scare him and to let -- I figured he talked to a lot of neighbors all the time and he was kind of the source of communication possibly.
- 14 Irregardless if we covered the wells or not, hopefully 15 it was a deterrent.
 - Q. Did he make any response or reply to these statements by you?
 - A. I don't recall.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. Did he say something to the effect that he would not go on the site?
- A. Oh, I'm -- I think he did probably, yeah. He
 never -- I mean to my knowledge he's never come onto
 it without talking to us or whatever.
 - Q. Let's turn our attention now to late July,

1 | early August, that time period.

A. Okay.

2

7

8

9

10

15

- Q. Were the odors attributable to the well
 drilling and dredging or, rather, the spoils, were the
 odors worse then than they had been prior to the
 implementation of the project?
 - A. I don't get it.
 - Q. After the project commenced, were the odors worse than they were before the project commenced?
 - A. They may have increased a little bit, yeah.
- Q. Did he ever call you to complain about the odors?
- A. I don't really recall him -- he may have, but

 I don't recall it.
 - Q. Is it plausible?
- 16 A. Sure.
- 17 Q. Or even likely?
- A. Well, I don't know if it's likely. It's plausible. Sure, it's plausible that he could, uh-huh.
- Q. But you don't remember ever responding to him
 if indeed he did call you to the effect that, yes, you
 smelled it, too, and it was bad?
 - A. Well, I smelled it when I was working on the

	1
1	project. Yeah, you smelled it all the time when you
2	were working the project. Gas was coming out of the
3	hole.
4	Q. All right. And gas would you could smell
5	it regardless whether the plates were covering the
6	openings or not?
7	A. Sure.
8	MR. DAVIS: Okay. That's fine. Nothing
9	else.
10	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY
11	MR. NORTHRUP:
12	Q. One last question. Do you ever recall
13	telling Joe Whitley that there was a time where the
14	holes were not covered?
15	A. No.
16	MR. NORTHRUP: No further questions.
17	HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Anything else?
18	MR. DAVIS: No.
19	HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Okay.
20	(Witness excused)
21	HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Do we have any other
22	witnesses?
23	
	MR. DAVIS: No, the People have none.
24	MR. DAVIS: No, the People have none. HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Okay. Then let's go

off the record to talk about briefs. 1 (Discussion off the record.) 2 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Let's go back on the 3 4 record. 5 For the briefing schedule, the People's first brief will be due on January 17th. Mailed is filed as 6 7 far as the Board is concerned. However, and I want to be clear about this, I do expect you to get the brief 8 9 to Mr. Northrup's office by 5:00 on Friday. You can 10 stick it in the mail to the Chicago office of the Board, but that way Mr. Northrup will have it. 11 12 you guys are all in Springfield, so it shouldn't be a 13 problem. Then -- actually, the 17th is three weeks and you 14 15 agreed -- oh, no, we're starting the 3rd because of 16 when the transcript is coming in. That's right. 17 So, Mr. Northrup, your brief will be due on 18 February 7th. 19 MR. NORTHRUP: That's three weeks? 20 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: That is three weeks. 21 MR. NORTHRUP: Thank you very much. 22 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: And the People have 23 agreed to their final brief being February 21st. 24 MR. DAVIS: And Mr. Northrup will give us his

as well by 5:00 on the 7th? 1 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Yes. That applies to 2 all of them, except for your reply brief. You can 3 mail it to him or walk it over, I don't care how you 4 get it to him. 5 But I would expect that, Mr. Northrup, your brief 6 7 which is due February 7th you need to have in the hands of someone at the Attorney General's office by 8 5:00 or --MR. DAVIS: 4:45. That's when the building 10 11 shuts. HEARING OFFICER FRANK: At what time? 4:30? 12 MR. DAVIS: 4:45. I'm not always facetious. 13 This is true. 14 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: That's fine. By 4:45 15 16 on the 7th of February. Is your office open until 5? 17 18 MR. NORTHRUP: Oh, we're open 24 hours a day. HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Then the Attorney 19 General's brief which is due January 17th, as long as 20 Mr. Northrup has it by 5. And you can put them all in 21 22 the mail to the Board. Are there any other questions or anything else we 23 need to discuss? 24

MR. NORTHRUP: I have a procedural question just because I've never dealt with this before.

Do I need -- on Ken Liss's offer of proof do I -is it appropriate for me to file some kind of motion
excluding that from the transcript and being set apart
or --

HEARING OFFICER FRANK: If you want to do that, that is a personal decision. On other offers of proof that I have seen it would be up to the Attorney General's office to move the Board to accept it as part of the transcript. It just remains in the transcript and the Board knows when they see offer of proof, you know, that they're not going to -- that testimony isn't something that they can base their decision on.

MR. DAVIS: Yeah. We've done all we need to do. If you want to make some further argument, then we would respond to it when you make your motion.

HEARING OFFICER FRANK: But you probably should procedurally, at least, reiterate in your brief that you wish the offer of proof to be accepted.

Because the Board rule states that motions that are orally done at hearing must be restated in writing to the Board. And it's just the safer way to go to make

sure that the Board sees it and does something about 1 2 I would suggest that you do it in writing. 3 if you want to do it as part of your brief, that's fine. But you ought to reiterate it in writing. MR. NORTHRUP: Kind of following up then, so 5 if I were to file a motion on People's 68, is the 6 7 preferable way to do it in my briefs or to file a 8 separate motion? 9 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: I've seen it done 10 both ways. A lot of times people do it in their briefs because the briefing schedule is tighter. 11 would suggest that because we're talking about your 12 13 brief being filed in February that if you want to file something -- the Board's going to start looking at 14 information in this case prior to February. 15 16 MR. NORTHRUP: Right. 17 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: And if you want them to exclude an exhibit, then file a motion. 18 19 MR. NORTHRUP: And I --20 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Is that it for 21 procedural questions? 22 MR. DAVIS: I guess just to -- maybe it 23 wasn't clear before, but our 68 is a reproduction of 24 your Exhibit 2. So I don't know how you can move to

strike our 68 if you've, you know --

1.0

HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Okay. The exhibit is in evidence. He was asking procedurally if he chose to make a motion to the Pollution Control Board what to do. I'm not going to go over again whether or not that exhibit is -- it is in evidence now. It's up to the Board and up to Charlie.

MR. DAVIS: Fine.

MR. NORTHRUP: Thanks, Tom.

HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Is there anything else that we need to cover?

MR. NORTHRUP: One last thing. I think you talked about this before. The record is going to be here so we'll have access to it?

HEARING OFFICER FRANK: What the clerk's office has agreed is that it will stay in Springfield for some amount of time. I will give them the briefing schedule and it should at least be here through the briefing schedule time. And they will set up some kind of a system in the Springfield office for you to make sure that you don't walk out with exhibits. And they're here now, so if you need them tomorrow, they should -- you should have access to them.

1 Is there anything else? MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: Just so you know, the 2 two photographs that were missing from Ron Mehalic's 3 inspection report we will provide those to you as soon 4 5 as we get them from him. HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Was that 41? 6 MS. SYMONS-JACKSON: Yeah. It was December 7 14, 1994. 8 9 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Do you have any idea timewise? 10 MS. RYAN: I understand it takes them about a 11 day after you request them to get them back, so a few 12 13 days I would think. 14 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: If you send them -send them directly to me and I will take care of 15 16 making sure they're in the right place in the exhibit. Okay? 17 Thank you all very much. 18 19 (Which were all of the proceedings had 20 on the hearing of this cause on this 21 date.) 22 23 24

STATE OF ILLINOIS 1) \$8 COUNTY OF SANGAMON) 2 3 4 CERTIFICATE I, Dorothy J. Hart, affiliated with Capitol 5 Reporting Service, Inc., do hereby certify that I 6 7 reported in shorthand the foregoing proceedings; that the witnesses were duly sworn by me; and that the 8 foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the 9 10 shorthand notes so taken as aforesaid. 11 I further certify that I am in no way associated 12 with or related to any of the parties or attorneys 13 involved herein, nor am I financially interested in 14 the action. 15 16 17 Certified Shorthand Reporter Registered Professional Reporter 18 and Notary Public OFFICIAL SEAL DOROTHY J. HART NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF ILLINOIS MY COMMISSION EXPIRES 6-24-99 19 20 Dated this 17th day of 21 December, A.D., 1996, at Springfield, Illinois. 22 23 24

876:13

1983 [3] 654:9: 691:1:

_ , _

822:10, 11, 12

'87 [1] 687:10

'86 [5] 687:10; 805:6;

'90 [2] 774:24; 905:17 **'91** [3] 761:9; 791:18; 885:1 **'92** [2] 865:5; 908:9 **'93** [11] 660:2; 715:2; 718:23; 728:16, 20; 763:8; 775:1; 792:14, 17; 794:2; 796:7 **'94** [16] 718:24; 719:1; 763:6: 774:24: 777:15: 778:22: 792:5, 12; 793:9, 10, 20; 804:20; 810:4; 823:24; 872:14; 905.8 **'95** [30] 662:24; 663:2; 685:10; 695:21, 22; 719:1; 732:20; 740:2; 745:19; 761:10; 763:7; 779:8, 16; 782:12; 790:1; 793:18, 20; 799:4; 809:7; 829:8; 837:2; 852:11; 853:9; 905:18, 19; 919:9; 935:2; 945:20; 946:5; 948:22 **'96** [18] 761:10; 784:15; 789:10; 822:11, 13; 828:6; 851:4; 921:22; 933:18; 937:12, 13; 948:12, 23; 949:19, 20, 22; 953:16, 17 **'97** [1] 875:16

.1 [2] 825:2, 11

- 0 -

00 [18] 649:17; 676:24; 677:1, 3, 5; 678:11; 679:4; 700:2, 11, 24; 701:2; 710:19, 22; 711:7; 940:22; 959:9; 960:1 001 (31) 658:14; 659:13; 664:20; 694:16, 20; 720:9; 721:1; 754:15, 17; 757:3; 758:14, 21; 759:10; 767:21; 771:11; 772:8, 21; 801:11, 20; 811:9; 820:21; 825:21; 835:7; 879:1, 5, 6, 8, 24; 880:8, 9; 885:10 **002** [14] 758:14, 21; 801:13; 802:8, 14; 820:21; 824:13; 826:20; 878:24; 879:4; 882:11, 19, 24; 885.9 **05** [6] 670:13; 674:11, 15, 16; 698:5; 700:7

-1-

1 [9] 678:11; 679:1; 781:12; 827:13; 855:22, 23; 856:2; 902:19; 928:14 1.2 [2] 790:3: 791:11 1.3 [1] 790:5 10 [15] 651:19; 687:13; 698:1; 785:11; 788:3, 20, 23; 789:5, 15; 856:20; 858:9; 922:1, 6, 7 10,000 [1] 858:8 **100** [3] 660:7; 822:1, 21 10th [6] 670:9, 15; 911:7; 922:1, 6 **11** [11] 651:20; 676:24; 678:11; 679:4; 710:22; 711:7; 785:9, 24; 786:6; 842:14 110 [1] 714:9 **112** [2] 819.13, 15 11th [3] 675:11; 840:18; 931:7 12 [6] 651:20; 656:4; 802:19; 803:8; 804:13; 827:4 **120** [8] 676:6; 715:12; 726:7, 21, 22; 727:17, 24; 728:3 129,500 [1] 906:6 **12th** [2] 649:16; 917:21 **13** [6] 722:23; 733:8; 736:16; 752:20, 23; 789:13 14 [16] 723:2; 725:13; 798:17; 804:20; 810:4; 820:19; 835:23; 841:21; 842:5, 6, 9, 15; 878:18; 885:8; 935:1; 964:8 **149** [1] 871:19 **14th** [3] 725:12; 800:20, 23 **15** [10] 656:4; 672:21; 674:2; 687:22; 723:5; 829:21, 23; 843:3; 856:20; 859:24 150 [3] 660:5; 667:3; 746:5 **150,000** [1] 906:10 1500 [1] 773:16 **152** [1] 871:19 **153** [1] 871:19 **16** [3] 723:5; 779:1; 859:24 **160** [1] 679.17 **16th** [1] 798:19 **17** [1] 723:2 **17th** [4] 959:6, 14; 960:20; 18 [12] 669:20, 24; 697:17; 698:23; 712:13; 723:2; 853:21; 854:2; 856:3; 908:8, 9; 911:2 **180** [2] 886:14; 935:15 **18th** [5] 926:11; 933:17; 937:5, 13; 938:5 **19** [7] 697:16; 723:5; 938:8, 12, 13; 939:13; 945:3 1980s [1] 687:7 **1981** [1] 762:7

1984 [1] 924:7 **1985** [1] 896:9 1986 [15] 687:13; 758:10; 759:11; 804:19, 21, 22; 805:24; 806:8, 20; 807:12, 13; 825:15; 882:12, 13; 953:13 **1988** [3] 762:10; 786:21; 948:7 1989 [3] 657:22; 662:4; 896:10 1990 [3] 802:23; 805:15; 865:16 1991 [7] 761:8; 807:5, 9; 879:9; 882:15; 884:8, 22 1992 [7] 779:3; 840:18: 842:14; 846:4; 865:16; 897:11; 2nd [1] 901:3 908:8 1992-57 [1] 897:9 **1993** [8] 717:21; 774:23; 785:14; 841:21; 842:5, 7, 9, 16 1994 [19] 680:11; 717:21; 800:20; 807:19; 810:2; 835:23; 843:3; 878:18; 879:21; 881:17, 18; 882:14, 18; 884:5; 885:8; 905:6, 10, 14; 964:8 **1995** [32] 665:18; 690:17; 691:6, 18, 23; 693:16; 694:6; 696:3, 6, 18; 711:14, 18; 712:4; 713:13; 719:13; 731:10; 734:13; 735:7; 736:13; 777:14; 779:20; 791:2; 849:3; 850:2; 867:13; 924:17, 20; 929:7; 930:8; 931:6, 7; 935:5 1995-374 [1] 870:11 **1996** [24] 649:17; 670:11. 12, 15; 712:13; 733:9; 735:2; 759:11; 781:14; 785:6; 789:14; 798:19; 827:6, 21, 23; 853:21; 854:2; 870:12; 884:22; 926:11; 929:1; 945:4; 965:21 1996-087 [1] 703:24 1997 [3] 848:12; 937:5; 938:5 **19th** [3] 948:16, 20, 22 **1st** [2] 901:4, 5

- 2 -

2 [12] 704:5; 760:4; 789:11; 807:2: 809:12, 14; 827:9; 836:19, 20; 886:5; 901:7; 962:24 **2,000** [1] 773:16 20 [11] 672:22; 726:13; 772:18, 19; 777:16; 779:6; 853:11; 858:9; 865:19; 888:11; 943:24 200 [2] 667:3; 824:14 **200,000** [3] 906:3, 14, 17

21 [2] 777:12; 779:18 21st [1] 959:23 2200 [1] 650:15 23 [1] 665:18 24 [4] 684:9; 754:16; 798:6; 960:18 24-hour [1] 749:11 **25** [4] 754:10, 14, 24; 823:2 **250** [1] 715:11 **26** [4] 736:17; 753:2; 754:2, **26th** [3] 785:14; 950:3, 11 28 [4] 755:20, 23, 24; 779:3 28th [1] 785:6 29 [5] 655:14; 839:5; 840:11; 845:19: 909:2 **29th** [2] 799:16; 947:6

- 3 -

3 [13] 715:24; 716:21; 764:2; 768:21; 769:1; 790:3; 801:9; 808:20; 814:23; 872:21, 24; 873:9, 16 30 [22] 667:4; 670:24; 674:17; 675:16; 678:11; 679:4, 18; 681:24; 700:1, 11; 726:7; 746:5; 759:5; 767:1; 829:22; 858:10; 865:19; 888:11; 919:21; 924:9; 943:24; 960:12 30,000 [1] 853:11 30-year [1] 829:24 **300** [2] 726:19: 760:4 **30th** [8] 777:13; 779:19; 799:16, 20; 909:2, 17; 921:11; 927:22 **31** [7] 681:8; 733:16; 809:1; 829:5; 830:2, 6; 832:1 **31st** [1] 905:7 **32** [4] 733:12, 13, 14; 756:11 **33** [5] 733:13, 20; 756:9, 12, 13 **35** [7] 692:15; 733:13; 785:4; 859:1; 918:11, 14, 23 **36** [2] 723:5; 744:16 38 [1] 655:10 39 [1] 712:5 3rd [2] 921:22; 959:15

- 4 -

4 [16] 651:14; 716:2, 5, 7, 16, 18; 801:12; 892:22, 23; 893:21; 902:17; 907:9; 960:10, 12, 13, 15 **4,000** [1] 854:17 **40** [19] 655:14; 663:20; 664:16; 667:1, 4; 692:2, 16; 726:20, 22; 727:17; 728:4;

745:20; 767:1; 819:13, 15; 859:21; 860:6; 864:8 40-hour [1] 762:24 400 [7] 663:12, 14; 700:17, 19; 736:2; 764:18; 902:18 **41** [1] 964:6 **45** [3] 960:10, 13, 15 450 [1] 787:5 **48** [2] 722:6, 15 49 [1] 655:14 4th [1] 802:23

- 5 -

5 [29] 651:17; 656:14; 677:1, 3, 5; 698:13; 704:7, 8; 710:13, 14: 790:4: 795:19: 796:19: 804:15; 809:17; 810:5; 828:14; 840:4; 841:13, 20; 842:4; 843:2, 9; 903:24; 959:9; 960:1, 9, 17, 21 **5,000** [3] 892:22, 23; 893:22 **50** [10] 663:20; 664:17; 667:1; 670:24; 726:22; 727:17; 745:21; 786:13; 788:16; 922:6 **500** [2] 650:4; 720:5 **52** [1] 922:1 **53** [1] 922:7 **54** (11 922:7 **55** [8] 670:10, 12; 671:12; 672:5; 674:10, 15; 698:5; 700:6 **558,000** [1] 790:2 **56** [10] 783:8, 23; 784:12, 24; 870:15, 16; 928:23; 931:4, 13, **57** [3] 884:19; 885:6, 7 **59** [1] 870:13

- 6 -

6 [17] 651:17; 658:6; 665:7; 670:10, 12, 24; 671:12; 672:5; 674:10, 15; 694:23; 698:5; 700:6; 779:1; 817:20; 904:1, 60 [10] 663:20; 667:1; 679:17; 692:2, 16; 771:21; 772:3; 774:6, 7; 822:24 **600** [1] 649:17 **607** [1] 650:9 **620** [3] 930:14, 20; 931:3 **623** [1] 911:12 **62706** [1] 650:5 **63** [1] 902:12 **64** [1] 900:6 **653** [1] 650:19 **665** [1] 651:17 68 [13] 651:15; 860:18; 867:6; 869:21; 871:5; 873:1;

876:7: 877:13: 887:24: 889:18: 962:6, 23; 963:1 685 [1] 651:18 687 [1] 651:18 688 [1] 650:20 69 [3] 651:15; 907:21; 908:13

- 7 -

7 [26] 651:18; 670:13, 24; 674:11, 15, 16; 685:6; 687:3; 688:9, 10; 698:5; 700:7; 722:21; 777:5, 11; 779:6, 18; 785;1; 790;4; 800;18; 801;5; 804:15; 810:1; 879:7; 904:9 70 [7] 651:16; 771:21; 772:3; 927:2, 15; 928:11, 21 716 [2] 651:14 740,000 [2] 789:21; 859:18 **742** [1] 650:20 **75** [3] 788:16; 823:1; 947:1 **758** [1] 650:21 760 [3] 650:22; 870:23; 871:2 765 [1] 870:10 770s [1] 871:10 775 [4] 651:18; 871:11; 873:19 776 [1] 871:12 778 [1] 871:12 780 [1] 651:18 782 [1] 651:19 783 [1] 651:19 785 [1] 651:20 786 [1] 651:20 788 [1] 651:19 789 [1] 651:19 **795** [1] 651:17 7th [5] 827:6; 959:18;

- 8 -

960:1, 7, 16

8 [24] 649:17; 651:18; 675:16; 698:12; 700:1, 24; 710:13, 14, 19; 722:21; 775:13; 776:1; 778:13, 14, 21, 23; 779:24; 780:7, 17, 19; 904:15; 940:22 **8's** [1] 780:9 80 [1] 945:17 800 [1] 650:9 802 [1] 651:20 804 [1] 651:20 807 [3] 785:5; 933:13, 15 811 [6] 932:21, 23; 933:7, 8, 811.319 [1] 916:17 833 [1] 650:23

860 [1] 651:15 877 [1] 651:15 88 [1] 864:2 886 [1] 650:23 892 [1] 650:24 894 [1] 651:2 8th [2] 827:20; 938:2

-9-

9 [21] 651:19; 660:2; 697:16; 700:1, 11; 701:2; 718:23; 722:23; 728:16, 20; 733:9; 778:17; 779:15, 23; 782:8, 22; 783:3; 929:1; 940:22 90 [5] 773:7; 794:9, 16; 799:7; 935:15 **90-foot** [2] 705:15: 743:7 905 [1] 651:3 907 [1] 651:15 908 [2] 651:4, 15 91-ch-242 [2] 896:22; 907:23 917 [1] 651:5 **92** [1] 757:5 923 [1] 651:6 927 [1] 651:16 928 [1] 651:16 932 [1] 651:7 934 [1] 651:7 936 [1] 651:8 939 [1] 651:8 94-127 [3] 776:22; 777:17; 779:12 940 [1] 651:10 948 [1] 651:10 95 [1] 687:8 95-109 [1] 714:9 953 [1] 651:12 **955** [1] 651:12 **958** [1] 651:13 **96-107** [2] 649:7; 652:3 990 [1] 674:8 9th [4] 827:20, 23; 931:6; 937:18

- A -

ability [1] 772:14 able [27] 669:12; 770:23; 794:24; 795:5, 6, 7, 11, 13, 16; 812:8, 9; 821:5, 15, 19; 823:7; 824:9; 826:15; 829:1; 845:15; 869:2; 873:12; 881:11; 889:8. 15; 901:16; 909:10; 921:8 above [8] 664:17; 667:23; 722:22; 728:6; 752:10; 873:20; 918:5

absolutely [1] 941:1 absorb [1] 772:15

abuse [1] 665:15 accept [7] 678:7, 10; 689:15; 794:1; 920:23; 935:14; 961:10 **acceptable** [3] 788:19; 902:23; 926:7 accepted [4] 776:24; 777:17; 951:10; 961:21 accepting [3] 905:11, 15; **access** [4] 717:1; 753:9; 963:14, 23 accommodate [1] 816:18 accompanied [1] 827:7 accompany [1] 801:1 accordance [4] 785:4; 930:20; 933:14; 938:3 according [7] 716:13; 721:7; 723:7; 843:10, 18; 845:18: 858:24 accumulate [1] 718:18 accumulated [3] 663:18; 693:2; 731:9 accumulates [1] 729:9 accumulating [4] 730:15; 731:15, 19; 752:2 accuracy [1] 893:16 accurate [23] 718:6; 775:21; 782:17; 785:20; 788:20; 796:15; 836:2; 841:1; 853:24; 860:24; 869:22; 879:9; 881:24; 890:15, 16; 892:16, 17, 18; 893:18, 19, 24; 894:1; 914:5 accurately [1] 883:4 accusations [1] 878:7 achieve [2] 819:13; 869:9 acknowledge [2] 779:4; acquiesced [1] 920:18 acre [4] 765:13; 773:5; 787:5; 860:6 acres [6] 772:18, 19; 859:19, 22; 865:19, 21 across [14] 657:18; 660:20; 662:6; 664:18; 731:1; 746:23; 765:15; 766:17, 24; 767:5; 769:10; 889:16; 955:2, 17 act [2] 775:3; 928:11 acted [2] 807:6; 931:21 acting [1] 944:21 action [6] 842:23; 905:21; 926:15; 927:7; 928:13; 965:14 actions [3] 838:6; 844:21; 935:24 active [22] 663:21; 666:22; 669:18; 700:14; 702:21; 703:6; 704:11; 715:19, 20; 724:5, 14; 725:6; 810:20; 811:7, 8, 10; 817:24; 818:21, 24; 819:7; 820:11; 859:23

actively [5] 788:8, 10;

826:1: 831:10: 879:15 activities [15] 657:5; 659:2; 668:23; 670:17; 790:15; 795:12; 798:1; 808:13; 819:23; 820:10; 843:22; 844:13; 848:20; 857:15, 21 activity [4] 686:20; 779:6; 784:18; 847:7 **actual** [3] 676:3; 697:11; 698:18 actually [31] 652:6; 658:3; 681:23; 688:5; 713:4; 725:21; 726:12, 15; 727:24; 728:1; 732:12; 735:18; 751:5; 753:19; 754:13; 771:10; 784:6; 794:23; 801:4; 805:21; 841:15; 851:2; 856:1; 891:1; 900:20; 936:10; 944:17; 946:8; 952:13; 959:14 adams [1] 650:9 adc [3] 700:18; 701:4, 6 add [5] 810:13; 817:9, 11; 864:23: 889:15 added [6] 788:17; 789:20; 816:21; 817:5, 20; 825:17 **addition** [1] 938:4 additional [18] 782:3; 784:12, 13, 17; 787:7; 790:17; 816:21; 817:5, 9; 826:15; 853:13; 854:4; 863:20; 897:21; 906:23; 907:17; 910:24; 916:15 address [14] 682:14; 781:15; 838:6, 15; 887:3; 914:8, 10; 915:19; 916:21, 22; 924:19; 925:14; 926:14; 928:7 **addressed** [5] 784:19; 863:13, 15; 918:13; 933:20 addresses [1] 914:9 addressing [1] 781:21 adequacy [1] 933:6 adequate [4] 781:22; 837:19; 863:12; 868:3 adequately [1] 916:22 adjust [2] 682:4; 891:9 adjustments [1] 837:12 administration [1] 654:13 administrative [2] 785:4; 859:1 **admission** [1] 785:23 admit [5] 666:16; 716:6; 768:24; 876:7; 877:11 admitted [25] 651:14; 686:23; 687:2, 4; 688:14; 715:23; 716:17, 18; 780:14, 17, 20; 783:2, 4; 786:5, 7; 789:4, 6; 804:11, 14; 877:13; 878:3; 908:12, 13; 928:21, 23 admittedly [1] 882:11 adopted [1] 797:18 advantageous [2] 661:4;

839:15

advice [1] 939:4 aerial [15] 861:3; 872:12, 22; 873:10; 874:8; 889:20, 24; 890:1, 4, 13; 892:20, 24; 893:17, 22; 894:3 affiliated [1] 965:5 **affirmed** [1] 906:16 afford [1] 902:8 **afforded** [1] 821:17 aforesaid [1] 965:10 afraid [1] 955:5 ag [1] 909:19 ag's [1] 911:7 agency [75] 650:15; 680:19; 681:15; 683:6; 684:4, 12; 703:21; 711:14; 712:1, 10, 16; 713:3, 10, 12; 714:12; 728:16, 23; 747:21; 751:14; 775:3, 6; 776:12, 13; 780:22; 781:7, 10, 19; 782:1, 4, 15, 18; 783:19; 784:5, 7, 17; 797:6, 10; 798:8; 803:20; 807:1, 6, 18, 19, 22; 829:9; 842:19; 851:6; 853:17; 859:8; 868:4; 875:9; 886:18, 21; 902:24; 903:22; 909:10, 19; 910:21; 911:2; 919:12, 19; 924:6; 925:19; 926:7, 15; 927:1, 22; 928:10, 24; 930:10; 931:5, 7, 12, 21; agency's [1] 682:5 ago [11] 661:19; 683:11; 684:1; 689:12; 709:13; 860:11, 14; 861:6; 876:21; 890:7 agree [32] 693:5, 15; 707:6; 723:9; 728:22; 733:18; 734:1, 3, 6; 738:23; 759:17; 784:4; 842:12, 13; 845:1, 21; 846:2; 853:2; 856:1; 861:12; 866:7; 869:22; 871:2, 21; 879:10, 19, 21; 906:9, 13; 911:13; 931:23; 932:22 **agreeable** [1] 930:17 agreed [7] 747:1; 748:1; 831:13; 930:19; 959:15, 23; agreement [6] 682:17, 19; 829:13; 925:6; 944:6 agrees [1] 944:24 agricultural [2] 765:1; 769:16 ahead [32] 652:4; 653:2, 10; 659:1; 686:22; 687:24; 702:16; 714:17; 718:16; 721:22; 761:1; 768:11, 24; 769:6; 770:12; 771:4; 775:24; 780:16; 782:21; 796:18; 803:7; 818:17; 821:16; 827:17; 858:5; 872:4; 894:18; 900:6; 902:13; 951:6, 17; 952:4 air [13] 679:7; 684:12;

793:2: 798:8: 847:15: 870:7: 872:8: 956:8 albeit [1] 780:5 aliquot [4] 822:1; 881:1, 5, aliquots [4] 822:1; 880:22, 23, 24 allegation [4] 777:12; 779:1, 18; 876:16 allegations [4] 776:18; 777:1, 4; 780:11 allege [1] 892:24 alleges [1] 779:2 allow [11] 778:8; 791:6; 813:9; 819:1; 830:19; 903:1; 913:10, 12; 923:5; 925:22; 928:19 allowed [5] 718:20; 813:2; 832:6; 842:8; 909:18 allowing [2] 928:3; 932:16 allows [1] 661:7 almost [2] 686:1; 877:1 already [16] 656:13; 753:1; 772:13; 776:12, 13; 804:7; 826:6; 832:11; 852:20; 863:14; 870:12; 907:24; 910:4; 923:7; 927:24; 952:17 alternate [1] 700:18 alternative [1] 900:16 alternatives [1] 918:7 although [1] 718:10 ambrose [1] 654:14 amended [1] 907:22 amount [15] 664:21; 749:14; 751:9; 755:13; 774:8; 812:2; 824:18; 853:8; 880:21; 881:5, 9, 11, 23; 891:19; 963:17 amounts [2] 791:9; 881:19 amy [4] 650:3; 912:24; 913:1, 4 anaerobic [2] 787:13, 19 analyses [2] 884:14; 885:11 analysis [7] 685:8; 689:5; 749:11; 758:22; 759:2; 869:12; 885:12 **analytical** [8] 686:2, 3; 689:8; 760:1, 3; 806:16; 828:23; 884:7 analyze [1] 686:1 analyzed [2] 686:10; andalusia [6] 763:17; 766:9; 773:3; 927:6; 941:3 andrew [1] 921:21 **angle** [1] 673:12 animals [1] 773:17 annual [1] 897:22 annually [1] 931:16 another [29] 652:16;

656:10: 675:18: 678:6: 680:4: 691:21; 699:23, 24; 713:4; 731:22; 748:2, 15; 770:16; 781:16; 791:7; 807:5; 815:17; 832:9, 21; 870:6; 888:18, 21; 889:15; 892:4; 894:11; 912:20; 918:23; 922:17; 923:9 answer [3] 693:12; 709:16; antenna [1] 676:6 anticipate [1] 692:12 anticipated [1] 868:10 anticipating [1] 652:17 antifreeze [1] 819:21 antifreezes [1] 840:1 apart [1] 961:5 apparent [2] 801:10; 827:10 apparently [6] 801:19; 802:8; 805:5; 876:12; 920:13; 944:21 appeal [8] 713:17, 21; 776:14, 16; 783:24; 918:7; 920:11; 929:4 appealed [1] 784:2 appeals [3] 714:6, 13; appear [3] 754:7; 930:17, appearances [1] 650:1 appeared [1] 744:9 appears [5] 733:23; 734:5; 736:19; 753:5; 928:24 **applicable** [2] 916:3; 925:23 application [71] 707:4; 713:15; 721:17; 774:17; 775:10, 11; 781:8, 15, 24; 783:6, 14; 784:19; 785:15; 788:5, 9, 12, 16, 18; 789:16; 790:1, 2; 797:8; 807:3, 5, 8, 16; 808:18; 816:12; 831:9; 849:5; 854:3, 7, 19; 855:17; 859:17; 900:17, 24; 901:17; 902:6; 917:1, 2, 10; 918:8, 9; 919:16; 925:8, 10, 12, 17, 24; 926:2, 9, 17, 18, 23; 927:5; 931:5, 8; 933:2, 12, 13; 934:1, 3, 10, 12, 14, 17; 935:9, 14; 937:1 applications [3] 687:7; 761:22; 854:23 applied [11] 728:21; 749:2; 762:2; 808:19; 818:13; 831:11; 862:11; 865:4, 11, 17; 866:18 applies [1] 960:2 apply [4] 661:8; 842:1; 847:24; 863:20 appointed [1] 649:19 approach [2] 792:4; approached [2] 792:2, 5

744:23; 792:8, 11, 15, 16;

approaching [2] 937:6; appropriate [2] 922:23; approve [1] 813:13 approved [5] 831:10; 853:18; 859:18; 903:17; 937:7 approximately [26] 660:5, 7; 664:2; 666:21; 667:3; 670:23; 676:8; 677:1; 698:5; 715:11; 717:10; 719:12; 731:9; 761:9; 763:18; 764:18; 767:1; 772:19; 773:15; 786:13; 792:14: 824:14: 895:18: 902:18: 906:10: 946:3 april [10] 777:13; 779:19; 785:14; 798:19; 884:22; 946:11; 949:17, 22; 950:2, 10 aquatic [1] 774:13 area [168] 657:16, 17; 660:1, 3, 8, 11, 16, 19; 661:2, 18, 19, 21; 663:13, 21; 664:1, 2, 17, 19, 20; 666:20, 22; 667:3, 6, 9, 11; 668:2; 669:11, 18; 673:23; 674:17; 678:6; 679:12, 15, 20, 23; 680:4; 685:13, 22; 690:21; 700:14; 702:23; 703:6; 704:11; 712:23; 714:21, 23; 715:3, 8, 9, 19, 20; 717:12, 18, 21; 718:5; 719:8; 720:9; 721:11; 722:17, 19, 22; 724:5, 14; 725:7; 726:3, 5, 10, 13, 17; 727:2, 12, 13, 14, 20; 728:10, 11, 19, 22, 24; 729:1, 5, 10; 730:2, 10, 11, 12, 19, 22, 24; 731:13, 20; 732:22; 734:19; 746:4, 9; 748:17; 749:19; 751:3; 752:3; 754:7, 9; 755:8, 9; 764:10, 13, 16; 765:14, 17; 767:18, 19, 20; 768:9; 769:10; 770:3, 4; 772:15; 773:4; 787:6; 811:8, 10, 17, 18; 816:23; 818:21, 24; 819:5, 7, 12; 835:1; 849:24; 860:6, 7, 14; 861:19; 862:11, 21; 863:15, 18, 20, 23; 864:2, 20, 22; 865:4, 7, 10, 17; 871:9, 17, 19; 873:17; 874:11, 19; 880:8; 888:17, 18, 21; 890:3, 18; 897:20; 902:19; 915:1 areas [37] 655:11; 659:5; 661:16; 668:5; 731:5; 765:11; 767:3; 793:24; 794:7, 9; 810:9, 19; 818:4; 860:1, 3, 4, 9; 862:22; 863:11, 13; 864:22; 865:8, 9; 866:2, 4, 5, 9, 18, 19; 869:24; 874:8; 890:9; 892:2, 3; 893:15 argue [1] 778:9

argue [1] 778:9
argued [1] 814:14
argument [6] 698:13;
728:2; 780:14; 921:3; 936:14;

961:17 argumentative [1] 705:4 arguments [2] 922:13, 24 army [1] 762:16 around [42] 657:22; 660:10; 663:3; 675:16; 676:24; 677:5; 700:1; 717:5; 718:5, 9; 721:15; 723:4; 729:19; 730:2, 18; 737:23; 744:17; 745:10; 748:21; 752:9; 753:9, 17; 763:24; 764:7, 8, 10; 769:13; 771:8; 773:18; 816:22; 817:5; 822:23; 838:22; 844:7; 863:16; 871:9; 880:18; 891:4; 897:19; 922:9: 940:22 arrangement [1] 925:6 arrive [1] 746:12 arrived [2] 675:14, 15 **asap** [1] 744:6 aside (3) 850:16; 866:13;

876:1 **aspects** [1] 704:2 **assertion** [1] 928:9 **assessment** [29] 783:12,
15, 20; 784:5, 9; 830:22;
831:2; 915:3, 9, 17, 20, 22, 23;
916:2, 5, 14, 15, 16, 19; 929:8,
16, 23; 930:8, 11, 18; 931:9,
22; 932:1

assist [9] 689:1; 722:9; 851:24; 867:8; 921:13; 924:22; 932:22; 933:7; 934:5 assistant [1] 650:13 assistants [1] 650:3 assisted [1] 857:14 assisting [1] 932:18 associated [6] 784:17; 857:8, 16, 21; 918:10; 965:11 assume [20] 693:4; 694:14; 696:9; 700:6; 713:24; 725:17; 729:3, 8, 15, 24; 759:12; 803:19, 21; 833:13; 840:15; 841:2; 848:14; 855:13; 894:1; 922:5

assumed [3] 696:7; 737:3; 868:15

assuming [1] 956:6 **assumption** [3] 654:18; 725:19; 869:6

assumptions [1] 697:12 **assurance** [4] 790:12, 23;

791:11; 858:21 **assured** [1] 941:13 **atc** [1] 956:1

ate [1] 956:1

attached [2] 676:5; 842:3 attachment [3] 842:2;

856:3; 931:24 **attempt** [10] 694:1; 732:1; 877:18; 914:15; 920:12; 925:14; 928:2, 6, 8; 933:11 attempted [2] 930:23; 938:24

attempting [2] 722:5; 929:11

attempts [2] 790:22; 901:10

attend [2] 762:22; 829:4 attendance [1] 925:19 attended [2] 681:10;

attention [9] 691:16; 803:1; 828:18; 841:19; 871:8; 902:16; 903:24; 945:3; 956:24 **attorney** [18] 650:3, 8; 665:8, 16, 20; 666:15; 712:9; 830:11; 843:7; 911:14; 923:5; 930:5; 936:8; 951:11; 952:19; 960:8, 19; 961:9

attorneys [1] 965:12 attraction [1] 723:20 attributable [3] 729:10; 899:4; 957:3

attribute [1] 881:20 attributed [1] 757:3 august [18] 665:18; 711:14, 24; 713:13; 744:2; 747:22; 829:8; 852:11; 897:11; 924:16; 929:6; 935:2; 937:18, 20; 948:13, 22; 953:13; 957:1

author [4] 722:16, 20, 23;

723:3 **authority** [2] 882:9;

931:13 avail [1] 920:16 available [4] 887:2; 904:2, 6: 914:3

6; 914:3 availed [1] 921:14 avenue [1] 813:14 avenues [1] 813:15

avenues [1] 813:15 average [3] 828:16, 21; 829:2 averaged [2] 828:15, 17

avoid [1] 936:3 awarded [1] 867:13 aware [29] 668:13; 675:4; 689:8; 690:16; 691:8; 692:1; 706:4, 5; 708:18; 711:12; 712:3; 723:17, 23; 735:10; 766:20; 781:14; 805:24; 829:3; 836:3, 6; 839:22; 871:24; 874:4; 883:18; 886:22; 911:4; 916:24; 933:16; 955:13 away [19] 666:6, 8; 667:17; 694:1; 731:7; 732:1, 3, 9;

694:1; 731:7; 732:1, 3, 9; 741:7; 757:13; 766:1; 810:20, 22; 811:10; 812:4; 820:3; 867:5; 891:3; 892:3

awful [2] 692:7; 734:20

- B -

bachelors [1] 896:9 back [71] 652:1; 662:21, 24; 664:1; 665:17; 666:8; 667:6, 22; 670:5; 677:3, 4, 6; 687:7; 688:4, 19; 692:10, 21; 694:13, 15, 20; 696:21, 22; 701:4, 5, 6; 702:5, 14, 15; 709:13; 727:24; 728:1; 731:1, 22; 745:19; 747:22; 756:9; 778:22; 781:20; 786:17; 788:16, 18; 791:17; 792:13; 804:15; 817:4; 823:17; 826:9, 14; 833:3, 8; 835:11; 840:16; 853:17; 861:5; 869:16; 878:3; 880:12; 901:17; 907:12; 910:18; 924:16; 926:19; 929:6; 930:3; 932:15; 941:5; 943:17; 945:10; 959:3; 964:12 backdoor [2] 928:2, 6 backed [1] 662:7 backed-up [1] 736:21 backfill [2] 743:10; 820:3 backfilled [1] 705:18 background [9] 654:11; 669:5, 6; 762:6; 849:21, 23; 896:5; 898:9; 915:12 backhoe [2] 949:14, 15 bad [7] 665:3; 707:14; 710:4; 748:21; 844:20; 947:22; 957:23 **balance** [1] 854:17

bale [1] 811:20
bales [2] 811:12, 13
balls [1] 770:17
bank [1] 791:9
banks [1] 695:10
bare [1] 887:20
barrier [2] 897:16; 898:9
base [1] 961:14
based [17] 697:12; 700:7;
736:7; 795:22; 808:17; 835:1;
848:16; 873:9; 914:2, 20;
915:11; 917:11, 18; 918:22;
919:14; 944:9; 953:22
baseline [2] 890:24;

893:20 **basically** [36] 669:13;
728:5; 730:18, 21; 731:3;
751:4; 753:11; 764:5, 21;
769:8; 790:5; 793:1, 5; 802:10;
806:14; 812:21; 813:17;
819:16; 822:19; 826:1; 837:9;
854:7; 866:1; 868:2; 897:14;
898:5, 10, 22; 899:19; 902:4, 9;
904:20; 910:8; 918:16; 933:8;
955:6

basin [4] 771:3; 810:22; 835:2, 18 basis [8] 680:15; 820:8;

849:21; 875:15; 897:22; 916:14; 926:17; 927:21 bearing [1] 856:16 beat [1] 817:10

become [1] 655:6 becoming [2] 739:2, 9 began [1] 896:1 begin [5] 745:8; 775:9; 848:14, 17; 879:23 beginning [10] 667:14; 720:10, 16; 722:11; 801:6; 824:23; 833:4; 850:13; 881:2; behalf [8] 650:6, 11; 788:13; 847:1; 925:19; 944:21; 945:14, 15 behind [4] 663:10, 19; 689:7: 694:7 belief [2] 823:23; 825:2 believe [66] 657:22; 663:3; 665:13; 667:17; 672:4; 675:11; 680:11; 681:7, 13, 16; 683:12; 685:10; 686:6; 687:9, 14; 689:24; 691:4; 697:15; 711:14; 712:14, 21; 713:9; 715:12, 23; 719:6; 720:12; 721:16; 726:7; 735:9, 10; 743:8; 745:9; 748:6, 10, 13; 751:20; 752:11; 757:10; 769:3; 779:22; 781:12; 799:20; 803:23; 829:4; 830:2; 839:5; 846:24; 848:10; 870:13; 887:9; 891:11; 897:11; 905:14; 906:18; 907:24; 908:16; 909:16; 913:20; 915:2; 928:20; 933:21; 936:23; 937:19; 939:15: 949:14: 954:22 beling [12] 685:23, 24; 686:8, 10; 721:6; 758:7, 21; 759:2, 20; 883:24; 884:11; 885:11 below [1] 665:2 bench [2] 673:14, 20 bentonite [1] 902:21 **berm** [6] 657:18; 662:6; 766:24; 811:9, 11; 833:14 bermed [1] 730:18 berms [8] 767:19; 810:20, 21, 24; 811:2; 813:18; 818:23; 892:2 best [9] 688:20; 716:19; 737:4; 749:21; 775:23; 821:11; 873:24: 904:2. 6 better [8] 717:23; 737:23; 754:13; 770:18; 776:15; 815:6; 922:13; 942:1 between [18] 666:12; 678:11; 696:8; 697:6; 700:6; 703:3; 711:10; 747:14, 18; 793:20; 849:8; 854:2; 869:5; 891:10; 913:3; 935:23; 944:6; 948:22 beyond [4] 876:15; 898:8; 920:16; 935:1 biennial [1] 875:15 big [12] 660:9; 662:20; 702:10; 709:5, 6; 720:1;

728:16: 744:15: 780:8: 812:3: 825:6: 943:4 bigger [2] 726:11; 771:5 biggest [1] 663:16 billed [1] 865:24 bills [1] 852:23 biology [2] 896:12, 13 **bit** [10] 654:22; 713:8; 746:2; 747:18; 762:5; 820:9; 822:3; 849:9; 852:15; 957:10 blew [1] 723:19 block [2] 817:1, 3 blow [3] 741:6, 14, 15 blue [7] 663:24; 664:9; 667:5, 7, 16; 888:16, 24 bluff (1) 763:14 board [35] 649:1; 713:22; 714:5, 15; 769:2; 770:22; 776:14, 24; 777:16; 778:6; 779:9: 780:4: 815:6: 878:13: 913:15; 916:18; 920:21, 22; 922:14, 24; 929:4; 935:4, 18; 951:13; 952:3; 959:7, 11; 960:22; 961:10, 12, 22, 24; 962:1; 963:4 board's [1] 962:14 boarding [1] 764:6 bone [1] 842:18 **book** [5] 950:1, 6, 12, 13, 14 border [1] 766:6 bore [1] 857:22 borings [9] 864:4, 5; 865:2; 867:8, 11; 868:1, 8, 11, 18 borne [3] 857:10, 13; 858:21 borrow [3] 667:9; 815:3; 817:16 **both** [18] 656:23; 696:23; 716:3; 727:4; 768:21, 22, 24; 769:1; 801:15; 815:20; 845:20; 889:21; 895:9; 912:24; 942:6; 943:14; 952:6; 962:10 bottles [3] 822:4, 9; 824:9 bottom [26] 658:23; 705:14, 22; 718:10, 18; 723:2, 8, 14, 15, 18; 725:5; 727:3, 4, 16, 21; 729:4, 10, 16; 730:16; 736:9; 746:3; 753:13; 771:22; 772:1; 847:12; 873:4 bought [1] 750:19 **boundaries** [1] 876:16 boundary [7] 660:6, 8; 696:8; 697:6; 764:19; 773:13; 943:23 bows [1] 677:2 **box** [14] 671:14, 17, 20; 676:1; 700:13; 701:17; 702:3, 5, 6, 15, 19; 703:8, 10, 11

867:7; 868:6; 877:10, 23 brao's [2] 868:20; 877:7 break [4] 721:23; 787:20; 833:1; 907:9 breakdown [1] 949:13 breaking [1] 787:16 brief [13] 687:19, 892:10, 896:5; 959:6, 17, 23; 960:3, 6, 20; 961:20; 962:3, 13 briefing [5] 952:6; 959:5; 962:11; 963:18, 19 briefly [3] 710:16; 915:1; briefs [3] 959:1; 962:7, 11 bring (11) 700:24; 709:6; 717:18; 814:18; 864:21; 877:1, 17; 893:2; 920:21; 933:7; 950:16 bringing [3] 717:17; 791:13; 932:22 **brings** [1] 671:16 **broke** [2] 676:1, 17 brought [15] 662:3; 663:23; 664:1, 9, 16; 691:16; 692:10; 709:5; 725:15; 747:6; 749:18; 757:9; 828:18; 830:13 brown [1] 888:17 bucket [5] 676:7; 701:18, 20, 21; 736:2 bucket's [1] 676:5 bucks [1] 760:4 **buffalo** [1] 791:4 build [3] 676:15; 812:20; 816:24 building [6] 679:16, 18; 715:12; 717:5; 820:5; 960:10 buildup [1] 691:14 built [6] 664:13; 732:3, 17; 733:3, 10; 946:5 bulldozer [1] 700:20 burden [3] 858:20, 21; bureau [3] 650:2, 4; 924:3 **burial** [1] 889:5 **bush** [2] 865:18; 866:13 **business** [8] 654:13; 682:15; 686:20; 762:10; 896:12; 927:10, 12; 928:12 busy [2] 703:1, 4 **butts** [1] 727:17

- C -

cable [2] 675:24; 676:10 cadagin [2] 841:23; 842:4 calculate [1] 720:16 calculations [3] 835:1; 854:12; 859:11 call [44] 652:4; 653:2, 4; 657:17; 662:7; 663:20; 664:3; 683:17; 685:14; 687:23;

696:23; 701:19; 709:9, 12; 712:16; 714:21; 732:8; 737:21; 739:21; 740:6, 13, 16; 754:4; 760:17; 806:5; 869:16; 907:18, 20; 909:20; 912:7, 12, 23; 915:16; 917:1; 921:7; 923:9; 939:3, 20; 940:18; 941:5; 951:3; 957:11, 22 called [36] 653:6; 656:2; 673:14, 20; 674:7; 675:24; 683:14; 702:4; 715:6; 732:6, 7; 737:11; 738:8; 739:20; 750:11; 760:21; 762:18; 772:8; 792:8, 15; 807:24; 836:14; 869:14; 894:14; 897:9; 904:4; 908:21; 921:11; 923:20; 928:8; 940:2. 22; 949:14; 953:4 calling [5] 805:11; 909:7; 912:24; 922:20, 21 calls [6] 678:18; 709:17, 23; 738:24; 739:6, 15 came [20] 677:3; 692:11; 700:23; 718:9; 720:2, 3; 730:19; 776:23; 807:17; 813:6; 815:20; 816:1, 12; 877:5, 6, 10; 909:18; 922:6; 934:10; 955:2 camera [1] 890:20 cannot [3] 672:23; 816:24; 937:18 **cap** [1] 810:8 capability [1] 772:14 capitol [1] 965:5 captioned [1] 896:22 carbon [2] 787:15, 20 carbondale [1] 924:13 cards [1] 711:2 Care [16] 653:20; 667:16; 668:1; 728:18; 739:24; 775:17; 782:10; 784:18; 785:3; 790:5; 829:13, 21; 859:5, 15; 960:4; 964:15 career [1] 832:15 carry [1] 671:20 Case [18] 683:20; 758:9; 779:12; 799:17; 829:6; 831:20; 850:14; 868:12, 23; 876:18; 877:16; 891:1; 897:4; 907:22; 915:2; 928:20; 954:11; 962:15 **cash** [1] 791:9 casing [5] 743:5; 954:3, 6, **casings** [2] 941:23; 954:3 catch-22 [1] 866:12 catlin [1] 921:21 caught [3] 811:9; 941:10; Cause [4] 842:8, 15; 951:14; 964:20

caused [1] 866:11

caution [1] 936:2

ceased [2] 905:11, 15

center [6] 754:1, 2; 811:6;

CAPITOL REPORTING SERVICE, INC.

boxes [1] 705:10

brand [1] 664:10

brao [6] 864:5; 865:3;

820:6; 871:15 central [2] 685:14; 847:17 cercla [1] 655:15 certain [18] 793:7; 794:18; 815:1; 827:21; 828:15; 830:5, 14; 845:15; 849:2, 12; 850:23; 869:8, 9; 884:10; 921:8; 928:7; 942:16 **certainly** [6] 708:7, 10; 827:23; 831:20; 911:10; 921:3 certificate [1] 965:4 certification [11] 655:3, 21: 682:22: 683:3: 688:22: 689:1; 794:13, 17; 860:22; 903:8, 16 certified [7] 654:24; 655:6; 656:11; 794:12; 795:9; 895:4; 965:17 certify [4] 795:14, 17; 965:6, 11 cetera [2] 902:19 cfr [7] 655:14; 819:13, 15; 825:13, 16 ch2m-hill [28] 680:24; 681:12, 15; 712:21; 716:6, 10; 781:3; 799:2; 809:6; 813:6; 836:21, 24; 837:6; 849:8, 11; 850:7, 13, 19; 851:17; 852:2, 16, 21, 24; 853:5, 12; 854:14; 855:6; 933:17 ch2m-hill's [1] 681:14 **chain** [2] 754:3; 827:21 chair [1] 947:17 chance [3] 705:24; 734:20; 812:4 change [9] 771:20; 823:14; 837:12; 901:18; 936:22; 937:3; 947:24; 948:5, 6 changed [10] 682:24; 822:6; 828:8; 854:10; 895:22, 24; 926:7; 937:11, 22; 938:20 changes [8] 679:7, 8; 799:6; 837:12; 870:1; 890:22; 948:8, 10 changing [1] 820:4 channel [3] 815:13; 816:3 **channels** [1] 816:9 characterized [1] 914:18 charge [4] 759:24; 760:1, 3; 884:17 charged [1] 857:16 charles [1] 650:8 **charlie** [11] 714:8; 777:4; 803:15; 836:18; 855:20; 878:11; 912:14, 24; 913:11; 922:8; 963:7 cheapest [1] 890:2 **check** [7] 741:12; 742:7; 748:10; 840:16; 860:22; 913:8; 941:4 checking [1] 913:9 chemicals [1] 839:24

chemistry [1] 689:7 **chenoweth** [15] 725:16; 740:23; 756:2, 19; 822:20; 823:3; 826:4; 837:22; 838:3, 12; 844:3, 6, 18; 845:4, 13 chenoweth's [2] 844:15; 845:7 chicago [1] 959:10 chief [1] 650:2 **chips** [8] 814:12, 13, 14, 18; 817:23; 818:8, 11, 14 **chisholm** [1] 652:10 **chmm** (4) 654:23; 655:1; 656:11: 688:22 chose [1] 963:3 chuck [1] 685:15 churchill [1] 650:15 circle [10] 769:8, 15; 770:2, 12, 20, 23; 771:1, 4, 5; 863:24 circles [3] 861:15; 864:4; 871:18 circuit [4] 795:22, 24; 840:13; 841:23 cited [4] 802:15; 814:13; 818:5. 12 citizen [7] 709:9; 737:11; 738:2; 741:24; 745:17; 752:12, citizens [2] 709:18, 23 **civil** [1] 762:8 claim [2] 741:11; 920:18 claimant [2] 649:6; 650:6 clarify (2) 822:6; 885:15 class [5] 914:5, 16, 23; 919:2. 6 classes [1] 656:5 classification [4] 914:8, 12, 19, 22 classified [2] 914:4; 919:5 classify [1] 849:23 clay [6] 664:9; 667:6, 16; 888:16, 17, 24 **clays** [2] 663:24; 667:7 clean [9] 714:20; 717:17; 719:9; 946:21; 948:17, 21; 949:5, 22; 950:5 cleaned [14] 662:21, 22; 663:13, 17; 729:21; 735:9, 10, 12, 15, 17; 820:8; 945:6; 946:4, 5 cleaning [2] 735:22; 948:16 **clear** [11] 667:1; 717:8; 779:9; 780:11; 804:6; 912:4; 913:12; 951:9; 959:8; 962:23 cleared [2] 677:4; 806:2 clearly [4] 665:14; 755:3; 854:22; 913:4 clerk's [2] 901:6; 963:15 **close** [8] 671:17; 679:19; 692:11; 746:7; 747:1; 889:4; 893:13; 912:8

closed [4] 685:13; 794:10; 813:7; 901:6 closely [1] 821:14 closure [25] 762:1; 775:16; 777:2, 7; 782:10; 784:18; 785:3, 15, 16; 790:5, 17; 829:13, 16; 830:21; 855:2, 9, 16; 856:4, 8, 9; 859:5, 15; 887:14; 901:9; 905:7 **clustered** [1] 746:22 co2 [1] 786:14 cochran [1] 650:7 code [6] 655:13; 785:4; 806:10, 17; 825:13; 859:1 collect [14] 813:8; 821:3, 16, 19, 24; 822:2, 5, 16; 823:8, 10; 824:19; 826:13, 14; 850:21 collected [6] 824:16; 827:14, 19, 24; 851:1, 8 collecting [5] 801:24; 821:22; 823:4; 824:18; 883:17 collection [3] 704:9; 848:21; 852:14 collectively [1] 930:6 college [3] 654:12; 762:6; combination [1] 663:24 combine [1] 892:6 come [29] 667:8; 673:5; 684:19; 693:7; 702:14; 716:8; 721:9; 730:1, 23; 731:5; 753:17; 783:17; 791:7, 22; 803:1; 808:9, 12; 810:14; 815:17; 825:11; 865:18; 866:14; 869:5; 923:15; 924:23; 925:6; 943:16; 946:15; 956:22 comes [19] 659:3, 17; 676:4, 9; 701:14, 15, 18; 717:4; 728:5; 729:6; 730:24; 731:1; 768:2, 6; 769:12; 770:6, 10; 834:1; 946:8 coming [14] 661:16; 675:17; 707:14; 708:3, 13; 710:4; 767:3, 4; 817:1; 897:3; 946:7; 958:2; 959:16 commenced [2] 957:8, 9 **comment** [2] 677:11; 843:7 **comments** [1] 788:17 commercial [1] 905:11 commitment [1] 928:10 commodity [1] 814:16 common [4] 678:13; 706:7, 8; 889:2 commonly [2] 711:5 communicated [1] communicating [1] 869:15 communication [2] 938:22; 956:13

713:3 compacted [1] 869:8 companies [6] 787:2; 791:24; 792:10; 870:5; 906:2, company [23] 653:23; 672:17; 677:7; 689:13, 19; 707:3; 708:21; 791:4; 792:1, 7, 15; 822:23; 852:6; 857:16; 870:6; 889:19, 23; 893:23; 924:16; 926:11, 21; 929:8 **comparison** [2] 747:16; competency [2] 655:3; 656:3 complain [1] 957:11 complained [2] 757:16, complaining [1] 709:18 complaint [28] 709:10; 712:3, 6; 737:11; 738:4, 8; 739:21, 22, 23; 741:15, 23, 24; 742:2; 752:12, 16; 757:1, 18, 22; 776:19; 777:5; 779:5, 11; 780:4, 11; 876:17; 878:9; 907:22; 935:3 complaints [11] 709:24; 737:6, 14, 17; 738:2, 17; 739:22; 745:17; 756:21; 757:1; 761:20 complete [14] 656:1; 704:19; 745:12; 781:24; 794:18; 799:8; 848:19; 853:17; 891:3; 918:4, 19; 919:17, 18; 951:15 completed [7] 654:23; 743:18; 794:15; 798:2; 848:23; 850:9; 868:11 completely [6] 663:13, 17; 721:15; 796:10; 887:21; 946:14 completeness [8] 775:5, 8; 918:15, 16; 919:19, 21, 24; 928:18 completion [1] 848:16 compliance [7] 705:8; 741:10; 819:13; 895:14; 897:3; 905:22; 924:23 complied [6] 669:3; 703:18; 830:15; 831:7, 16; 904:11 comply [15] 705:2; 809:21; 814:23; 820:23; 823:12; 839:3; 893:7; 902:20; 903:9, 10; 904:3, 17; 920:12; 925:15; 932:21 complying [3] 654:3; 799:8; 924:23 comport [2] 935:6; 936:19 composite [13] 759:18, 21, 22; 760:1; 821:5, 22; 826:16; 827:13, 15, 18, 24;

communications [1]

828:2; 881:1 composited [2] 721:6; **composites** [1] 821:19 compositing [4] 821:4. 22: 823:5: 824:22 compound [1] 847:22 compounds [2] 786:15; 897:23 comprehensive [1] 808:21 computer [4] 762:18; 890:22; 891:8, 9 concern [3] 663:5; 681:22; 942:24 concerned [8] 664:4: 686:14; 780:8; 856:10; 916:12; 955:1, 5; 959:7 **concerning** [1] 924:15 concerns [2] 748:22; 940:13 conclude [1] 652:19 **conclusion** [1] 910:20 **concrete** [1] 904:20 **condition** [10] 672:7; 704:7, 8; 785:1; 787:19; 790:4; 798:22; 825:18; 827:22; 829:17 conditions [5] 812:9; 837:13; 869:3; 876:12; 894:2 conduct [5] 720:24; 844:19; 884:12; 892:15; 944:11 conducted [2] 878:19; conduits [2] 812:14; 816:19 conference [2] 911:18, 20 conferences [1] 838:22 confident [2] 806.3; 868:2 conform [3] 901:10, 13, 16 conforming [1] 901:12 confuse [1] 780:7 confused [1] 718:16 confusing [1] 777:21 confusion [2] 777:4; 951:14 conjunction [1] 841:7 connection [2] 853:13; conscious [1] 819:22 consequently [1] 675:23 consider [14] 661:9; 679:6; 683:6; 685:13; 696:20; 723:10; 757:17, 21; 772:1; 914:23; 919:1; 927:10; 934:15; 938:10

considered [1] 825:10

constitutes [2] 777:19;

806:18

constituents [2] 931:1, 2

construct [9] 792:20; 793:13; 812:13, 18; 813:18; 818:23; 889:1; 897:14; 903:2 constructed [14] 714:24; 746:9; 766:24; 767:19; 810:19, 21, 23; 811:2, 4, 13, 18; 847:14: 892:2: 943:15 constructing [5] 702:18; 766:16; 831:10; 833:14; 888:20 construction [13] 653:22, 24; 654:2; 657:5; 668:23; 669:5; 701:9; 703:15; 704:9; 707:5; 792:23; 816:18; 904:18 consultants [5] 685:23, 24: 883:24: 884:5: 885:11 consulting [4] 781:2: 784:10; 799:1; 831:6 cont'd [1] 651:1 contact [8] 721:9; 792:11; 808:3; 815:14; 910:11; 938:24; 947:1, 2 contacted [6] 720:2; 792:6, 11; 808:3; 867:12; contacts [1] 653:20 contain [7] 684:3; 783:11. 14; 789:16; 887:13; 916:10, 11 contained [1] 891:12 contaminated [1] 897:16 contaminating [1] 897:20 contamination in 899:4 contempt [5] 900:8; 902:14; 905:15; 906:14, 16 content [1] 869:9 contention [1] 842:19 contingency [1] 856:4 continually [2] 878:8; 882:13 continuation [1] 652:2 continue [4] 652:14; 722:2; 833:4; 879:23 continued [1] 802:16 continuing [3] 655:21; 762:23; 763:9 continuous [1] 827:23 continuously [1] 751:6 contoured [1] 861:13 contouring [1] 810:7 contours [15] 860:24: 861:13; 870:2; 871:20; 873:1, 16; 875:13; 892:15, 17; 893:2, 12; 901:10, 13, 14, 18 contract [13] 686:1; 793:1, 5, 12, 17; 794:23; 848:3; 852:5; 857:4; 858:12; 859:3; 867:14; 868:15 contractor [1] 793:13

858-11 control [33] 649:1; 784:8; 795:21; 796:3, 16; 798:19; 810:24; 813:20; 814:3, 9, 12; 819:11; 821:14; 831:8; 840:4, 22; 841:5, 14; 842:10, 17; 843:6, 8, 11, 24; 845:20; 846:4; 867:1; 904:2, 16; 916:18; 929:4; 935:18; 963:4 controlling [1] 846:9 conversation [11] 710:2; 806:7; 839:10; 913:3; 940:14, 16; 941:14; 948:11; 949:24; 953:11, 20 conversations [4] 712:22: 713:10: 849:8: 852:13 conveyance [1] 816:20 conveyed [1] 680:17 cooperative [2] 703:3; 808:11 coordinate [3] 697:9, 10; 727.9 **copies** [1] 768:21 **CODY** [19] 658:11; 775:21; 782:17; 785:14, 20; 788:20; 796:15; 797:11; 798:13, 16; 799:3, 24; 803:11, 16; 809:6, 15; 837:1; 840:10; 907:21 **corn** [3] 764:20, 21; 773:4 corner [29] 660:4, 5, 7; 661:14; 666:20, 21; 668:2; 671:2; 690:1, 11; 693:18; 715:10; 717:3; 732:16; 746:19, 20; 755:5; 764:15; 766:12; 771:10; 833:17; 834:12; 862:1; 866:23; 873:5; 888:8; 897:15; 898:7 corners [1] 673:10 cornfield [2] 765:21; **corporation** [8] 794:24; 795:14; 846:22; 860:21; 861:4; 867:12; 868:7; 890:8 corps [1] 762:16 correct [99] 658:18; 659:21; 661:12; 670:11; 681:9; 683:8; 690:2, 6; 691:3, 6, 15; 696:4, 5; 697:23; 698:2; 702:1; 703:16, 19; 704:12; 711:15; 712:14; 714:22; 717:22; 718:9; 719:3; 732:14; 733:10, 17; 736:22; 758:13; 780:1, 13, 23, 24; 781:6; 809:11; 815:22; 824:3; 829:7; 833:21; 836:3; 837:4; 838:1; 840:9; 841:24; 842:10; 844:17; 845:15; 846:15, 20, 23; 848:24; 849:19; 850:4; 855:14, 15; 856:17; 857:6, 11; 858:16, 17, 22; 859:8; 864:16; 867:8, 9; 869:20; 871:1, 4; 873:21, 22; 874:3; 878:22; 879:16; 880:13,

14, 22; 881:18, 24; 882:14, 20; 885:11, 14; 899:10; 903:21; 905:8, 12; 906:4, 8, 12; 909:3, 4, 8; 913:24; 915:18; 917:3; 918:18; 965:9 corrected [2] 775:7: correction [2] 845:9, 11 **corrective** [4] 842:23; 844:21; 905:21 correctly [3] 806:1; 849:24; 868:21 correlation [1] 869:5 cost [42] 682:11; 749:11; 759:1, 20; 762:1; 775:17; 776:19, 20; 777:13, 14, 18, 19; 778:16, 18; 779:8, 19; 782:3, 11; 784:12, 17; 785:2, 5, 15; 789:17, 19, 20, 21; 790:4; 792:21, 23; 855:11, 14, 16; 857:20, 23; 859:4, 7, 11, 14; 887:10, 13; 892:21 **costs** [11] 784:20; 790:18; 856:5, 8, 9; 857:8, 10, 13, 15; 859:5; 955:9 **counsel** [7] 650:13, 14; 675:1; 756:7; 939:4, 9, 10 country [1] 705:21 counts [1] 865:21 county [13] 652:11; 763:16; 840:13; 895:9; 896:23; 900:9, 17; 901:5; 902:15; 906:3; 907:22; 927:6; 965:2 couple [26] 667:16; 681:18; 683:24; 695:24; 712:7; 735:12; 737:5; 749:22; 751:24; 756:3; 762:19; 795:12; 810:15; 813:6; 824:7; 845:5, 6; 847:17; 853:23; 870:5; 885:24; 892:10; 910:3; 917:4; 932:12; 953:8 course [4] 686:19; 702:17; 856:20; 927:11 courses [3] 762:12, 16, 19 court [11] 795:22; 796:1; 823:14; 840:7, 14, 23, 24; 897:3; 900:9; 907:24; 908:7 courts [1] 902:15 cover [80] 682:22; 700:18; 705:23; 709:1; 724:13; 726:14; 730:7, 9; 765:11, 12; 793:23, 24; 794:2, 6, 11, 12; 795:14, 16, 17; 797:23, 24; 810:7, 8, 12; 814:5; 860:21; 862:10, 17, 20; 863:3, 6, 7, 10, 12, 18, 21; 864:6, 11, 16, 23, 24; 865:3, 6, 8, 10, 11, 13, 17; 866:3, 6, 9, 11, 16; 867:17; 868:3; 874:7, 10, 11, 12, 22; 887:17; 888:20, 23, 24; 890:10; 893:1, 11, 12; 901:11, 24; 902:1, 2, 5, 10; 926:6; 943:4; 963:11 covered [14] 690:4:

contractual [2] 790:14;

704:10; 708:17; 721:12, 15; 724:4, 7; 779:11; 820:6; 866:1; 941:12; 955:12; 956:14; 958:14 covering [2] 744:5; 958:5 CQUA [1] 867:14 Crazy [1] 709:2 create [4] 656:1; 673:13, 19; 766:19 created [13] 657:7, 18, 21; 658:21; 659:4; 661:15; 763:23; 766:13, 15, 16; 771:17; 833:14; credentials [1] 924:8 credible [1] 952:1 credit [1] 656:2 **credits** [2] 656:5, 9 creek (1) 766:6 crop [1] 764:20 cropland [1] 765:21 crops [1] 865:23 cross [2] 932:11; 948:2 cross-examination [19] 650:20, 23; 651:3, 5, 7, 10, 12; 687:18; 688:17; 722:3; 796:23; 833:5, 6; 905:3; 917:16; 920:16; 932:13; 948:3; 955:20 cross-examine [2] 921:15; 928:4 cube [1] 727:8 cubic [1] 889:12 cullen [1] 650:7 curious [1] 892:14 current [6] 825:22; 837:13; 876:12; 888:1, 3; currently [16] 653:13; 689:14; 715:20; 717:15; 752:3; 791:10; 837:6; 853:12; 854:14; 859:20, 22; 865:13; 872:1; 874:13; 899:6, 21 cursory [1] 782:19 custody [1] 827:21 custom-made [1] 672:16 customer [1] 653:20 cut [7] 657:19; 661:15; 662:5; 673:19; 864:20, 22; 904:22

- D -

daily [11] 669:17; 671:23; 679:7; 700:18; 706:9; 725:2; 765:11; 797:23; 838:14; 845:7; 888:23 dam [1] 766:17 dame [1] 896:10 dammed-up [1] 773:19 danger [2] 942:17; 956:7 dangerous [2] 711:12; 955:18

dark 121 700:9: 701:7 darkness [1] 744:10 data [6] 689:10; 828:23; 891:9; 895:12; 914:17; 915:11 date [38] 670:7, 18; 672:1; 681:5; 716:14; 776:2; 779:4; 793:7; 802:23; 840:11, 15; 841:3, 14, 15; 842:5, 6; 844:5; 845:24; 853:6; 868:14; 877:3; 908:4; 912:16, 18; 917:7; 921:19; 926:12; 937:8, 15, 18; 938:12; 942:11; 945:8, 9; 948:18; 949:22; 950:2; 964:21 dated [5] 779:16; 921:22; 927:18; 931:6; 965:20 dates [5] 662:22; 665:17; 912:24; 913:9; 950:3 davenport [3] 654:16, 20; 676:22 davis [70] 650:2; 651:3, 6, 7, 8, 10, 12; 652:22; 681:6; 712:6; 768:13, 16; 778:10, 17, 24; 780:5; 809:1; 829:18, 22; 832:13, 17; 878:6; 905:2, 4; 906:20; 908:6, 9; 911:17, 23; 912:10, 13; 913:5, 16; 920:7; 921:1, 4, 18, 23; 922:2, 4, 8, 15, 19; 923:2, 7, 11, 14, 23; 927:14; 928:6; 932:10; 934:23; 936:13; 939:8, 16, 20; 940:5; 942:14; 948:1; 950:20; 955:21; 958:8, 18, 23; 959:24; 960:10, 13; 961:16; 962:22; 963:8 day [40] 649:16; 652:16; 670:24; 671:1; 675:9; 677:6; 678:5; 679:8; 681:7; 683:10; 702:17; 703:2; 704:15, 20; 705:11, 12; 706:13; 708:15; 712:10; 722:17; 725:17; 735:8; 744:8; 745:3; 749:16; 750:1; 787:24; 788:1; 845:3; 885:4; 939:2; 947:18; 951:23; 954:15, 20; 955:12; 960:18; 964:12; 965:20 day's [1] 700:14 day-to-day [4] 669:3;

day 5 [1] 700:14 day-to-day [4] 669:3; 837:23; 895:5; 896:3 days [19] 667:16; 740:10; 750:6; 759:5; 823:21; 845:5; 847:1; 876:24; 886:14; 911:8; 918:11, 14, 23; 919:21; 935:15; 947:21; 964:13

deadline [7] 793:6, 8; 850:7, 11; 868:7; 937:6; 938:6 deadlines [2] 935:13, 21 deal [1] 895:9 dealing [1] 903:14 deals [1] 856:3 dealt [3] 784:15; 897:3; 961:2

debatable [1] 872:3 deborah [1] 649:19

decay [1] 787:17 decaying [1] 787:18 decays [1] 787:14 december [24] 649:17; 719:15; 731:10; 792:5, 12, 14, 17; 793:9, 10, 20; 799:3; 809:7; 837:2; 901:3, 4, 5; 911:7; 921:22; 922:1, 6; 945:23; 964:7; 965:21 **decide** [3] 728:11: 867:10: 920:24 decided [8] 680:24; 683:20; 726:12; 728:9; 740:4; 748:12, 14; 912:7 decides [1] 911:14 decision [6] 677:20: 867:24; 928:17, 18; 961:8, 15 decomposing [1] 786:12 decomposition [1] 787:11 deemed [2] 775:5; 918:19 **deep** [10] 667:2; 685:20, 21; 736:4, 6; 765:5, 24; 774:12; 942:21 deeper [2] 718:6, 11 defend [1] 877:19 defendants [1] 901:9 deficiencies [1] 781:6 defined [4] 715:16; 812:13; 816:18; 881:6 defines [1] 660:1 **definitely** [7] 684:22; 685:4; 708:10; 713:16; 747:17; 755:24; 774:9 definitions [1] 806:17 degree [6] 654:12; 762:8; 896:9, 11; 924:11 degree's [1] 896:7 delays [1] 852:22 delineate [1] 854:24 delivered [1] 908:7 demands [1] 936:9 demonstration [1] denial [35] 680:15, 16, 17, 20, 22; 681:1; 682:4, 14, 21; 774:24; 775:10; 781:1, 3, 4, 7; 784:1; 851:7; 917:20, 23; 918:2; 919:14; 924:20, 23, 24; 925:11, 14, 15; 926:14; 928:5; 932:17, 19; 933:4, 20; 934:6 denied [14] 680:14; 711:17; 776:7, 13; 779:8; 780:22; 803:3; 807:21; 820:18; 849:3; 853:18; 917:11; 919:9; 921:9 density [3] 869:6, 7, 8 deny [4] 807:20; 808:7; 917:18; 918:22

department [2] 652:11;

depending [2] 684:20;

depend [1] 703:1

935:16 depends [11] 696:13, 14; 698:12; 708:14; 736:9; 759:6; 772:12; 773:24; 811:24; 865:14; 893:19 depicted [1] 756:10 **depose** [9] 909:19; 910:4; 911:10, 13; 912:7; 913:13; 921:7: 928:1 deposed [1] 954:17 deposit [2] 669:17; 702:23 deposited [4] 701:16; 703:5; 718:5; 729:18 deposition [8] 836:5; 877:7; 910:12; 920:10; 942:10, 11, 15; 954:11 depression [11] 660:9: 661:14, 23; 714:19; 718:6, 18, 21; 729:17; 731:17, 18; 824:17 depth [5] 718:10, 11; 743:2; 860:22; 921:8 descend [1] 722:24 descended [1] 723:13 describe [16] 654:10; 660:3; 661:21; 707:17; 708:2; 734:3; 747:19; 763:12; 764:9, 14; 767:23; 772:24; 784:14; 788:10; 791:1; 865:12 described [1] 689:24 describes [1] 724:1 description [2] 723:7; 754:14 design [3] 761:19; 813:5; 854:13 designated [4] 659:13; 685:20, 21; 738:1 designation [1] 685:16 designations [2] 864:7, 9 designees [2] 756:3, 19 designs [1] 813:6 desk [1] 685:4 destroying [1] 904:23 detail [2] 819:16; 865:12 details [1] 849:16 detected [2] 916:13; 942:7 determination [1] 928:3 **determine** [2] 792:20; determined [2] 794:16; 843:3 **deterrent** [1] 956:15 detroit [1] 787:6 develop [5] 728:9; 771:15; 796:3; 797:20; 819:11 developed [5] 795:22; 843:9, 11, 23; 844:1 developing [1] 808:21 deviate [1] 925:22 device [1] 816:10 devices [4] 815:13, 15, 19; diameter [3] 676:8;

793:4

727:14: 744:16 die [1] 744:21 diesel [1] 747:16 **difference** [3] 711:9: 747:14; 818:6 differences [1] 873:6 different [29] 655:10; 747:13, 18, 19; 766:1; 768:22; 778:19, 20; 791:23, 24; 792:10; 794:22; 808:18; 809:3; 810:24; 818:1; 832:7; 844:11; 847:18; 868:22; 869:4; 870:5; 872:19; 873:13; 888:15, 17; 914:14; 923:14 differentiate [1] 834:21 difficult [5] 812:17; 823:12; 824:12; 874:21; 875:2 difficulty [1] 883:18 dig [5] 658:23; 663:17; 724:15; 880:18; 946:15 digging [1] 663:24 dike [10] 663:19; 664:3; 696:22; 746:7; 943:15, 23; 946:4, 6, 16 dimensions [1] 728:4 dioxide [2] 787:15, 20 direct [22] 650:19, 22; 651:2, 4, 6, 10, 12; 653:8; 760:23; 812:14; 813:20; 841:19; 863:6; 871:7; 887:9; 894:16; 902:16; 903:24; 908:23; 923:22; 940:4; 953:6 directing [1] 945:3 direction [2] 757:15; 766:2 directions [2] 766:1; 768:8 directly [14] 685:12; 691:7; 729:6; 734:10; 766:12; 767:8; 771:1, 2; 772:19; 834:6, 8; 835:15; 884:16; 964:15 dirt [7] 701:14; 721:14; 730:12, 13; 732:8; 874:7; disagree [6] 843:13; 845:6, 16; 846:6; 853:4; 920:10 discharge [47] 658:12; 659:13; 661:7; 664:20; 694:16; 695:5, 8, 10; 720:9; 750:17; 754:17; 758:23; 801:14, 20; 802:8, 22; 804:23; 812:15; 813:10; 821:1; 823:10, 11; 824:2, 6; 825:1, 3, 14; 827:1; 879:11; 880:5, 9, 10, 15; 881:12, 14, 16, 18, 21, 23, 24; 882:13, 19; 891:12, 14, 18; 892:1, 6 discharged [4] 658:2; 721:1; 751:7; 801:23 discharges [9] 821:13, 14; 823:8; 826:24; 827:22; 879:14;

882:24: 883:5: 891:23 discharging [3] 733:23; 734:8; 757:3 discontinued [1] 816:20 discovery [2] 665:15; 836:8 discretion [1] 661:3 discuss [15] 652:18; 681:1; 781:7; 812:19; 816:1; 838:20; 839:17; 851:6; 925:9, 12; 927:23; 928:16; 932:23; 946:18: 960:24 discussed [18] 680:22; 681:20, 21; 682:6; 712:20; 775:6; 805:1; 820:13; 830:5, 13; 832:10; 836:5; 838:18, 22; 925:10; 929:10; 952:2; 955:22 discusses [1] 904:1 **discussing** [3] 682:1; 709:21; 809:9 discussion [16] 682:9; 728:16; 768:19; 797:3; 805:2, 3, 13; 823:16; 828:13; 830:7; 831:17; 925:3; 927:22; 932:18; 952:22; 959:2 discussions [11] 812:22; 813:11; 825:24; 828:1; 831:20; 839:1, 19; 929:7; 930:5, 16; 935:2 disk [1] 952:18 dismantle [2] 857:9; 859:3 disposal [25] 660:1, 11, 16; 700:12; 703:8; 714:21, 23; 715:8; 717:12, 21; 719:8; 722:19; 726:3, 5; 727:1, 20; 729:5, 10; 730:10; 748:17; 749:19; 752:3; 787:4; 899:15 disposed [4] 704:11; 724:4; 725:6; 730:2 disposing [2] 704:16; dispute [6] 878:23; 879:4, 5; 922:11; 929:13; 944:1 disputed [1] 880:2 disregarding [2] 934:24; 935:3 distance [2] 889:7, 9 district [2] 899:14, 15 **disturbed** [1] 864:11 disturbing [1] 810:11 ditch [4] 732:3; 739:18; 816:10, 23 ditches [2] 814:3; 816:19 diversion [20] 664:12, 15, 16, 17, 23; 665:2; 667:23; 668:4; 693:24; 732:7, 17; 733:2, 10, 24; 734:4, 13, 16; 753:7; 767:19 diversionary [1] 818:19

810:20, 22; 818:23; 892:3 diverted [3] 665:4, 5: 811:9 diverting [4] 731:6, 7; 733:3; 734:14 division [12] 650:14; 653:17; 798:18; 806:23; 808:4; 812:23, 24; 813:2, 5, 10; 939:10 dlc [1] 674:8 dmr [3] 881:17, 22; 882:23 dmrs [20] 751:15, 17, 21; 801:14, 17; 823:24; 824:2, 4; 827:22; 828:15, 16, 24; 882:2, 5, 7, 10; 883:8, 21, 22; 884:1 docket [1] 842:6 document [32] 684:18; 722:14; 777:8, 14; 780:3; 782:18; 785:18, 21; 796:4, 8; 797:5, 11; 798:1, 3, 10; 800:17; 801:5, 9; 803:17, 24; 804:3; 805:12, 14, 20, 21; 824:24; 890:9, 10; 908:6; 910:7; 921:19 documentation [4] 684:3; 903:19; 934:6, 15 documents [8] 665:24; 781:9; 835:20; 836:1, 6, 10; 838:5 dollar [2] 857:23; 858:3 dollars [19] 789:21; 790:2, 3, 6; 853:11; 854:17; 858:7, 8; 859:18; 884:19; 885:6, 7; 892:22, 23; 893:22; 906:3, 10, 14; 945:17 door [4] 830:16; 831:24; 832:2 dorothy [1] 965:5 double-check [1] 870:14 doubt [2] 731:13; 894:2 down [102] 658:23; 662:5, 19; 663:7, 12, 17; 664:7; 667:1; 668:5; 671:18; 672:10, 18, 19, 20; 673:5, 18; 674:16; 676:5, 7, 21, 23; 677:15, 23, 24; 681:6; 692:24; 694:13; 696:20; 699:18; 700:4, 23; 701:21; 702:15, 21; 703:2; 718:24; 727:20, 21, 22; 730:24; 736:2; 740:3; 746:3, 22; 748:20; 749:18; 750:22; 751:3; 753:11, 13, 18; 754:9; 755:7; 757:3, 9, 12, 14; 764:21; 767:2; 768:2, 6; 769:10, 13; 770:6, 10; 772:3, 12; 787:16, 20; 808:9; 809:18; 810:18; 811:12, 15, 21; 812:1; 813:18; 814:9; 817:3, 10; 820:6, 11; 822:19; 827:10; 834:24; 835:18; 843:20, 21; 847:11, 12; 856:20; 873:4; 874:10; 891:20; 904:21, 22; 905:20, 24; 935:10; 937:8;

946:15 downstream [1] 754:16 dozen [3] 738:11, 16, 21 dozer [2] 673:12; 757:9 draft [8] 761:22; 799:3; 803:2; 804:7; 805:14; 809:6, 15; 837:1 drafted [2] 762:4; 840:22 drafting [3] 854:18, 21; drags [1] 702:4 drain [1] 835:8 drainage [4] 739:18; 817:1; 833:23; 835:1 drainageway [3] 739:1, 8: 757:17 drains [11] 767:5; 769:10, 11, 12; 773:20; 817:2; 820:7; 834:2; 835:13, 16, 18 draw [2] 715:13: 770:12 drawing [1] 769:8 drawn [2] 769:15; 770:3 dredged [8] 662:8, 13, 15, 17; 690:17, 20; 691:9; 735:6 dredging [9] 663:2; 666:4; 691:6, 8; 696:4; 735:18; 745:19; 945:19; 957:4 **dredgings** [2] 663:9; 694:5 drew [1] 770:21 drill [15] 672:7, 11, 14; 673:11, 12; 676:6; 699:18; 700:4; 704:18; 706:3; 742:17, 24; 743:1; 810:17 drilled [6] 699:3; 742:20; 743:17; 852:7; 864:13; 874:10 driller [1] 705:20 drillers [9] 669:10; 675:14; 676:14; 706:21, 23, 24; 741:16; 743:14; 874:10 drilling [27] 669:16; 670:21; 671:3, 5; 672:8, 17; 675:17; 676:4; 677:7; 678:4; 698:8, 18; 699:20; 701:23; 708:21; 741:22; 745:13, 14; 747:3; 864:17, 20; 941:10; 954:5, 21, 24; 955:4; 957:4 drive [4] 677:24; 709:7; 732:13; 844:7 driven [1] 847:15 driveway [2] 757:4, 14 driving [1] 955:23 **drop** [9] 675:19; 676:11, 12; 701:5, 21; 702:14; 704:21; 736:2; 746:19 drops [1] 731:2 **dry** [5] 663:22, 23; 690:20; 692:22; 696:13 **due** [11] 657:5; 729:17; 749:11; 785:6; 794:18; 854:17; 866:8; 959:6, 17; 960:7, 20 dug [3] 667:1, 2; 710:5

divert [11] 664:15; 694:1;

732:1, 2; 753:10, 16; 767:20;

duly [9] 649:19; 653:6; 760:21; 894:14; 908:22; 923:20; 940:3; 953:5; 965:8 dumping [1] 705:19 during [34] 666:4; 698:3, 18; 699:9; 701:9; 702:17; 704:9; 707:5; 709:18; 710:3; 744:1; 749:8; 751:22, 24; 759:10; 805:1, 22; 823:5; 844:14; 850:23; 851:19; 862:19, 24; 864:11; 878:20; 880:4; 887:9; 888:7; 895:23; 905:10; 909:2; 911:17; 914:1; 930:16 dust [1] 818:3 duties [10] 653:19, 20; 689:2; 703:14; 761:18; 839:23; 895:22, 24; 896:2; 900:14 duty [1] 666:12

- E -

e-0 [1] 862:8 **e-1** [1] 862:8 each [6] 706:13; 809:18; 815:7; 835:1; 881:1; 890:23 earlier [31] 698:20; 714:18; 731:24; 740:7; 758:18; 809:9; 829:5; 833:22; 834:11, 14; 835:11, 19; 837:3; 838:4; 839:11; 840:3, 19, 21; 841:1, 13; 844:15; 856:15; 858:20; 868:16; 869:11; 878:20; 880:11, 20; 881:5; 931:20 **early** [6] 675:15; 867:13; 903:13; 940:9, 21; 957:1 earth [11] 794:24; 795:10, 14; 860:20; 861:1, 12; 867:7, 12; 868:7; 889:20; 890:8 earthen [1] 766:17 easier [2] 875:4, 6 easiest [1] 890:2 easily [1] 878:1 east [22] 650:9; 658:1; 660:7; 664:18; 665:5; 666:19; 685:12, 14; 722:17; 763:16; 764:1, 11, 12, 17, 18, 23; 770:15; 771:2; 772:20; 811:8; **east-west** [1] 766:3 **easy** [1] 672:14 eat [1] 757:13 **economics** [1] 654:13 edge [3] 728:3; 769:12; 899:19 education [2] 654:21; 762:23 educational [4] 654:11; 762:6; 763:9; 896:6 **effect** [3] 926:4; 956:19; 957:22

effective [3] 811:23; 812:3, 9 effectively [1] 921:15 effort [2] 796:10; 956:9 efforts [3] 730:14; 814:23; eggs [3] 707:22; 747:19, 20 eight [14] 662:14; 691:9; 694:5; 708:22, 23; 736:7, 8; 751:10; 874:9; 883:14, 16; 891:12, 15; 948:9 eilers [2] 737:19; 882:8 either [15] 658:1; 701:16; 706:3; 724:4; 739:7; 773:6; 799:15; 803:4; 818:9; 856:12; 864:20; 912:2; 930:6; 948:13; 949:10 **elaborate** [1] 691:20 electricity [1] 787:9 **elements** [2] 916:17, 22 **elevated** [1] 875:12 **elevation** [10] 658:24; 771:20; 772:5; 816:17; 870:9, 19; 871:6; 893:9, 13; 943:18 elevations [4] 871:21; 888:1, 4; 890:22 eliminate [1] 891:17 elliott [11] 737:18; 800:3; 837:21; 838:12, 24; 839:1, 6, 17; 863:4; 946:24; 949:9 elliott's [1] 838:19 **elmer** [23] 735:8; 737:18; 740:24; 800:3, 7; 809:10; 837:21; 838:1, 11, 18, 24; 839:1, 6, 12, 17, 19, 22; 863:4; 946:24; 949:9, 11; 950:1, 2 emanating [1] 747:12 embankment [1] 770:6 **employed** [14] 653:13; 691:1; 762:9; 849:7, 11; 850:1, 23; 851:2; 852:9; 862:19, 22; 866:21; 882:16, 17 **employees** [6] 709:22; 740:15; 810:17; 844:2, 23; 850:7 **employment** [2] 862:23; 863:1 empty [1] 676:1 encompasses [1] 764:5 encountered [1] 844:10 encouraging [1] 935:11 end [12] 652:19; 667:14; 704:19; 745:3; 750:23; 765:20; 769:9; 821:10; 824:23; 881:3; 917:6; 955:12 endanger [1] 844:22 ended [3] 663:6; 732:23; 912:23 enforcement [5] 649:8; 650:14; 935:3, 23; 936:8 engineer [5] 681:12; 761:6; 763:3; 795:9; 924:10

engineering [9] 680:23; 743:2; 762:8; 850:18; 851:12, 20; 854:4; 895:10; 924:12 engineers [1] 762:17 engines [1] 679:9 **enough** [8] 676:16; 742:6; 747:1; 878:2, 16; 913:12; 936:13; 951:12 ensure [2] 669:6; 737:16 ensuring [1] 741:10 enter [1] 819:3 entered [5] 664:19; 840:12; 868:14; 891:8; 905:6 entering [3] 657:19; 730:11; 818:24 enters [1] 891:9 entire [6] 663:13; 682:13; 705:11, 12; 866:5; 951:20 entirely [5] 734:14; 857:10; 881:24; 943:13 entitled [2] 830:16; 832:3 entry [2] 818:20; 842:6 environmental [7] 650:2, 4, 15; 684:11; 798:7; 806:24; 924:11 **epa** [16] 681:1; 682:12, 22; 685:3; 712:22; 715:3; 788:19; 797:17; 827:8; 859:17; 903:14; 910:7; 924:5; 927:8, 12; 928:14 epa's [1] 939:10 equal [4] 751:9; 821:24; 880:23, 24 equipment [22] 663:16; 678:13, 17, 22, 24; 679:6; 710:17; 755:16; 817:8, 10; 819:12, 18; 844:8; 848:5, 18; 857:17; 858:2; 863:17, 19; 904:6, 7, 8 equipped [1] 815:12 equivalent [2] 825:5, 8 erosion [12] 693:19; 757:2, 11; 763:24; 814:3, 12; 818:2, 11; 866:11; 904:22; 943:16 erosional [4] 693:16; 771:15; 811:19; 866:7 **esg** [44] 649:8; 652:3; 653:14, 15; 654:8; 665:19; 681:11; 689:2; 696:4; 703:14; 704:23; 706:24; 709:22; 712:16; 713:1; 721:3; 738:1; 740:15; 761:4, 7, 17; 764:4; 765:9; 769:8; 782:11; 790:13, 19; 796:3, 14; 839:16; 841:11, 24; 843:5; 846:3; 849:8; 894:22; 895:16; 924:16; 929:7; 930:6, 10, 17; 939:13; 944:22 **especially** [2] 674:16; 815:8 establish [2] 810:8; 890:24 established [3] 762:17:

891:2. 6 estimate [7] 720:20; 789:19; 790:5; 829:24; 859:14; 860:12; 862:6 estimated [1] 720:19 estimates [20] 762:1; 775:17; 777:13, 15; 778:16, 18; 779:3, 9, 19; 782:11; 785:2, 6, 16; 789:17, 21; 855:11, 14, 16, 17: 856:11 et [2] 902:19 even [12] 659:1; 661:17; 677:9, 14, 22; 699:15; 751:2; 838:16; 845:5; 848:22; 929:13; 957:17 evening [3] 947:6, 8; event [11] 662:20; 760:6; 806:19; 821:7; 823:10; 825:1, 6; 869:18; 881:2, 15; 915:16 events [3] 698:3; 749:17; 823:5 everybody [2] 747:1; 944:24 everybody's [1] 682:15 everyday [1] 844:12 everyone [1] 898:17 everything [12] 655:13; 663:18; 720:6; 735:21; 752:9; 811:7; 820:7; 831:13, 15; 891:10; 893:10, 11 evidence [13] 716:17; 782:22; 803:8; 804:1, 12; 870:13; 907:21; 908:1; 911:1, 5; 927:14; 963:3, 6 exact [7] 699:7; 746:20; 841:2; 865:24; 935:20; 937:18; exactly [14] 653:18; 663:2, 4; 673:2; 694:14; 708:2; 728:20; 734:16; 752:14; 838:21; 839:18; 852:9; 906:15; examination [25] 650:19, 20, 22, 23; 651:2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 10, 12, 13; 653:8; 742:14; 760:23; 886:2; 887:9; 894:16; 908:23; 923:22; 934:22; 939:7; 940:4; 953:6; 958:10 **examine** [1] 952:3 **examined** [7] 653:7; 760:22; 894:15; 908:22; 923:21; 940:3; 953:5 **example** [1] 738:19 **excavate** [1] 746:4 **excavated** [3] 658:22; 745:20; 765:11 excavating [3] 661:22; 663:15; 888:10 excavation [1] 888:8 **excavator** [3] 663:12, 14; 700:21

exceedence [1] 915:15 exceedences [3] 915:12, 13; 929:17 **except** [4] 773:3; 855:4; 938:17; 960:3 **excessive** [1] 660:24 exclude [1] 962:18 **excluding** [1] 961:5 **excuse** [3] 667:10; 670:12; 754:1 **excused** [7] 760:16; 894:9; 907:4; 923:18; 939:19; 950:22; **exhibit** [123] 651:14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20; 656:14; 658:6; 665:7; 669:20, 24; 684:9; 685:6; 687:3; 688:9; 694:23; 697:16; 698:23; 704:5; 715:23; 716:2, 5, 16, 18, 21; 722:6, 15; 733:7; 736:16; 752:20; 764:2; 768:21; 769:1; 778:13, 17; 779:15, 23, 24; 780:19; 781:12; 782:8; 783:3, 8; 784:24; 785:8; 786:6; 788:3; 789:5, 11; 795:19; 798:6, 17; 800:18; 802:19; 804:13, 15; 809:12, 14, 24; 827:4; 836:16, 17, 20; 840:4, 11; 841:13, 20; 842:4; 843:2, 9; 845:19; 855:20, 23; 856:2; 860:17, 18; 867:6; 869:21; 870:13, 21; 871:5; 872:21, 24; 873:1, 9, 16; 876:7, 20; 877:13; 879:7; 886:5; 887:24; 900:6; 902:12; 907:21; 908:11, 13; 927:2, 15; 928:11, 14, 21, 23; 931:4, 13, 21; 951:10, 15, 17; 952:9, 14; 962:18, 24; 963:2, 6; 964:16 exhibits [5] 651:14; 666:16; 721:20; 778:10; 963:22 exist [1] 657:2 existed [2] 836:4; 943:11 existence [3] 802:12, 17; 805:23 **existing** [1] 746:21 exiting [1] 880:5 expand [2] 657:7; 806:10 **expanded** [3] 726:13; 788:17; 896:2 expands [1] 806:15 expect [2] 959:8; 960:6 expectations [8] 935:6, 18; 936:20, 22; 937:3, 11, 22; 938:20 **expected** [2] 781:8; expecting [2] 677:24; 937:1 **expedite** [1] 669:14

expeditious [1] 682:10

expense [2] 945:14, 15 expensive [1] 759:6 **experience** [4] 786:17. 23, 24; 787:3 explain [14] 675:13; 676:2; 700:17; 762:5; 791:16; 801:19; 802:9; 809:20; 843:14; 849:2; 872:4: 914:13: 917:12: 924:24 **explained** [1] 751:12 explaining [1] 823:3 **explains** [1] 918:6 explanation [1] 851:5 **explore** [2] 812:19; 940:12 exploring [1] 814:8 exposed [7] 678:4; 707:7. 9: 721:10; 724:3, 8, 17 express [1] 942:24 expressed [3] 681:22; 935:18; 936:2 **extend** [2] 652:15; 673:18 extended [2] 672:9; 673:4 **extending** [1] 715:12 extends [1] 676:6 extent [3] 693:9; 815:1; extract [3] 848:18; 851:10; 887:5 extracted [1] 856:21 **extraction** [22] 697:22; 701:9; 702:18; 705:17; 831:12; 847:3, 4, 9, 10; 848:6, 16, 22; 863:22; 864:1, 10, 12; 874:18, 22; 875:18; 940:13; 953:23 extremely [8] 674:9; 675:23; 741:19; 742:9; 755:16; 759:5; 778:7; 955:18 eye [2] 830:3, 8

- F -

f-0 [1] 862:8 face [9] 657:6; 671:23; 705:20; 732:15; 810:21; 817:24; 820:11; 897:18; 900:3 facetious [1] 960:13 facilities [6] 937:5; 938:9, 11, 13, 18; 939:13 facility [22] 680:8; 689:3; 815:18; 816:12; 834:17; 836:21; 838:11; 839:6; 844:20; 869:23; 895:6; 896:17, 18, 19; 899:20; 900:13; 916:3; 919:2, 8; 929:16; 930:4; 936:24 **fact** [21] 666:7; 681:2; 683:1; 693:23; 742:5; 777:8, 14; 781:21; 804:20; 807:2; 839:11; 843:10; 876:21; 881:17; 887:24; 912:5; 918:12; 934:24; 935:3; 947:11; 955:23 facts [1] 922:15 failed [1] 779:18

failing [1] 777:12 **feet** [34] 660:6, 7; 664:17; failure [5] 779:2, 7; 801:11, 13; 802:7 fair [5] 734:12; 837:21; 900:15; 915:15; 944:8 **fairly** [7] 663:22; 704:1; 726:10; 734:5; 736:3; 755:12; 940:21 **faith** [1] 920:15 **fall** [8] 654:9; 709:8; 732:21; 796:7; 813:9; 930:7; 942:22; 955:6 falling [2] 752:14; 935:16 falls [5] 701:22; 705:23; 731:3; 767:17; 819:5 false [1] 882:23 falsification [1] 801:14 falsified [2] 801:17; 823:24 falsify [1] 824:4 familiar [26] 654:5; 656:23; 658:8; 661:10, 13; 662:23; 668:16, 19; 682:3; 704:2; 759:14; 772:16, 17; 822:7, 12; 825:23; 828:20; 839:16; 849:16; 890:13; 896:15, 21; 897:1; 900:10; 919:7, 11 far [14] 653:20; 684:7; 686:3, 14; 689:9; 727:16; 751:15; 758:8; 884:8, 9; 925:24; 935:10; 943:22; 959:7 **farm** [2] 707:24; 773:17 farmer [3] 773:18; 810:14; 865:18 farming [1] 865:22 farmland [2] 763:24; farms [2] 865:18; 866:13 **fast** [3] 674:5; 937:6; 938:6 faster [2] 674:9; 706:22 **fax** [4] 802:21; 921:24; 922:4; 930:10 faxed [3] 921:19; 930:13; february [43] 711:18; 725:12, 13; 733:9; 734:18; 735:1; 761:8; 774:24; 779:8; 800:20, 23; 804:20; 807:9, 19; 810:4; 820:19; 823:24; 827:6, 20, 23; 828:6; 835:23; 843:3; 849:3; 853:9; 878:18; 879:9, 20; 881:17, 18; 882:18; 885:8; 905:6, 14; 919:9; 924:20; 959:18, 23; 960:7, 16; 962:13, federal [6] 655:13; 797:17; 806:10, 17; 825:13; 916:16 **fee** [1] 906:2 feed [1] 766:4 **feel** [5] 664:7; 799:9; 808:6;

825:3; 826:3

final [38] 765:12; 793:22, 24; 794:2, 6, 10; 797:24; 810:7; 837:10; 860:2, 4, 7, 10, 12; 861:18, 19; 862:10, 20; 863:2, 5, 7, 10; 864:11, 16; 865:11, 17; 893:12; 901:10, 11, 13, 14, 18, 23, 24; 902:2, 5; 903:12; 959:23 finalized [2] 799:5; 837:4 **finalizing** [1] 837:7 finances [1] 856:16 financial [5] 790:12, 16, 23; 791:11; 858:21 financially [1] 965:13 find [8] 676:20; 683:5; 722:5; 727:11; 729:21; 800:12; 809:10: 915:12 **fine** [17] 706:2, 9; 714:14; 716:15; 721:21; 780:9, 12, 15; 804:8; 846:17; 908:11; 913:18; 917:13; 958:8; 960:15; 962:4; 963:8 finish [3] 708:24; 739:4; 878:4 finished [4] 699:21; 815:10; 904:18; 942:2 finishing [2] 671:1, 4 fire [3] 687:8, 9, 12 fired [1] 701:3 firm [7] 680:23; 685:24; 781:2; 794:13; 799:1; 815:17; 831:6 firms [3] 784:10; 794:22; 815:16 first [63] 652:4; 653:3, 6; 671:2; 672:4, 23; 683:10; 684:16; 685:17; 688:6; 690:3; 691:5; 695:18; 697:24; 701:16; 705:21; 713:5; 714:23, 24; 717:21; 722:8; 734:20; 743:16; 756:24; 758:9; 760:21; 774:22; 775:4; 776:7, 17; 779:22; 798:11; 804:19; 819:14; 823:21; 824:7; 826:8; 833:8; 835:13; 837:1; 841:20; 844:18; 853:23; 862:14; 869:22; 876:11, 17, 19; 889:22; 894:14; 905:14; 907:20; 908:14; 910:2, 3; 917:4; 920:9; 923:20; 925:16; 936:5; 943:10; 951:5; 959:5 **fish** [1] 935:8 **five** [11] 656:9; 686:7; 687:20; 740:10; 741:5, 17; 763:18; 765:13; 860:10; 861:8; **fix** [3] 682:5; 734:22; 844:10 fixed [2] 734:21; 949:15 fixes [2] 755:17; 887:2 flaps [1] 701:20 flat [3] 765:24; 773:5; 864:21

flew [1] 889:23 **flow** [9] 695:5, 11; 754:16; 815:13, 15; 816:1, 3, 7, 11 flowing [3] 774:2, 6, 8 flown [3] 861:7; 888:7; 889:23 flows [1] 772:21 **fluids** [1] 820:5 flyover [1] 861:9 focus [1] 668:10 folks [3] 924:16; 936:7, 10 follow [2] 892:11; 910:16 follow-up [1] 921:16 following [3] 783:5; 840:23: 962:5 follows [7] 653:7; 760:22; 894:15; 908:22; 923:21; 940:3; **foot** [9] 706:17, 19, 21; 708:22, 23; 720:5; 736:8; 774:12 football [2] 726:16, 19 force [1] 813:22 ford [1] 677:8 foregoing [2] 965:7, 9 forest [1] 787:18 forget [2] 656:2; 677:11 **form** [4] 781:19; 934:16; 938:7, 10 formal [13] 654:21; 683:6, 21; 684:2; 712:18; 777:19; 797:8; 820:13; 853:22; 854:3; 934:14, 17; 935:9 formalization [1] 844:13 formalized [2] 843:16, 17 format [1] 843:17 forms [3] 782:1; 926:18; 934:3 **fortelka** [1] 846:24 forth [3] 878:3; 927:3; forward [1] 951:13 found [5] 713:7; 788:18; 929:22; 952:1; 956:1 four [30] 656:8; 659:1; 673:9; 681:24; 683:24; 720:23; 728:6; 740:10; 741:5, 17; 749:6; 750:2; 791:24; 822:4; 851:22; 860:10, 15; 861:8; 862:16; 888:7, 22; 890:6; 891:21; 897:15; 898:21, 22; 899:17; 942:2; 949:2, 6 four-month [1] 751:22 four-wheel-drive [2] 677:8; 702:4 fourth [1] 656:15 fowl [1] 935:8 **frame** [4] 674:8; 761:11; 918:8, 10 frank [171] 649:19; 652:1, 8, 13, 24; 666:11; 669:21;

686:24: 687:2, 17, 21, 24; 688:3, 7, 9, 11, 14; 698:22; 705:5; 714:1, 4, 14; 716:16; 717:7; 718:13; 721:21; 722:2; 727:6; 742:13; 753:24; 756:8; 758:2; 760:11, 14, 17; 768:17, 20; 769:6; 770:20; 776:2; 777:9, 21; 778:1, 8, 12, 15, 23; 779:21; 780:2, 15, 18; 782:23; 783:1; 785:10; 786:1; 788:24; 789:3; 790:10; 796:20; 797:1, 4; 803:9; 804:5, 11; 805:20; 807:11; 815:5; 816:5; 823:13, 17; 825:7; 828:11; 830:10, 18; 832:8, 20, 24; 833:3; 870:16; 871:14; 872:20; 876:4, 8; 877;2, 8, 11; 878;2, 10; 885;23; 892:9; 894:5, 7, 10; 901:19, 22; 903:4, 6; 906:22; 907:2, 5, 8, 12, 16; 908:4, 10, 16; 910:1, 13; 911:16, 19; 912:3, 11, 17, 21; 913:6, 18; 917:15; 920:6, 20; 921:2; 922:12, 18, 22; 923:4, 8, 15; 927:16; 928:19; 932:11; 934:21; 936:15; 939:18, 21; 947:10; 948:2; 950:21, 23; 951:2, 6; 952:13, 16, 23; 953:2; 958:17, 19, 21, 24; 959:3, 20, 22; 960:2, 12, 15, 19; 961:7, 19; 962:9, 17, 20; 963:2, 10, 15; 964:6, 14 frequency [2] 750:4; 916:10 friday [3] 940:24; 959:9 front [3] 682:23; 755:13, 14 **froze** [1] 946:12 fuel [9] 679:11, 12, 13, 18, 21, 22; 819:21; 858:2; 889:8 fueling [4] 679:8; 819:12, 19, 24 **fuels** [1] 840:1 **full** (10] 671:13, 14, 20; 692:16, 18; 702:13, 19; 705:10; 918:20; 919:22 full-blown [1] 683:21 fully [5] 671:3; 672:8, 9, 18; 673:4 fund [3] 791:6, 8, 20 **funds** [1] 791:6 further [18] 687:15; 757:24; 764:19; 765:23; 770:15; 778:2; 832:22; 889:6; 892:7; 894:6; 920:4; 934:19; 939:5; 950:20; 955:19; 958:16; 961:17; 965:11

- G -

garbage [38] 660:2, 8, 9; 662:3; 676:7, 12; 677:12; 678:4; 701:5; 704:21; 705:20;

717:18; 718:8; 721:13; 728:12. 14, 15, 20; 729:1, 18, 22, 23; 730:2; 747:10; 757:16; 786:13; 787:11, 14; 812:20; 819:1, 5; 872:6; 874:12; 889:16; 893:7, 10 gas [80] 668:10, 13, 22; 670:18; 675:5; 697:21; 701:9; 702:18; 703:21; 704:9; 705:17; 707:16, 20; 708:8, 16, 19; 709:19; 710:3, 8; 740:9; 742:16; 747:4, 5, 16; 784:16; 786:9, 11, 12, 18, 20; 787:5, 7, 9, 10, 21; 788;5; 789;7, 20, 22; 791:19; 793:14; 831:8, 9, 11; 846:19; 847:3, 9, 20; 848:1, 5, 10, 16, 20, 22; 855:18; 856:11, 17, 19, 21; 857:9; 859:3, 15; 863:22; 864:1, 10, 12; 867:15; 874:18, 22; 875:18; 887:14; 932:20; 933:13; 940:13; 953:23; 958:2, 4 gasoline [1] 707:18 gate [1] 677:7 gathering [1] 895:13 gave [9] 720:4; 751:19; 793:6; 850:5; 863:6; 877:7; 891:11; 909:1; 931:24 ge [2] 864:8 gears [3] 685:5; 774:16; 786:8 geez [3] 667:2; 715:11; 746:5 general [11] 650:3; 655:17; 664:20; 665:8; 767:18; 796:13; 811:17; 819:5; 863:18; 929:19; 935:17 general's [14] 665:16, 21; 666:15; 712:9; 830:12; 843:7; 911:14; 930:5; 936:8; 951:12; 952:19; 960:8, 20; 961:10 **generally** [4] 654:5; 655:12; 925:23; 943:12 generated [3] 707:7; 786:12; 787:10 generating [1] 787:9 generator [1] 689:20 generics [1] 689:18 gentleman [2] 791:3; 822:19 gently [2] 767:15 geologist [1] 681:14 germinate (1) 812:5 **gets** [6] 665:5; 676:13; 692:19; 693:1; 814:21 getting [4] 718:2, 4, 11; 930:3 giant [1] 675:20

give [19] 693:10, 11, 12;

714:7; 716:22; 770:21; 787:20;

803:15; 850:6; 862:14; 868:6;

884:11; 890:23; 896:5; 918:23;

670:11; 674:23; 675:2; 684:24;

928:1; 952:10; 959:24; 963:17 given [7] 680:15; 684:22; 769:1; 909:21; 910:4; 921:6; 952:8 gives [3] 787:14, 17; 911:9 giving [2] 722:9; 920:12 glitches [1] 883:19 goal [2] 831:15; 850:15 golf [1] 770:17 good [7] 707:16; 813:12; 819:23; 871:24; 878:15; 888:16; 920:15 goods [1] 677:2 gotten [2] 666:14; 869:18 **government** [1] 797:17 grab [1] 824:24 grade [5] 705:19; 728:1; 743:11; 860:10; 891:24 graded [3] 730:20; 731:2; 892:2 grading [1] 866:24 graduate [2] 762:11, 12 graduated [2] 762:7; grant [2] 931:13, 15 granted [2] 779:14; 887:8 grass [2] 810:18; 867:3 gravity [1] 695:11 greasing [1] 679:7 great [2] 702:2; 715:18 greater [5] 687:11; 825:1, 2, 3; 889:9 green [1] 867:3 grew [1] 718:5 grid [3] 861:12, 15; 862:7 grothus [27] 650:19; 651:11; 653:4, 5, 12; 688:19; 705:7; 722:4; 737:18; 768:14; 798:12; 800:13, 14; 851:16; 852:13; 940:7, 14, 23; 941:2; 942:16; 944:6; 947:2, 4; 948:12; 951:3; 953:1, 3 ground [13] 671:11, 18; 701:24; 702:1; 747:6; 765:3; 772:13; 811:15; 890:17, 18; 891:7; 893:20; 946:12 grounds [1] 784:2 groundwater [53] 682:2; 685:8, 9; 686:2, 3; 762:2; 783:11, 15; 784:5; 797:22; 830:23; 849:15, 24; 855:4, 5; 885:15; 897:6, 14, 17, 21, 22, 24; 898:16, 23; 899:7, 12, 13; 904:10; 909:10; 910:6, 22; 914:4, 5, 8, 22; 915:6, 11, 13, 20; 916:19; 919:2, 3; 929:9, 10, 21; 930:13, 19; 931:11, 16, 17; 932:1, 3, 8 grow [1] 812:8 grows [1] 812:2 growth [2] 794:5; 812:7

guess [41] 657:17; 659:16;

660:15; 661:4; 662:19; 663:19; 664:3; 670:13; 680:1; 685:14; 689:12; 696:21; 698:12; 701:19; 707:19; 715:13; 717:10; 718:22, 23; 722:11; 736:10; 747:17; 754:3, 23; 756:24; 765:7; 791:6; 795:8; 802:10; 819:14; 828:18; 843:4, 18; 861:21; 862:3; 869:21; 885:20; 892:5; 914:15; 925:11; 962:22 guesstimate [1] 746:5 guidance [1] 804:23 guy [3] 702:11; 735:11; 946:15 **guy's** [1] 944:16 guys [11] 703:3; 877:6;

878:10; 912:11, 23; 913:14;

gwenyth [2] 681:17;

922:13; 951:11; 952:5; 959:12

- H -

682:1

half [11] 666:12, 14; 692:24; 738:11; 826:10; 843:4, 11; 862:16; 866:20; 891:2; 922:17 halfway [1] 750:17 hammer [1] 676:11 hand [7] 776:11; 783:8; 798:16; 840:10; 855:23; 908:6; 936:7 handle [1] 761:18 handled [1] 664:6 handles [2] 714:12; 822:20 handling [2] 822:14; hands [1] 960:8 **hanna** [1] 650.7 **hanson** [7] 685:11, 12, 17, 19; 713:8; 849:18; 852:7 hanson's [1] 685:15 happen [9] 710:22; 742:10; 744:8, 19; 748:5; 757:13; 819:3; 941:13; 955:1 happened [18] 657:4, 23; 668:6; 671:22; 680:13; 694:11; 717:19; 718:7; 741:20; 743:21, 23; 744:3; 775:2; 793:1; 807:15; 808:16; 849:6; 885:4 happening [3] 731:21; 807:23; 808:6 happens [5] 856:22; 918:14, 19, 21; 929:20 hard [10] 675:23; 678:1; 693:12; 708:1; 723:20; 736:4; 755:16; 871:10; 946:13 hard-surfaced [2] 817:17, 21 hardly [2] 812:6; 824:11 **harm** [1] 678:2

hart [1] 965:5 haul [14] 666:6; 692:15, 19, 21; 726:24; 745:22, 23; 769:13; 820:3; 824:17; 835:16; 847:18, 19; 889:6 hauled [4] 663:20; 666:7; 820:11; 899:14 hauling [1] 905:11 hay [3] 811:13, 14, 20 943:4 hazardous [4] 654:24; 655:20; 689:11; 763:1 hdpe [1] 743:6 head [3] 766:5, 7, 8 headed (11 811:16 heading [1] 804:17 heads [1] 767:18 hear [1] 740:21 heard [4] 740:17; 741:2; 869:16; 876:18 951:16 hearing [228] 649:16, 19; 652:1, 8, 13, 14, 24; 665:12, 22; 666:1, 3, 11; 669:21; 670:11; 674:20, 23; 675:2; 683:11, 20; 684:24; 686:24; 687:2, 17, 21, 24; 688:3, 7, 9, 11, 14; 698:22; 705:5; 714:1, 4, 14; 716:16; 717:7; 718:13; 721:18, 21; 722:2; 727:6; 742:13; 753:24; 756:8; 758:2; 760:11, 14, 17; 768:17, 20, 23; 769:6; 770:20; 776:2, 8; 777:9, 21; 778:1, 8, 12, 15, 23, 24; 779:21; 780:2, 15, 18; 782:23; 783:1; 785:10; 786:1, 4; 788:24; 789:3; 790:10; 796:20; 797:1, 4; 800:16; 801:7; 803:9; 804:5, 11; 805:20; 807:11; 815:5; 816:5; 823:13, 17, 21; 825:7; 828:11; 830:7, 10, 18; 831:23; 832:8, 20, 24; 833:3; 843:3; 844:15; 847:1; 850:14; 868:12; 870:16; 871:14; 872:20; 876:4, 834:14 8, 23; 877:2, 8, 11; 878:2, 4, 6, 10, 16; 885:23; 892:9; 894:5, 7, 10; 897:2; 901:19, 22; 903:4, 6; 906:22; 907:2, 5, 8, 892:14 12, 16; 908:4, 10, 16, 17; 909:2, 17; 910:1, 13, 19, 20; 911:3, 8, 12, 16, 19; 912:3, 6, 8, 11, 17, 18, 21, 24; 913:6, 9, 946:15 18; 917:15; 920:6, 7, 20; 921:2, 10; 922:12, 18, 22; 923:2, 4, 8, 15; 927:16; 928:19; 931:20; 932:11; 934:21; 874:12 936:15; 939:2, 18, 21, 23; 947:10, 13, 14; 948:2; 950:21, 23; 951:2, 6, 9, 11, 16, 23; 700:21 952:2, 13, 16, 18, 23; 953:2; 958:17, 19, 21, 24; 959:3, 20, 22; 960:2, 12, 15, 19; 961:7, 19, 23; 962:9, 17, 20; 963:2, 10, 15; 964:6, 9, 14, 20

hearings [3] 666:12; 683:10; 799:16 heart [1] 858:16 heat [1] 847:21 heavens [2] 679:24; 956:5 heavily [1] 772:12 heavy [7] 774:11; 817:7, 10; 819:18; 844:8; 863:19; height [4] 871:3, 22; 872:2; 873:20 held [6] 649:16; 747:22; 781:6; 805:3; 808:11; 830:3 help [8] 669:12, 13; 701:22; 702:10; 753:10; 849:23; 890:11; 900:14 helped (1) 796:9 **helpful** [3] 751:5; 808:11; helps [1] 689:6 hep [1] 762:19 hereby (1) 965:6 herein [8] 653:6; 760:21; 894:14; 908:21; 923:20; 940:2; 953:4; 965:13 hide (1) 893:5 **high** [11] 654:12, 17, 18; 762:6, 7; 768:9; 829:2; 888:12; 893:7; 896:6, 8 higher [7] 752:9; 817:1, 12; 871:21; 943:18; 946:6 highest [1] 871:6 highlighted [1] 722:8 highway [1] 757:5 **hill** [18] 658:4; 671:15, 19; 673:17, 21; 675:21, 22; 677:9, 16, 23, 24; 692:20; 694:8, 12; 699:5; 700:23; 765:22; 768:5 hills [1] 773:6 hillside [1] 663:10 hilly [3] 661:22; 765:5; himself [3] 844:22; 845:13; 920:16 hire [3] 831:6; 851:23; hired [13] 793:13; 794:13; 799:1; 809:6; 810:14; 844:5, 6; 852:5; 865:17; 870:6, 7; history [2] 841:21; 843:2 **hit** [6] 664:19; 701:20; 705:22; 730:22; 770:17; **hits** [1] 731:4 **hoe** [3] 663:15; 674:8; hog [1] 707:24 hold [3] 763:2; 812:5; holding [2] 847:17; 852:19 **hole** [53] 671:1, 5; 672:21;

673:11; 675:18; 676:9, 20; 699:23, 24; 701:4, 14; 705:14, 15, 16, 17, 19; 707:9, 13; 708:13, 20; 714:19; 718:10; 719:3, 20, 23; 720:11, 17; 721:9, 10; 722:18, 24; 723:1, 2, 4, 9, 13, 14, 18, 21, 24; 725:6; 726:2; 729:19; 730:17, 20; 731:2, 6; 743:14; 744:6, 10; 747:12; 953:23; 958:3 holes [20] 671:5; 707:15; 708:17; 710:5; 740:18, 19; 741:4, 5, 11, 17; 742:17, 24; 744:5, 15, 17, 20, 24; 954:3; 955:15; 958:14 home [2] 947:18: 950:15 honest [3] 710:9; 828:20; 933:21 honestly [1] 861:10 hooked [1] 700:18 hope [1] 779:9 hopefully [3] 664:19; 753:15; 956:14 horses [1] 769:18 hose [4] 679:22; 720:5; 750:16, 19 hour [4] 649:17; 821:7; 826:10 hours [15] 656:2, 3, 4; 698:10, 11, 15, 16; 703:9; 710:11; 749:15, 16; 751:10; 876:23; 878:4; 960:18 housekeeping [3] 819:23; 839:23; 951:7 how's [1] 935:10 however [6] 662:1; 672:17; 771:14; 804:1; 856:20; huge [2] 709:6; 947:11 hundred [3] 663:15; 852:18; 881:7 hydraulic [4] 673:9; 897:16; 898:8; 914:15 hydrogeologist [2] 681:13, 17 hydrology [2] 762:15, 18

- I -

i-n-d-e-c-o [1] 795:3 i-o-s-c-o [1] 815:16 idea [8] 662:15; 729:20; 752:15; 755:10; 783:19; 813:12; 866:21; 964:9 identification [1] 719:8 identified [13] 651:14; 715:3, 23; 727:9; 791:19; 820:2; 842:22; 860:17, 21; 863:11, 16, 18; 927:2 identifies [2] 845:8, 10 identify [27] 684:10;

685:7; 716:19; 726:13; 728:8, 19; 729:1; 755:3; 775:13; 782:8; 785:13; 788:3; 795:19; 798:6, 17; 800:18; 802:19; 809:14; 827:4; 829:2; 860:18; 861:17; 862:5; 871:6; 900:6; 902:13; 927:3 identifying [1] 861:16 iepa [2] 785:21; 837:15 **ignorance** [1] 802:10 ii [3] 914:16; 919:2, 6 illinois [34] 649:2, 5, 18; 650:5, 10, 15, 16; 652:3; 681:1; 682:12, 21; 684:11; 685:3; 712:22; 763:4, 6, 8; 785:4; 788:19; 797:17; 798:7; 806:24; 827:8; 843:6; 846:10; 858:24; 924:4, 11, 12; 927:8, 12; 939:10; 965:1, 22 immediate [1] 667:15 immediately [11] 667:24; 683:14; 691:13; 704:10, 15; 706:1; 743:3, 11; 809:5; 840:23; 841:24 impact [1] 705.13 impacted [1] 945:22 implement [5] 819:11; 842:10; 845:19; 887:7; 932:6 implementation [2] 904:10: 957:6 implemented [3] 844:12; 846:3; 891:17 implementing [2] 808:21; 905:21 important [3] 704:20, 22; imposed [2] 779:4; 797:16 impossible [8] 674:12; 740:12, 19; 741:5, 19; 742:1, 8, impounded [1] 718:21 impoundment [1] 726:4 impression [2] 682:20; 780:10 improbable [1] 742:9 inability [1] 852:22 inactive [10] 859:20; 860:1, 4, 6, 13; 861:19; 862:11, 20; 865:4, 7 inc [3] 649:8; 652:3; 965:6 inch [10] 708:22, 23; 825:4, 5, 6, 8, 11, 19 inches [5] 744:16; 794:4; 825:2; 946:3, 9 incident [1] 662:12 include [10] 683:3; 703:14; 790:17; 814:4; 855:17; 896:3; 926:18; 932:1; 934:2; 956:4 included [8] 775:16; 818:15; 828:23; 859:7, 9; 916:14; 931:9, 10

including [2] 910:21; 845:18 input [3] 762:3; 846:18; 931:22 incomplete (8) 775:5; 855:3 853:18; 914:17; 916:6, 9; inquire [1] 832:3 918:21; 942:3; 952:9 inside [3] 679:10; 728:3; incompletely [2] 914:9; 820:5 inspected [1] 678:3 incompleteness [8] inspection [36] 715:14. 917:11, 19; 918:2, 12, 22; 15; 722:16; 724:24; 725:16; 919:22: 920:1: 927:4 728:24; 733:8; 735:5; 752:21, incorporate [1] 952:12 22; 800:20; 801:2; 804:20; 805:1, 22; 806:2; 810:3; incorrect [3] 805:18; 857:12; 879:18 820:19, 22; 826:9, 21, 22; incorrectly [1] 882:1 827:6, 9; 835:23; 844:19; increase [1] 889:10 845:12, 14; 878:18, 21; 879:7, increased [2] 816:18; 20; 880:4, 12; 882:18; 964:4 957:10 inspections [3] 838:4: increasing [1] 718:11 842:21: 845:8 incredible [3] 876:22; inspector [3] 715:4; 877:16, 20 728:7; 814:14 indeco [4] 795:1, 3, 15; inspectors [1] 895:10 install [10] 705:22; 787:6; 852:5 indeed [2] 723:8; 957:22 788:5; 791:22; 848:21; 849:13, independent [1] 841:16 14; 851:9, 10, 11 index [2] 650:18; 651:1 installation [18] 668:22; indicate [10] 670:7; 670:18; 675:5; 697:21; 699:9; 704:15; 827:22; 864:1; 873:16; 702:12; 707:5; 708:8; 709:19; 875:12; 914:16; 919:18; 931:4; 710:3; 745:7; 747:4; 848:4; 942:20 850:17; 857:8; 864:12; 867:15; indicated (391 661:10; 690:24; 692:2; 693:24; 696:3; installed [28] 668:14, 17; 703:13; 706:11; 710:11, 21; 685:9; 693:24; 695:18, 20; 711:4; 716:5; 719:12; 723:12; 696:4, 6, 7; 734:13; 736:13, 23; 732:1; 733:1; 737:9; 749:5; 737:1; 743:11; 754:5; 755:11; 756:9; 758:5; 777:16; 834:11, 756:1; 847:2, 3; 856:23; 14; 846:17; 847:2; 855:8; 863:23; 864:15; 874:18; 858:20; 871:7; 879:2; 881:4, 8; 875:19; 898:22; 902:21; 904:7; 882:21; 887:10, 16; 893:17; 909:1; 910:19; 914:2; 929:15; installing [5] 787:8; 848:17; 849:20; 856:17; indicates [7] 665:18; 897:18 841:15; 842:5, 8; 843:9; instance [4] 691:5; 845:13; 879:8 724:23; 730:23; 743:22 indicating [4] 737:20; instances [1] 845:2 881:22; 926:6; 938:20 instanter [1] 951:10 individuals [2] 815:20; instead [3] 664:14; 750:17; 822:15 881:22 instructed [7] 821:2; industry [3] 655:18, 19, 20 inform [1] 802:4 822:16; 826:4, 13, 23; 844:4, informal (2) 712:18; 935:9 instructions [1] 802:2 information [22] 781:20; 795:13, 17; 797:20; 806:11, 13; insufficient [1] 920:13 869:3; 890:24; 895:12; 914:3, insurance [1] 791:4 15, 16; 916:1; 918:5; 925:13; intended [5] 711:11; 926:5, 13, 19; 932:16; 933:3, 4; 713:9; 779:10; 924:19; 928:7 962:15 intending [1] 666:1 informed [2] 802:5; intent [2] 695:7; 807:20 intention [3] 666:2; 949:13 initial [2] 680:11; 813:11 828:22; 868:13 initiate [2] 905:7; 940:16 intentionally [2] 801:16; injunction [6] 840:13; 841:22; 842:7; 843:5, 12; intentions [1] 911:5

includes [1] 827:20

interested [4] 669:8; 694:3; 867:16; 965:13 interior [3] 814:12; 817:22, 23 interject [1] 815:21 intermediate (4) 765:12: 797:24: 888:23: 902:10 intermittent [2] 773:12. interpose [1] 814:19 interpretation [6] 835:9; 879:13, 18, 19, 22; interpreted [1] 694:20 interrupt [1] 714:17 intrinsic [1] 928:15 introduction [1] 803:24 investigate [2] 722:17; investigation [4] 849:15; 897:19; 903:12, 15 invite [1] 742:5 invoice [4] 854:16; 865:20; involved [25] 662:12; 670:17; 691:5, 23; 698:8; 735:22; 737:13; 775:7; 786:20; 787:4; 788:8, 11; 790:22; 791:13; 826:1; 849:9; 851:16, 17; 854:18, 21; 855:8, 13; 856:16; 883:11; 965:13 involvement [2] 668:21. 719:19 involves [1] 855:11 involving [1] 930:5 iosco [1] 815:16 iowa [3] 654:16, 20; 676:22 irregardless [1] 956:14 island [5] 652:11, 18; 679:18; 763:15; 927:6 isle [1] 679:22 **issuance** [4] 758:20; 784:11; 886:14; 931:13 **issue** [22] 656:5; 776:22; 811:24; 813:2; 815:2; 831:19; 832:5; 837:10; 846:16; 902:5; 909:17; 919:21; 920:21; 923:2, 14; 930:8, 22, 23; 936:11; 940:12; 943:9 issued [42] 654:6; 703:21; 706:5; 758:10, 18; 775:8; 783:5, 9, 20; 784:13; 789:7, 9, 13, 23; 796:2, 6; 798:18; 803:2, 4; 804:2; 806:3, 6, 23; 808:1, 2, 17; 809:7; 822:8; 825:18; 841:23; 842:15; 847:6; 882:12; 884:23; 896:16; 897:4, 10; 903:1, 14; 920:2; 928:24; 933:15 issues [11] 775:7; 776:11, 15; 778:6; 784:19; 792:22;

832:3; 925:4; 928:8; 935:4;

936:4 issuing [1] 931:20 items [2] 780:3; 927:23 itself [2] 834:23; 869:1

- J jack [1] 791:3 james [7] 720:2, 13; 799:19; 800:21; 805:3; 807:17; january [4] 870:12; 929:1; 959:6; 960:20 icb [1] 702:3 iensen's [2] 676:21, 24 jerry [5] 684:12; 737:19; 798:8; 835:21; 882:8 jewel [3] 681:13, 24, 712:21 jim [8] 726:15; 733:8; 735:4; 737:19; 750:8; 751:12, 23; 835:24 job [4] 689:2; 702:11; 717:23; 745:16 **100** [33] 651:9; 663:7; 710:3; 725:16; 738:4, 7; 740:23; 741:1, 3; 746:14, 15, 16; 756:2, 19; 822:20; 826:4, 6, 23; 837:22; 838:3, 12; 844:3, 5; 845:16; 939:20; 940:1, 11, 12; 942:15; 947:6; 958:13 jog [1] 715:13 john [6] 651:2; 894:12, 13, 20; 905:13; 906:9 joint [2] 791:21; 796:10 jointly [2] 769:1; 859:13 jones [16] 650:22; 681:11; 737:19; 760:19, 20; 761:2; 780:6; 801:16; 804:5; 823:18; 833:5, 8; 845:1; 846:12; 894:8; 949:10 joyce [12] 651:6; 681:16; 683:14; 923:11, 15, 19; 924:2, 14; 928:22; 930:5; 934:24; 939:9 judge [7] 736:4; 796:2; 840:17; 841:23; 842:4; 896:23; judge's [2] 796:6; 841:4 judging [1] 692:12 judgment [1] 905:5 july [9] 687:13; 745:9, 10;

laboratory [1] 795:15 **k-14** [1] 863:16 laborer [1] 702:9 kammerman [1] 944:16 kammueller [31] 720:3, 13; 726:15; 733:9; 750:8; 751:23; 768:15; 799:19; 800:21; 801:1, 9; 805:4, 16; 807:17; 809:2; 816:6; 823:20; 824:8, 13, 24; 826:21; 827:7; 828:2; 830:13; 831:3; 835:24; 837:18; 839:4, 8; 878:19; kammueller's [4] 879:2, 6. 20: 882:18 keep [14] 662:18; 688:20; 728:6; 752:9; 753:18; 755:7; 797:11, 19; 817:11; 826:11; 897:16; 904:22; 955:8 keeping [1] 952:17 keeps [1] 838:5 keith [9] 681:12; 712:21; 713:2; 748:4, 13; 851:18, 19; 852:21; 921:12 kelly [7] 675:24; 676:2, 3, 4, 5, 10 ken [8] 909:1; 910:22; 913:20; 914:20; 916:24; 921:22; 928:7; 961:3 kenneth [3] 651:4; 908:15, 20 **kept** [8] 656:7; 686:19; 797:13; 799:10, 11; 800:5, 7, kicks [1] 727:23 kids [1] 956:6 **kind** [21] 655:21; 666:9; 668:6; 681:23; 713:1; 715:13; 719:16; 720:19; 761:24; 768:4; 771:11; 773:19; 811:6; 849:16; 871:10, 15; 886:20; 956:13; 961:4; 962:5; 963:20 kindness [1] 858:15 knock [2] 672:17, 19 knowing [3] 885:5; 892:15; 954:24 knowledge [12] 655:17; 678:23; 679:3; 725:4; 736:24; 737:2, 4; 743:13; 744:24; 745:6; 953:22; 956:22 knowledgeable [1] 712:24 known [4] 678:7, 10; 863:5: 891:6 **knows** [4] 738:13; 826:4; 839:17; 961:12 krishna [1] 681:15

- L -

lab [8] 686:3; 828:24; 868:24; 869:2, 14; 884:1, 17 laborers [3] 677:11; 756:3, labs [1] 721:6 lack [6] 812:7: 863:12: 865:8; 866:9, 11; 956:8 lacked [1] 857:18 lacking [1] 656:8 laid [1] 728:1 lake [1] 657:20 land [8] 756:2; 764:10; 812:23; 813:2, 5, 11; 890:16; landfill (221) 653:16: 654:2, 6; 656:22; 657:2, 23; 658:3, 17; 659:3; 660:4, 8; 661:15, 17; 666:6, 20, 22; 667:10, 11; 668:14; 670:14; 671:2; 672:2; 677:5, 6; 678:7, 10, 14; 680:2; 684:18; 685:13, 14; 687:5; 689:14; 690:1, 13, 18; 691:1; 693:7, 16; 695:1; 699:4; 703:21; 708:5, 6; 709:10; 710:12, 17; 714:19; 715:1, 5, 11, 20; 717:13; 718:5; 724:5, 7, 12, 14; 725:5, 7; 728:9; 729:13; 730:15; 731:5; 732:11, 15; 737:7; 738:8; 739:10; 745:16; 746:20; 756:4; 761:15; 763:13, 14; 764:5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 24; 765:8, 17; 766:7; 767:4, 6, 9, 13, 14, 17; 770:7; 771:2, 9, 24; 772:19; 773:9, 11, 16; 775:18; 782:11; 785:16; 786:10, 18, 20; 787:5, 10, 22; 788:6; 791:14; 797:12; 798:14; 799:12, 20, 24; 800:4, 9, 15, 21; 810:17, 19, 21; 811:6; 813:8; 815:22; 816:17, 23; 818:4, 21; 822:15, 24; 823:9; 824:16; 827:1; 833:24; 834:9, 13, 18, 23; 835:13, 15; 837:23; 845:24; 846:10; 847:14, 20; 856:22; 858:22; 859:5, 20; 860:21; 861:24; 863:17; 864:10; 866:20, 23; 870:9, 19; 871:3, 22; 872:1; 873:11, 21; 874:5, 7, 14; 876:12; 886:23; 887:6, 18, 20; 888:2, 4, 11; 889:11, 12, 14, 16; 890:10; 891:3; 893:1, 11; 894:24; 895:5, 11, 13, 20; 896:4; 897:5, 15, 19; 898:13; 899:4, 7; 900:4; 901:24; 902:10; 905:7, 11, 15; 927:6; 929:11; 939:14; 940:22; 941:3; 943:13, 16, 20; 945:18; 946:11, 17; 947:19; 948:8; 949:21; 950:2; 953:13; 954:23

landfilled [1] 662:2 landfilling [4] 659:2;

- K -

jump [1] 702:13

793:17, 20; 905:10

junk [1] 746:3

956:24

761:10; 850:2; 948:13; 953:12;

june [10] 663:3; 732:23;

740:2; 789:10, 13; 790:1;

litigation [1] 896:21

litter [11] 723:1, 3, 16, 17,

liter [1] 881:10

663:22; 728:7; 748:19 landfills [4] 689:5; 786:21; 889:3, 4 lands [1] 819:4 large [8] 693:9, 10; 726:10; 746:4; 791:9; 811:14; 819:2; last [36] 659:19, 22, 23; 660:12; 676:16; 679:12; 681:6; 683:19; 700:23; 739:23; 772:10; 795:2; 821:7; 852:15; 861:8; 865:3; 873:10; 877:17, 22; 878:9; 888:21; 908:17; 912:8; 913:21; 921:10; 939:2, 22; 947:23; 948:6, 9; 949:23; 951:9; 952:2, 18; 958:12; 963:12 lasted [1] 876:24 late [15] 674:19: 678:17, 24: 679:1, 2; 700:8; 734:13; 744:8; 940:14; 946:10; 948:12, 13; 953:12; 954:23; 956:24 later [6] 734:11; 748:13; 803:16; 826:10; 934:9 latest [1] 679:5 **latter** [1] 940:8 law [2] 650:8; 790:19 lawley [2] 791:3, 4 lav [1] 709:6 laver [1] 794:4 layout [1] 679:14 leachate [54] 729:17; 730:5; 795:21; 796:3, 16; 831:8, 12; 840:4, 22; 841:5, 14, 24; 842:10, 16, 19, 21; 843:6, 8, 10, 24; 844:9; 845:2, 8, 20, 24; 846:4, 7, 9, 11, 14; 847:4, 10, 11, 16, 21; 848:6, 15, 18, 21; 850:21, 24; 851:8, 9, 10; 852:14, 19; 886:24; 887:3, 5; 897:18; 899:21, 24; 932:20; lead [1] 681:12 learn [1] 781:18 learning [1] 691:13 least [16] 652:6; 658:11; 665:17, 19; 674:4, 18; 749:10; 750:9; 779:9; 785:3; 866:8; 944:1; 949:4; 951:4; 961:20; 963:18 leave [12] 677:21; 703:2; 705:12, 19; 709:2; 740:19; 741:3; 748:18; 761:9; 920:23 leaves [1] 787:18 leaving [13] 705:10; 816:7, 11; 821:3; 824:20; 826:3, 7, 8, **list** [19] 720:4; 804:16; 12; 881:19; 891:19; 897:17 **left** [28] 666:8; 672:1; 675:6, 18; 677:13; 678:2; 682:20; 683:16; 699:24; 700:17; 701:4; 708:20; 709:3; 713:2; 740:18, 22; 741:5;

745:1: 754:2: 824:8: 856:14: 909:16; 912:4, 8; 947:17; 953:23; 954:2 left-hand [3] 717:3; 753:4; 755:5 legal [3] 650:14; 793:4; 939:10 less [3] 786:15; 911:3; 924:9 letter [34] 680:19, 21; 682:22; 775:8; 781:4, 21; 807:18, 20, 24; 808:1; 842:4, 13, 15; 919:22; 920:1; 926:6; 927:4, 18; 937:4, 9, 16, 19, 23, 24; 938:1, 7, 8, 10, 13, 15, 19; 939:12; 944:19 level [16] 661:1; 662:19; 664:2: 669:9; 671:18; 673:10, 18: 718:8: 772:5: 864:18, 19, 21, 23; 870:24; 871:2; 904:21 levels [2] 731:1; 770:16 license [4] 655:1, 2; 763:10; 965:16 licensed [1] 924:10 licenses [2] 763:2, 5 lieutenant [1] 652:10 life [1] 774:13 **lift** [5] 860:2, 7; 861:18, 19; 889:15 lightning [1] 675:21 likely [3] 848:13; 957:17, limbs [1] 746:3 limited [3] 694:18; 827:20; 861:12 limits [2] 694:24; 893:10 line [16] 664:8, 9; 696:8; 697:6, 11; 725:8; 737:2, 3; 746:17, 19, 23, 24; 767:2; 824:15; 830:9; 945:1 liner [17] 662:3; 692:11; 715:13; 717:4; 727:18, 24; 728:3; 813:7; 888:24; 902:22; 903:2, 9, 11, 15 liners [2] 888:20; 889:1 lines [5] 660:6; 727:21, 23; 833:15; 876:18 liquid [1] 730:6 liquids [1] 729:18 liss [17] 651:4; 908:15, 19, 20; 909:15, 22; 910:5, 12, 14, 22; 911:10; 921:15, 22; 922:20, 21; 928:7; 929:15 liss' [1] 910:8 liss's [1] 961.3 827:10; 860:17; 884:10; 904:5; 908:11; 916:16; 930:10, 13, 14; 931:2, 11; 951:10, 15, 18; 952:9, 11, 14 listed [1] 870:23 listening [1] 951:23

19; 724:17, 19, 21; 725:5, 14; 757:16 little [17] 654:22; 657:17; 673:14; 677:12; 713:8; 726:23; 736:21; 746:2; 747:18; 762:5; 764:14; 808:18; 820:9; 849:9; 946:6: 957:10 load [5] 671:17, 19; 676:7; 702:3, 14 loaded [2] 677:22; 704:10 loads [1] 692:16 loaned [1] 858:2 local [4] 810:14; 847:19; 865:18; 906:1 located 1201 680:2: 690:1. 10, 13, 18; 693:6; 695:14; 715:9, 19; 722:6, 19; 755:4; 763:13, 14; 838:11; 839:18; 899:18; 900:2, 3; 943:12 location [9] 699:2; 700:4; 716:20; 741:11; 746:12; 772:6; 813:4; 861:18; 944:5 locations [3] 860:22; 861:16; 890:11 log [4] 684:12; 722:16; 798:8; 838:5 logged [1] 931:5 logs [2] 835:21; 836:4 long [20] 654:8; 667:3, 13; 673:24; 682:22; 686:5; 718:20; 720:22; 740:11; 761:7; 771:14, 16; 772:11; 821:18; 851:7; 884:6; 895:16; 924:4; 956:8; 960:20 long-term [1] 887:2 longer [4] 728:2; 856:21; 872:7; 889:7 look [45] 656:14, 17; 694:22; 696:18; 714:15; 715:17; 716:3; 721:13, 19; 725:1; 733:7, 12; 752:18; 754:10; 755:12, 20; 773:9; 777:5; 789:15; 799:21; 800:17; 803:22; 809:13, 17; 815:1; 826:6, 24; 827:1, 13; 828:14; 844:8; 845:14; 856:7; 864:7; 868:24; 871:5, 8; 873:3, 15; 885:4; 904:9, 14; 918:15; 928:5; 935:10 looked [16] 663:8; 697:15; 698:1, 20; 730:19; 732:11; 781:3; 784:24; 798:12; 816:8; 826:7; 842:21; 867:3; 877:24; 883:23; 885:1 looking [29] 669:20, 23; 713:1; 733:13, 20; 770:22; 778:17; 779:15; 781:22; 791:20; 802:11; 809:24;

856:8; 861:17; 867:17; 869:21; 870:17; 871:17; 873:13, 17; 887:24; 933:24; 934:10; 962:14 looks [8] 732:13; 733:14, 21, 22; 756:13; 782:19; 922:8, loosely [1] 837:24 lose [3] 692:20; 735:21; 902:6 lost [3] 664:4, 5; 687:8 lot [59] 669:2; 673:21; 676:13; 689:10, 11; 692:7, 8; 693:14; 696:12; 697:3; 702:8; 703:4; 704:18, 23; 710:7, 8; 713:6; 733:4; 742:21, 22; 751:4; 752:18; 754:8; 761:18; 762:3; 763:22; 766:1; 770:4; 773:17; 774:2; 791:23; 794:7, 9; 795:10; 797:18; 806:17; 809:3; 810:24; 811:24; 813:23; 818:1; 822:20, 22; 855:2, 3; 857:14; 863:17; 865:15, 17; 866:24; 869:4; 871:8; 874:6, 12; 888:8; 956:12; 962:10 lots [1] 948:8 loud [1] 815:8 low [5] 664:15; 674:9; 718:24; 794:3; 829:2 lower [1] 847:12 lunch [2] 677:2; 833:1

- M -

ma'am [5] 692:4, 8; 694:10; 696:17; 953:1 machine [6] 663:16; 672:16; 674:1, 5, 10; 701:4 made [43] 652:12; 677:11, 20; 683:1; 686:12, 17; 712:13; 720:4; 729:5; 730:14; 741:1; 751:24; 780:6; 804:6; 829:9; 830:14; 836:8; 845:9, 10; 847:5; 851:21; 873:11; 875:9; 876:17; 878:24; 901:9; 906:5; 917:2, 4; 925:8; 926:10; 928:9; 933:17; 936:13; 937:12; 942:16; 946:23; 949:9, 21; 950:7, 10; 954:10; 955:10 mail [4] 685:2; 959:10; 960:4, 22 mailed [3] 801:7; 917:24; **main** [4] 679:17; 681:13; 682:14; 897:9 maintain [6] 656:3; 734:17, 19; 763:10; 798:20; 866:16 maintained [2] 842:20; 927:11 maintaining [4] 865:10; 826:11; 841:12, 20; 847:20, 24;

866:3, 6; 887:17 **maintenance** [3] 734:16; 837:23; 838:14 major [5] 739:23; 811:3; 854:11, 12; 896:13 majority [4] 667:19; 668:8; 738:23; 739:11 man [1] 672:15 manage [1] 653:21 management [33] 668:10, 13, 22; 703:22; 737:22, 24; 742:16; 747:4, 5; 784:16; 786:9, 19; 788:6; 789:8; 791:19: 792:24: 793:14: 820:16; 831:8, 9, 11; 848:10, 17, 20; 855:18; 856:11, 17, 19; 857:10: 859:16: 887:14: 933:13 manager [15] 653:16, 19; 654:24; 696:15; 703:13; 730:5;

737:13; 741:9; 796:13; 800:4;

838:1; 841:10; 869:15; 918:6;

managing [1] 703:14 manner [2] 682:11 manufacture [1] 676:22 manufacturer [1] 904:4 many [32] 656:1; 662:11; 666:23; 698:16; 700:22; 701:1, 3, 6, 7; 706:13; 725:9; 738:7; 752:15; 755:2; 759:7; 771:13; 778:18; 856:20; 859:19, 22; 861:6; 865:21; 883:10, 12; 889:11; 891:18; 897:8; 899:16, 23; 919:21; 938:11; 949:1 map [43] 656:16, 17, 19; 715:17; 716:6, 19; 764:4; 768:7; 770:18, 23; 771:9; 860:16, 19, 20, 24; 861:1, 13, 17; 864:4; 867:6; 871:7, 11, 15, 16, 18, 20; 872:9, 24; 873:7; 876:11, 13, 19, 21; 877:2, 22, 24; 878:3; 888:6; 889:17; 890:2, 8

876:1 march [1] 784:15 mark [3] 768:11; 769:3;

maps [3] 715:22; 870:7;

marked [22] 656:13; 658:5; 665:6; 669:24; 684:8, 9; 685:6; 694:22; 768:14; 775:12; 782:7; 788:2; 795:18; 798:5; 800:18; 802:18; 809:13; 827:3; 870:21; 876:19; 900:5; 926:24 marks [1] 768:23 martens [4] 684:13; 798:9; 835:21; 836:5 marvin [1] 944:16 mast [8] 671:4; 672:9, 18, 24; 673:4; 674:16; 675:19;

676:4

master's [1] 762:13 material [24] 689:9: 692:18; 729:9, 16; 746:1; 755:13, 14; 818:8; 865:3; 874:6, 10, 13, 15, 16, 22; 875:17: 888:9, 15, 20, 23; 889:1; 893:1, 11, 15 materials [3] 654:24; 655:20; 689:11 matter [16] 652:7; 669:1; 727:4; 868:17; 895:7; 909:3; 914:2; 927:1, 3; 928:18; 929:19; 935:17, 22; 941:4; 944:5: 951:7 matters [3] 682:1; 830:5; 928:17 maximum [9] 749:13; 870:8, 18; 871:3, 22, 23; 873:18, 20; 893:9 mba [1] 654:22 mcswiggin [1] 808:2 mean [53] 653:18, 24; 654:1; 657:15; 658:3; 665:1; 669:1; 672:19; 679:1; 696:13, 24; 697:11; 701:3; 704:18; 721:12; 724:15; 727:5; 731:4; 734:21; 735:18; 738:17; 742:6, 8; 752:8, 18, 19; 755:13; 759:6; 772:5; 777:1; 823:6; 828:19; 845:7; 870:24; 871:2; 872:11, 16; 873:1; 875:21; 876:22; 879:22; 880:17; 893:6; 911:10; 914:13; 918:3; 921:6; 927:24; 939:9; 946:20, 21; 956:22 meaning [1] 954:6 means [2] 711:10; 917:12 meant [2] 885:13; 924:22

measure [3] 743:7; 772:3; 816:11

measurement [3] 815:13, 14; 816:7 measures [7] 819:12, 17; 843:24; 845:20; 846:2; 904:16; 905:22

measuring [1] 815:15 mechanism [1] 791:7 mechanisms [1] 791:20 meet [8] 682:5; 761:19; 791:7; 794:18; 900:14; 916:2; 933:8, 9

meeting [38] 680:24; 681:2, 5, 8, 10, 20; 711:13, 24; 712:5, 7, 9, 10, 20; 713:5, 12, 18; 747:21; 748:2, 5, 7; 808:10, 12; 809:1; 829:5; 830:2, 6, 14; 832:1, 11; 851:6; 852:11; 924:15, 19, 22; 925:1, 20; 932:15; 934:4

meetings [2] 781:6, 10 mehalic [1] 725:15 mehalic's [1] 964:3

melt [3] 825,4, 5, 8 member [2] 737:24; 925:18 members [1] 951:22 memo [2] 911:7; 921:21 mention [7] 741:1: 831:22; 838:11, 12, 18; 943:3; 951:21 mentioned [6] 838:9; 850:12, 16, 18; 866:14; 880:10 mercaptan [1] 707:20 message [1] 683:16 met [3] 663:7; 775:6; 781:2 meter [1] 865:21 meters [2] 816:2, 3 methane [6] 705:17: 786:13; 787:14, 17, 20, 21 method [2] 835;3; 847;21 methods [2] 806:16; 814:3 metro [1] 899:14 michelle [1] 650:13 michigan [5] 761:12; 762:8; 763:4, 7; 786:22 mid [4] 734:13; 904:21; 926:10: 945:22 middle [18] 675:17; 678:7, 14; 695:14; 696:11; 697:1; 733:15, 21; 736:20; 764:22; 791:2; 795:4; 821:9; 824:15, 23; 848:12; 881:2; 945:12 might [30] 678:16; 710:21; 716:13; 720:13; 730:1, 5; 737:6, 9, 20, 21; 740:23; 749:22; 760:4; 769:22, 23; 770:18; 772:10; 773:5; 774:3, 10, 11; 777:5; 812:5; 821:7; 871:11; 922:19, 20; 938:10; 955:6 mile [3] 763:18; 891:2, 3 milliliters [2] 822:1; 881.7 million [3] 790:3, 6; 791:11

millions [1] 889:12 milwaukee [2] 799:2; 921:12 mind [2] 830:4; 922:16

minimize [3] 818:11, 20; 891:22 minimum [2] 794:17; 891:19

minor [3] 854:10; 896:11; 923:2

minute [13] 721:23; 752:24; 768:13, 18; 809:23; 823:14; 848:15; 877:17, 22; 880:19; 907:9; 920:8; 922:17 minutes [6] 672:22; 674:2, 13, 17; 681:24; 687:20

missed [1] 793:8 missing [1] 964:3 780:10 938:11

mississippi [4] 763:15, 19; 766:8; 773:21 mistaken [2] 680:12; mixing [1] 936:3 mixture [1] 820:9 mobile [2] 672:16, 20 **mod** [81] 680:5, 7, 23; 682:10, 13, 23; 683:7, 21; 711:17; 712:12; 713:15; 716:12, 13; 747:22, 24; 774:17; 775:22; 776:5, 7, 22, 23; 778:5, 22; 779:7; 780:21; 781:13, 16; 782:3, 6; 784:7; 820:14, 15, 17, 18: 830:24: 831:3, 12: 846:18: 847:6: 849:1, 3: 850:8, 20: 851:7, 15; 852:1, 16; 853:6, 13, 15, 20; 854:19; 855:6, 9, 17, 21; 872:12; 873:24; 875:8; 890:1, 6; 909:6, 15, 21; 910:21; 913:22; 914:7, 21; 915:19, 23; 916:21; 917:1, 2, 10, 18; 919:7; 924:21; 932:15; 934:17; mode [1] 672:8 models [1] 682:2 modification [16] 775:16; 818:16; 847:6; 872:11; 887:5; 925:8; 926:9, 23; 927:5; 929:12; 933:1, 12; 934:1; 937:1; 938:9 modified [1] 802:22 mods [2] 762:4; 777:18 moisture [4] 772:13; 869.5, 7, 9 moment [2] 797:2; 934:24 monday [3] 940:21; 941:12, 14 money [4] 704:23; 706:22; 792:22; 854:14 monitor [14] 801:11, 13; 802:7; 804:19, 23; 845:20; 846:4; 880:1; 882:24; 883:13; 930:15; 931:1, 10, 15 monitorable [2] 881:15, monitored [5] 842:20; 879:8, 12, 15; 882:12 monitoring [47] 682:2; 685:9; 686:2, 4; 762:3; 783:20; 784:5; 797:22; 802:14; 804:21; 806:8; 830:23; 831:2; 846:9; 878:24; 879:1, 4, 23; 883:15; 897:22; 915:3, 10, 17, 23;

916:5, 11, 15, 16; 929:9, 10, 16,

17, 21; 930:1, 8, 12, 14, 18, 19;

931:9, 17, 22; 932:1, 2, 8, 20;

month [4] 666:12, 14;

month's [1] 759:1

monthly [1] 676:15

938:3

683:10; 750:2

months [12] 659:1; 667:18; 668:1; 712:7; 720:23; 749:6; 792:6; 845:5, 6, 14; 876:21; 935:1 morning [10] 675:14; 676:17, 20; 678:3; 679:1; 708:20; 800:15; 839:5; 940:6, morning's [1] 677:13 most [25] 654:7; 704:3; 765:13; 766:4; 767:17; 769:20, 24; 773:5; 776:3; 799:7; 817:5; 819:24; 820:3, 13; 823:6; 825:17, 23; 848:13; 855:7; 885:1, 3; 889:3; 895:21; **mostly** [5] 765:10: 769:17: 896:12; 946:24 motion [8] 652:10, 23; 961:4, 18; 962:6, 8, 18; 963:4 motions [1] 961:22 mounded [2] 752:6, 8 move [35] 659:4; 661:2; 672:14, 15; 674:5, 10, 12, 18; 678:6; 680:4; 686:22; 688:8; 702:6; 716:6; 724:15; 779:13, 23; 780:16; 785:23; 832:19; 863:19; 874:22; 875:19, 20, 22; 876:5, 6; 878:5, 12, 17; 889:8; 923:8; 927:14; 961:10; 962:24 moved [11] 657:6; 658:1; 660:20; 672:21, 23; 674:1, 6; 692:22; 724:4, 13, 15 moving [6] 673:3; 689:21; 699:18; 754:2; 874:16; 878:18 msl [2] 870:23, 24 **much** [30] 662:23; 677:10; 692:21; 705:18; 719:15; 720:17; 736:4, 9; 755:14; 759:20; 764:7; 772:13; 792:21; 815:6; 822:2; 853:5; 862:10; 865:23; 880:19; 889:6, 8; 891:2; 892:20; 925:9; 945:16; 947:24; 948:5, 6; 959:21; 964:18 mud [3] 818:3, 11; 820:9 muddy [5] 677:10; 734:5, 20; 755:17 muffler [2] 904:4, 5 mufflers [2] 904:7, 8 munie [7] 651:6; 681:16; 683:14; 923:11, 19; 924:2; must [2] 935:20; 961:23 myself [5] 663:12; 681:11; 796:10; 822:13; 841:7

- N -

nail [1] 811:14 name [10] 653:10; 658:12;

761:1; 791:3; 822:20; 838:19; 894:18; 923:24; 924:2; 944:16 narrative [2] 722:9; 723:7 natural [8] 659:15; 661:20, 23; 707:20; 723:20; 763:23; 771:12; 787:15 nature [1] 813:22 near [6] 663:21: 686:12: 733:4; 746:22; 757:3; 833:15 nearest [1] 898:22 nearly [3] 665:3; 841:22; necessarily [12] 660:5, 19: 673:6; 728:22; 747:20; 784:4: 814:20: 821:9: 863:4: 874:24; 889:6, 24 necessary [4] 761:21: 842:23: 848:18: 851:24 need [25] 652:16; 659:7; 660:23; 706:16; 723:6; 755:14; 778:9; 787:6; 819:22; 840:2; 847:9; 848:19; 875:20; 889:5, 14; 903:4; 929:8; 951:21; 952:20; 960:7, 24; 961:3, 16; 963:11, 22 needed [21] 660:21; 661:5; 669:10, 12; 713:3, 7; 725:2; 791:19; 810:8; 819:13; 839:3; 847:8; 849:13; 851:10; 852:15; 854:4, 7, 9; 864:14; 868:19; 889:23 needing [1] 910:24 needs [3] 689:9; 734:16; negate [2] 779:17; 780:3 negotiated [1] 832:14 negotiating [2] 792:9, 15 negotiation [1] 830:3 negotiations [10] 792:1, 3, 7, 16, 18, 19; 793:21; 830:5; 831:21 neighbor [2] 685:15; 737:11 neighboring [1] 741:24 neighbors [6] 738:19; 761:19; 770:17; 842:1; 955:17; 956:12 neither [3] 706:4; 935:8 nelson [1] 904:5 nested [1] 685:21 never [27] 669:7; 708:21; 739:3; 741:20; 798:13; 801:7; 802:15; 803:2, 3; 804:2; 806:3, 6; 807:6, 21; 824:24; 836:9, 10; 839:20; 843:16; 858:3; 878:24; 946:5; 956:22; 961:2 **new** [25] 664:10; 674:21; 758:17, 20; 778:4; 790:4; 791:4; 793:6, 7; 806:23; 808:12; 826:18; 829:22; 850:8;

938:2: 943:17 next [16] 672:21; 675:9, 14; 678:3; 679:8; 701:22; 708:20; 749:16; 760:17; 778:21; 785:5; 801:13; 867:4; 946:13; 947:18, **nice** [3] 858:18; 951:11, 12 nicole [1] 737:18 night [27] 671:24; 674:19; 677:16; 678:8, 11, 14, 17, 24; 698:9, 14; 699:14, 21, 24; 700:1, 8, 16; 701:2; 703:5, 8; 704:16; 710:19, 22; 711:7; 730:8; 947:12, 18, 20 nightmare [1] 728:17 nights [4] 698:16; 700:22; 701:1; 708:16 nikki [5] 709:15: 800:13: 822:14; 825:22; 828:19 **nine** [5] 680:16; 681:21; 682:14, 20; 916:12 nitrogen [1] 786:14 noble [11] 794:24; 795:10, 14; 860:20; 861:1, 11; 867:7, 12; 868:6; 889:20; 890:8 nobody [2] 676:15; 802:14 noise [1] 904:2 **non** [1] 718:1 nondisposal [1] 715:6 **none** [2] 709:3; 958:23 nonwaste [26] 660:1, 11, 16; 714:21, 23; 715:8, 9; 717:12, 20; 719:7; 721:15; 722:19; 726:3, 5, 17; 727:1, 13, 20; 728:24; 729:4, 10; 730:9, 12; 748:17; 749:18; 752:2 nor [4] 928:16; 935:8, 9; 965:13 north [27] 658:16; 659:18; 660:6; 661:24; 662:1; 667:9; 679:19; 695:1; 715:11; 722:20, 22; 764:15, 19; 765:5, 23; 766:8, 10, 11; 767:2; 769:9; 770:2; 771:1; 772:19; 834:9; 862:2; 891:5; 955:3 north-south [2] 766:3, 4 northeast [13] 657:7; 658:23; 659:15; 660:4, 6; 666:21; 671:2; 693:18; 715:10; 770:8; 771:10; 873:5; 888:8 northern [5] 722:18; 723:4, 10, 24; 732:15 northrup [158] 650:7, 8, 19, 20, 22, 23; 651:2, 5, 7, 8, 10, 12, 13; 652:4, 6, 9; 653:4, 9; 665:23; 669:19; 686:22; 687:15, 22; 688:5, 8, 10, 13; 697:17; 705:1, 3; 710:16; 714:9; 716:8, 15; 722:13; 742:13, 15; 757:24; 760:11, 13, 19, 24; 768:15; 775:24; 776:17; 777:6, 9, 11, 24; 778:7, 891:11

14, 20; 779:22; 780:1, 6, 17; 782:21; 785:12, 23; 788:23; 796:18; 803:7, 13, 18, 21; 804:6; 810:1, 4; 814:24; 830:10, 11; 831:24; 832:22; 835:19; 836:7, 11; 855:22; 870:15; 876:10; 877:4, 10, 14; 878:12; 885:23, 24; 886:3; 892:7; 893:16; 894:5, 10, 12, 17; 904:24; 906:22, 24; 907:7, 15; 908:2; 909:13; 910:3, 8, 10, 11, 16; 911:4, 6, 13; 912:6, 15, 19; 917:15, 17; 920:4, 15; 921:5, 21, 24; 922:3, 5, 10, 23; 923:12; 927:20; 932:12, 14; 934:19; 936:15, 16, 18; 939:5, 17: 942:10, 12: 948:4: 950:9, 18; 951:3; 952:8, 15; 953:7; 955:19; 958:11, 16; 959:11, 17, 19, 21, 24; 960:6, 18, 21; 961:1; 962:5, 16, 19; 963:9, 12 northrup's [3] 733:2; 882:22; 959:9 northwest [19] 657:6; 658:22; 659:16; 661:14; 666:20; 690:1, 11; 693:18; 694:9, 12; 732:16; 746:20; 766:13; 767:20; 811:18; 833:17; 834:12; 862:4; 866:23 notary [1] 965:18 notations [1] 950:6 note [1] 949:24 noted [4] 714:23; 715:2; 717:22; 845:3 **notes** [2] 945:10; 965:10 nothing [4] 682:23; 926:6; 950:20; 958:8 nothing's [1] 772:12 **notice** [7] 649:16; 717:1; 802:21; 807:20; 920:12; 947:11; 955:15 noticed [2] 711:2; 954:22 notification [2] 886:20; 917:24 notified [2] 909:22; 917:22 notify [5] 747:23; 886:16; 934:11, 15; 937:21 **notre** [1] 896:10 november [20] 691:4; 712:4; 732:23; 779:3; 785:6; 853:23; 878:9; 887:11; 903:13; 908:7; 917:5; 935:5; 945:3, 9, 11, 12; 946:10; 948:16, 20, 22 **npdes** [34] 658:7; 659:7; 686:2; 690:4; 694:15, 23; 754:18; 758:6, 10; 759:3, 15; 798:18; 801:12; 802:22; 804:2, 23; 806:8; 807:3, 8; 808:12; 825:14, 17; 827:19; 835:7; 837:8; 879:14; 880:22; 883:12; 884:7; 885:3, 12; 886:6, 10;

875:8, 11; 882:4; 883:12, 14,

22; 884;23; 891;11; 898;8;

number [45] 656:14; 658:12; 687:3; 703:24; 704:5; 714:7, 16; 716:7, 18; 720:19; 739:6; 744:7; 753:2; 754:16, 24: 768:21: 775:13: 780:19: 783:3; 784:24; 785:1, 8; 786:6; 789:5; 790:4; 800:18; 801:12; 804:13; 807:2; 808:20; 809:17; 827:13; 828:14; 835:20; 845:4; 865:24; 868:18; 870:22; 876:14; 877:13; 891:14; 908:13; 928:11, 21; 931:13 numbers [10] 771:10: 861:15: 862:5, 6: 871:8, 16, 18: 873:18: 898:5: 952:10 numerous [3] 829:11: 946:23: 947:4 nutshell [1] 743:1

- O -

oath [4] 823:18; 908:18; 939:22; 952:24 **object** [16] 665:21; 674:21; 678:18; 756:5; 776:10; 790:8; 804:3; 805:10; 830:1, 8; 831:18, 22; 832:16; 909:13, 14; 927:20 objected [4] 912:4, 5; 913:13: 920:10 objecting [1] 909:23 objection [21] 686:24; 688:12; 705:1, 3; 776:9; 778:3; 782:23; 786:1, 3; 789:1; 796:21, 23; 803:10; 814:20; 832:8; 876:9, 10; 878:15; 908:3; 923:13; 927:17 **obligation** [1] 858:11 observation [1] 725:10 observe [4] 699:17; 700:12; 708:19; 947:18 observed [9] 706:6; 707:11; 722:20, 22, 24; 723:3; 773:22; 774:13; 880:4 observing [2] 725:21; obtain [10] 656:9; 779:13; 790:12, 23; 802:4; 824:8, 12; 848:15; 869:2; 890:3 obtained [3] 802:6; 824:13, 14 obvious [2] 664:12; 829:1 **obviously** [11] 662:2, 7; 663:10; 665:1; 671:13; 675:20; 693:13; 725:1; 734:20; 876:14; 920:12 occasion [3] 675:4; 737:15; 950:4 occasionally [1] 817:11 occasions [7] 703:7;

750:9; 810:16; 823:7; 946:23;

947:5; 949:6 occupation [1] 923:24 **OCCUT** [1] 942:9 occurred [5] 686:13; 703:8; 749:6; 896:22; 945:4 occurring [2] 771:12; **OCCURS** [2] 915:16; 947:7 october [35] 660:2; 712:13; 715:3; 718:23; 728:16, 20; 745:11; 779:16; 781:14; 782:12; 790:1; 794:1; 799:15; 839:5; 849:4; 853:21; 854:2; 909:2: 911:2: 917:6, 8: 924:6: 926:10, 11: 931:6, 7: 933:17: 935:1; 937:4, 9, 12, 13, 16; 938:2: 947:7 **odor** [10] 684:12; 709:23; 710:4; 747:7, 9, 11, 18; 798:8; 835:21; 836:4 odors [16] 707:7, 9, 12, 14; 708:5, 11; 709:18; 710:9; 747:15; 941:1; 942:6; 953:13; 957:3, 5, 8, 12 off-site [2] 685:8; 849:14 offer [15] 688:8; 775:24; 782:22; 788:23; 796:18; 803:7; 908:1; 913:16; 920:14, 17, 23; 923:1; 961:3, 12, 21 offered [1] 870:12 offers [1] 961:8 office [25] 665:16, 21; 666:15; 676:18; 680:1; 709:16; 712:9; 737:10; 843:7; 857:19; 901:6; 910:11; 911:7, 14; 930:6; 936:8; 951:12; 952:19; 959:9, 10; 960:8, 17; 961:10; 963:16, 20 officer [180] 649:19; 652:1, 8, 13, 24; 665:12; 666:11; 669:21; 670:11; 674:20, 23; 675:2; 684:24; 686:24; 687:2, 17, 21, 24; 688:3, 7, 9, 11, 14; 698:22; 705:5; 714:1, 4, 14; 716:16; 717:7; 718:13; 721:18, 21; 722:2; 727:6; 742:13; 753:24; 756:8; 758:2; 760:11, 14, 17; 768:17, 20; 769:6; 770:20; 776:2, 8; 777:9, 21; 778:1, 8, 12, 15, 23, 24; 779:21; 780:2, 15, 18; 782:23; 783:1; 785:10; 786:1, 4; 788:24; 789:3; 790:10; 796:20; 797:1, 4; 803:9; 804:5, 11; 805:20; 807:11; 815:5; 816:5; 823:13, 17; 825:7; 828:11; 830:10, 18; 832:8, 20, 24; 833:3; 870:16; 871:14; 872:20; 876:4, 8; 877:2, 8, 11; 878:2, 6, 10; 885:23; 892:9; 894:5, 7, 10; 901:19, 22; 903:4, 6; 906:22; 907:2, 5, 8, 12, 16; 908:4, 10,

16; **910**:1, **13**; **911**:12, **16**, **19**; 912:3, 6, 11, 17, 21; 913:6, 18; 917:15; 920:6, 7, 20; 921:2; 922:12, 18, 22; 923:3, 4, 8, 15; 927:16: 928:19: 932:11: 934:21; 936:15; 939:18, 21; 947:10; 948:2; 950:21, 23; 951:2; 952:13, 16, 23; 953:2; 958:17, 19, 21, 24; 959:3, 20, 22; 960:2, 12, 15, 19; 961:7, 19; 962:9, 17, 20; 963:2, 10, 15; 964:6, 9, 14 official (7) 775:9: 917:20: 927:7; 928:13; 947:10; 951:17 often [6] 743:21, 22; 749:8, 12; 772:7; 773:22 oil [2] 679:7; 819:21 oiling [2] 819:19; 820:4 oils [1] 839:24 old [3] 874:17; 884:19; 888:7 on-site [9] 792:21; 795:11; 820:24; 838:23; 850:19; 852:4, 6; 867:18; 956:4 once [15] 674:5; 704:16; 705:21; 708:3; 743:3; 744:3; 750:5; 759:6; 832:16; 848:1; 856:19; 874:22; 911:10; 929:6; 935:14 one [161] 652:6; 661:19; 662:12, 21, 23; 665:18; 670:13; 671:5; 672:15; 673:6, 16; 674:8; 676:15, 19, 22; 677:11, 19, 21; 679;12; 680;11; 681:21; 684:22; 685:16; 688:5; 690:5; 693:23; 695:20, 21; 697:10; 698:19; 699:24; 702:10; 706:11, 22; 708:21; 709:3, 14, 16; 712:10; 713:1, 5; 714:6, 9, 10, 11; 715:22; 719:22; 727:23; 732:10; 733:1; 737:1, 24; 741:18; 742:21; 743:14, 17, 22; 744:7, 19; 748:11, 13, 14; 749:16; 751:23; 752:23; 753:22; 755:3; 756:2, 19; 763:6, 7; 769:4, 7; 770:16; 771:6; 774:19, 21, 22; 776:18; 777:1; 778:20, 21; 784:15, 23; 786:2, 16; 791:18; 792:1; 793:6, 7; 795:2, 4; 797:18; 799:1, 23; 800:12; 804:19; 806:5; 809:4; 811:3; 815:9, 15; 816:23; 817:7; 818:2; 823:8; 825:5, 8, 10; 828:14; 829:12, 15; 832:6; 833:19; 845:3; 852:18; 864:19; 865:11, 15; 866:24; 868:18; 870:6, 21; 871:11; 872:10, 23; 881:1; 882:21; 883:14; 884:17; 888:16; 889:10; 890:2; 897:9; 900:13; 903:14; 906:18; 912:19; 915:1; 919:5, 9; option [1] 847.24

925:16; 933:11; 936:5, 7; 939:15; 943:9; 949:10; 950:4; 951:20; 952:15; 954:23; 955:17: 958:12: 963:12 one's [3] 670:12; 685:20 one-man [1] 702:11 one-third [1] 743:8 one-ton [1] 677:8 Ones [12] 708:12; 752:18, 19; 778:19; 784:13; 838:13; 847:13; 863:15; 873:13; 883:24; 898:5; 952:18 ongoing (101 787:5, 7: 810:10; 814:7; 817:8; 837:9; 842:18; 846:16; 869:13; 889:2 only [31] 674:8; 682:11; 692:9, 19; 694:18; 702:7; 703:7; 707:20; 709:13; 713:1; 728:13; 730:21; 731:3; 748:1; 753:10; 759:8; 772:9; 805:24; 819:24; 821:7; 829:20; 850:11; 860:1; 879:14; 890:16; 891:18; 893:19; 932:5; 933:11; 938:8; open [23] 677:6; 705:19; 743:14; 744:10; 765:23; 769:24; 814:3; 815:13; 816:3, 6, 9; 912:8; 941:9, 11, 19, 24; 942:5, 18; 943:4, 7; 956:7; 960:17, 18 opened [5] 684:21; 830:16; 831:24; 832:2, 5 opening [1] 830:12 openings [1] 958:6 opens [1] 685:2 operate [1] 661:1 operated [2] 711:5, 6 operating [16] 672:8; 678:15, 17; 703:9; 711:10; 758:16; 797:13, 14, 15, 19; 799:11; 802:2; 818:22; 841:10; 886:13 operation [6] 666:4; 678:4; 857:9; 954:5, 22, 24 operational [3] 669:3; 798:1; 848:11 operations [11] 669:16; 670:21; 698:9; 735:11; 762:14; 819:18; 822:23; 837:10; 888:23: 895:5: 896:3 operator [5] 671:16; 785:1, 2; 858:22; 895:4 opinion [10] 730:4; 741:24; 776:22; 824:6; 888:1, 3; 914:2, 21; 915:7; 916:4 opportunity [16] 652:21; 808:8; 821:17; 877:19; 909:6, 18, 22; 910:4; 912:7; 913:22; 918:3; 921:7, 10, 14; 928:1, 4 opposed [3] 712:18; 834:22; 920:9

options [3] 812:19; 814:9; 847:18 orally (2) 878:16; 961:23 order [30] 659:4, 7; 662:4; 670:13: 682:10; 688:20; 796:2; 840:8, 13, 23, 24; 841:4, 22; 842:7, 9; 843:5, 12; 845:18; 863:6; 897:3; 900:8, 10, 14, 15, 22, 23; 902:14; 905:6, 15 ordered [3] 842:9; 845:19; organic [3] 847:22; 897:23; 916:13 original [28] 687:6, 9; 711:17; 716:12; 721:19; 765:15; 768:14; 776:21; 777:22, 24; 778:21; 780:21; 782:2, 6; 796:16; 820:17; 825:15; 843:5; 849:2; 872:12, 18; 873:24; 889:19; 903:2; 905:5; 906:11; 919:7 originally [8] 713:9; 726:12; 761:12; 765:10; 788:12; 821:12; 889:18; 890:5 osha [1] 655:14 other [66] 652:16; 654:21; 660:11; 667:7, 17, 20; 668:1; 672:12; 673:16; 689:19; 697:10; 702:11; 709:22; 720:1; 729:13, 17; 731:5, 8; 735:8; 738:19; 740:15; 754:6; 784:21; 786:14: 787:2; 800:9; 806:11, 13; 809:2; 813:15; 814:8; 818:2, 4, 17; 820:4; 823:2, 9, 11; 830:20; 832:11; 833:23; 834:1, 4; 850:17, 18; 863:15; 866:2, 4, 15; 868:18; 869:24; 885:4; 888:19; 890:23; 907:5; 915:1; 919:16; 923:5; 932:10; 939:16; 947:2; 950:3, 23; 958:21; 960:23; 961:8 others [2] 681:18; 898:10 otherwise [1] 848:5 ought [1] 962:4 ourselves [2] 866:18; outcome [1] 795:22 outdoor [1] 819:12 outfall [22] 767:21; 801:11, 13, 20, 22; 802:8; 811:9; 820:21; 824:13; 825:21; 835:7; 878:24; 879:1, 6, 8, 24; 880:8, 9; 882:11, 19; 884:21 outfalls [9] 769:4; 801:15, 20; 826:11; 883:10, 12, 16; 885:19; 904:19 outputs [1] 751:9 outside [7] 679:11, 24; 730:18, 21; 736:14; 831:6; 851:23 over [43] 656:3; 668:5;

674:16; 695:10; 700:22; 701:6;

707:13; 709:6, 8; 717:19; 732:24; 738:14, 22; 740:10; 742:5; 744:12, 13; 749:6; 763:23; 764:12; 766:17; 769:23; 777:4; 802:12; 811:18; 812:20; 813:7; 814:6; 821:4; 829:24; 845:13; 865:4; 866:5; 871:12; 872:1; 885:4; 895:24; 915:12, 13; 918:17; 960:4; 963.5 overexcavated [1] 692:9 overfill [5] 877:19; 901:15; 902:4, 7; 946:14 overheight [4] 872:1; 874:5: 893:2 overlooking [1] 763:15 overnight [1] 675:6 overrule [2] 878:14; 913:15 **OVERSAW** [1] 851:12 overseeing [4] 668:23; 670:21; 719:22; 863:2 overt [1] 936:2 OWE [1] 854:14 owed [1] 852:23 **OWN** [9] 667:11; 695:5, 11; 714:13; 784:6; 826:5; 850:7; 866:17; 952:11 owned [2] 765:9; 766:12 owner [1] 858:22 OWIS [2] 791:3; 834:8

- P -

package [1] 934:9 packet [1] 934:2 page [27] 650:18; 651:1; 656:15; 658:11; 685:17, 19; 777:5, 11; 779:1, 6, 18; 790:4; 801:9, 12; 804:15; 809:17; 810:4; 817:15, 20; 827:9; 841:20; 901:7; 902:16, 17; 903:24; 904:15; 911:11 pages [1] 685:16 paid [10] 706:17, 19, 21; 814:16, 17; 853:5; 906:2, 5, 10, paper [2] 823:15; 843:21 paragraph [12] 722:10, 12; 777:12, 16; 779:1, 6, 18; 801:14; 843:2; 904:1, 9, 14 parameter [1] 916:16 parameters [5] 884:10; 916:11, 13; 930:11, 14 part [38] 703:14; 729:5, 9, 16; 739:8; 745:18; 746:21; 751:20; 765:13, 20; 767:3, 4; 769:24; 785:5; 799:7; 802:11; 815:8; 817:5; 819:13, 15; 820:15; 829:13; 842:8; 848:3; 855:7; 858:12; 859:4; 861:11;

866:8; 867:13; 913:8; 940:8, 9; 909:10: 919:12, 20 946:5: 951:19: 961:11: 962:3 partially [1] 943:14 participate [2] 929:7; 930:4 participated [1] 930:16 particles [1] 817:18 particular [6] 725:10, 23; 811:3; 904:8; 934:2; 942:20 particularly [1] 844:20 parties [4] 911:23; 930:7; 952:6; 965:12 parts [2] 669:12; 900:14 passed [2] 654:23: 919:19 past [6] 753:19; 769:19, 20, 21; 889:10; 943:11 pasture [2] 770:1, 16 pathway [1] 817:1 **DAV** [4] 706:9, 10; 852:23; 885:10 paying [1] 706:20 payment [1] 906:6 **pcb** [4] 649:7; 652:3; 776:22; 777:16 pe [2] 788:9; 795:8 penalty [9] 831:17, 19, 20, 22; 832:3, 12; 906:6 pending [2] 713:22; 714:6 peninsula [2] 726:23 people [30] 649:5; 652:2; 706:3; 709:12, 15; 710:8; 740:17; 744:17; 756:2, 3; 766:14; 800:9, 11; 802:2; 816:1; 821:1; 838:9; 852:3; 857:19; 858:1; 921:14; 954:23; 955:2, 7, 8, 23; 956:3; 958:23; 959:22; 962:10 people's [53] 651:14, 15, 16; 656:14; 658:6; 669:19, 24; 684:9; 694:23; 697:16; 698:22; 704:4; 715:23; 716:2, 18; 722:5; 733:7; 736:16; 764:2; 768:21; 783:8, 23; 784:11, 24; 789:11; 798:5, 16; 800:18; 801:5; 804:15; 810:1; 827:3; 834:1; 840:11; 860:18; 867:6; 872:24; 873:1, 9, 15; 876:7; 877:13; 879:7; 887:24; 889:18; 900:5; 902:12; 907:21; 908:13; 928:21; 959:5; 962:6 per [8] 760:5, 6; 804:19, 21; 806:8; 884:19, 20, 21 percent [17] 687:9; 726:13; 773:7; 774:6, 7; 786:13, 16; 788:16; 794:10, 16; 799:8; 822:21, 24; 823:1, 2; 852:18; 947:1 percentage [2] 693:10, 11 perfectly [1] 933:21 perforated [1] 743:9 **perform** [9] 790:15; 839:23; 852:3, 4; 867:10, 24;

performance [2] 851:20; 915:17 performed [8] 800:21; 827:6; 851:13; 854:12; 868:19; 872:13: 890:17: 893:20 performing [7] 795:7; 837:22; 838:13; 851:24; 853:12; 867:16; 868:7 performs [1] 838:4 perhaps [1] 953:12 perimeter [8] 810:9; 815:2; 816:17, 22; 817:6, 16, 22; 891:4 period [24] 656:3; 668:9; 738:14; 740:10; 749:6, 9, 11; 750:2; 751:22; 752:1; 779:10; 812:6; 829:21, 24; 845:13; 849:10; 850:5, 23; 879:1; 885:9; 919:20; 955:11; 957:1 periodic [1] 820:8 periodically [1] 826:15 permanent [1] 755:18 permeabilities [1] permeability [6] 794:4; 868:19, 23, 24; 869:10 permit [188] 658:7, 8; 659:8; 660:1, 13, 20; 661:6; 668:17, 19; 669:2; 687:6; 690:4; 694:15, 18, 23; 695:6, 7; 703:20, 24; 704:8; 705:2, 8; 706:1, 5; 707:4; 712:18; 713:17, 21; 714:5, 13, 20; 717:20; 719:7, 9; 720:2; 721:7, 16; 726:8; 728:5, 21; 729:2; 749:3; 758:6, 10, 12, 16, 17, 20; 759:15; 761:22; 774:17; 776:14, 16; 779:4, 5; 783:5, 9, 11, 17, 22; 784:3, 11, 23; 789:7, 12, 16, 22; 791:11; 797:9; 798:18, 20; 801:12, 21; 802:22, 24; 803:1, 3, 5; 804:2, 4, 19, 21, 22; 805:6, 8, 11, 19, 24; 806:3, 8, 9, 11, 15, 20, 23; 807:3, 8, 12, 14, 15, 21, 22; 808:8, 13, 17; 813:2, 4; 818:5, 9, 13; 820:23; 821:6, 23; 822:6, 7, 10, 12, 13; 823:12; 824:19; 825:15, 18, 22; 826:19; 827:19; 831:11; 835:7, 10; 837:8; 846:19; 847:19; 848:1, 15; 851:11; 854:7; 870:11, 12, 17; 879:14, 18; 880:3, 22; 881:6; 882:4, 12; 883:12, 13, 22; 884:7, 11, 19, 23; 886:6, 9, 10, 11, 15, 16, 17; 891:11; 893:6; 897:12; 898:3, 4, 19; 899:9; 901:18; 903:1, 3, 13, 18; 904:19; 918:6; 920:2; 924:3; 925:24; 928:24; 929:3; 931:4, 12, 21; 935:9, 19; 936:6, 10

permits [20] 654:3, 5; 687:6: 689:4, 19, 20: 703:17: 741:10: 761:20: 762:2: 821:12: 825:14; 895:14, 15; 896:16; 897:6; 904:16; 910:23; 936:11 permitted [12] 667:11; 722:19; 754:17; 847:7; 870:8, 18; 871:3, 22; 872:2; 873:20; 876:15; 887:8 **permitting** [3] 761:19; 927:7; 935:23 persistent [1] 742:6 person [4] 684:22; 738:1; 862:24: 913:1 personal [5] 678:21, 23; 691:16; 725:4; 961:8 personally [11] 662:12; 698:3; 699:17; 700:12; 707:11; 708:19; 709:17; 711:8; 721:2; 735:10; 745:16 **personnel** [13] 678:16; 737:22; 775:6; 797:10; 809:2; 838:23; 844:4; 851:23; 857:18; 861:23; 910:6; 946:11 pertain [1] 916:19 pertaining [1] 829:6 **pertinent** [2] 779:17; petersburg [1] 896:9 petition [1] 906:2 petty [1] 681:23 **ph** [3] 828:15, 16; 829:1 phone [8] 676:21; 683:17; 709:23; 738:16; 740:6, 13; 912:14, 22 **photo** [3] 754:10; 756:9; 874:8 photograph [24] 671:3, 12; 672:4, 23; 698:19; 700:5; 733:14, 16, 20, 21; 734:5; 736:17, 19; 753:1; 754:1, 7, 16, 21, 24; 755:20, 23; 890:20, 21 photographs [23] 665:8, 11, 14, 17; 669:20, 23; 670:2, 5, 7; 672:12; 697:15, 19, 24; 698:4; 721:19; 722:5, 6, 21, 23; 723:2; 733:12; 964:3 phs [1] 828:21 physically [1] 799:10 pick [4] 724:19, 21; 814:18; 890:22 picture [13] 674:13, 18; 677:20; 701:2; 734:17; 753:4; 754:3, 11, 13; 770:21; 780:8; 890:18, 20 pictures [12] 666:7; 674:10, 14; 677:19; 692:12; 697:12; 700:7, 24; 721:14, 16; 736:7; 749:23 **piece** [2] 663:16; 844:8 pile [1] 702:13 piles [2] 874:17; 888:11

pinneo [4] 808:1, 3; 827:7 pipe [3] 743:3, 6; 904:20 pit [1] 667:9 place [18] 669:8; 677:3; 712:9; 731:9; 756:15; 816:10; 825:20; 826:17, 19; 843:16; 863:5; 865:13; 874:23; 875:5; 889:4; 902:2; 949:12; 964:16 placed [11] 728:15; 730:9; 744:6; 811:12, 13; 814:8; 860:2, 7, 13, 14; 862:20 placement [1] 863:2 places [2] 862:17; 866:10 **plan** [68] 775:17; 782:10; 784:5, 16; 785:15; 791:19; 795:21; 796:3, 16; 798:21, 24; 799:5, 9, 10, 13, 21; 800:5, 7, 10; 808:22; 809:9, 16; 820:16; 821:16; 829:13, 16; 830:21; 831:5; 836:15; 837:7, 11, 14, 17, 19; 838:10, 16, 17; 839:2, 13, 17; 840:4, 7, 22; 841:6, 14; 842:10, 17; 843:6, 8, 11, 16, 22; 844:1, 11; 855:9; 856:4; 875:19; 886:6, 7, 9, 12, 18; 887:5, 7; 915:23; 916:5; 933:9 plane [1] 890:19 planned [1] 875:15 plans [12] 762:1; 777:2, 7; 837:6; 855:2; 875:7, 11, 22; 932:19, 20, 23 plant [2] 847:20; 865:23 planted [1] 764:20 plants [2] 773:4; 821:13 plate [4] 709:5; 744:12, 13 plates [5] 708:23; 709:6; 741:4; 943:4; 958:5 plausible [3] 957:15, 19 please [22] 705:5; 722:10; 760:17; 775:14; 782:9; 785:13; 786:2; 788:4; 795:20; 798:17; 800:19; 802:9, 20; 808:3; 827:5; 832:9, 20; 843:14; 860:5; 871:6; 901:20; 924:1 plugged [1] 856:24 **plugging** [1] 857:1 pneumatic [1] 847:15 point [31] 659:13; 665:14, 22; 694:16; 695:23; 702:24; 713:10; 719:2; 738:1; 768:7; 781:17; 790:7; 801:23; 807:4; 809:22; 810:7; 830:2, 3; 843:17; 846:3; 875:22; 878:12; 880:1; 909:9, 14; 913:6, 21; 922:12; 923:6; 927:21; 929:24 pointed [1] 882:9 pointing [1] 756:6 points [35] 680:16, 17, 22; 681:1, 21; 682:4, 14, 21; 697:9; 727:9; 758:12; 781:4, 7, 16; 784:1; 890:24; 891:2, 6, 7, 12, 15, 18, 20, 21; 892:1, 6;

918:13; 924:20; 925:14; 926:14; 932:17; 933:4, 20; 934:7 policy [1] 842:24 pollutant [1] 839:24 pollution [18] 649:1; 684:12; 798:8, 19, 21; 808:22; 809:8, 16; 831:4; 836:15; 838:10; 839:2, 7; 886:5; 916:18; 929:4; 935:17; 963:4 pond [102] 656:22; 657:2, 4, 10, 12, 15, 16, 17, 20, 24; 658:2: 661:8, 11: 662:9: 666:9. 18; 689:22; 690:3, 9, 13, 22; 691:6, 14, 24; 692:5, 9, 23; 693:3, 7; 694:2, 13; 695:9, 10, 13, 15, 17, 19, 23; 696:11, 19, 21, 22; 731:23; 732:2, 3; 733:5, 24; 734:9, 15; 735:6, 23; 736:6, 12, 17, 20; 745:20; 749:21; 750:17; 755:8, 9; 757:21; 766:13, 19, 21; 767:8, 16, 22; 768:2, 3; 770:9, 11; 773:18, 19; 811:16; 816:7; 833:9, 11, 12, 13, 16, 23; 834:3; 835:8, 14; 867:2; 943:10; 945:5, 6, 19, 23; 946:14, 21; 948:16, 17, 22; 949:5, 22 ponded [11] 658:16, 20; 659:20; 660:12; 661:7; 695:1, 3, 7; 759:13; 801:22, 24 ponds [13] 656:19, 24; 658:21; 659:3, 10; 812:14, 17, 18, 20; 813:3; 815:12, 22; 816:8 pool [1] 774:4 porta [1] 896:8 portion [27] 690:12, 18, 21; 722:8; 723:4; 729:16; 736:18; 764:12; 765:4, 19, 20; 775:22; 789:20; 794:10, 15; 811:7; 834:17, 21; 835:12; 855:5; 856:2; 862:4; 866:1, 15; 902:19; 944:1; 946:15 portions [7] 729:13; 762:4; 799:9; 813:7; 854:19, 21: 919:1 posed [1] 790:9 position [7] 672:10, 18; 674:12, 13; 675:18, 19; 896:15 positive [1] 945:9 possession [1] 665:19 possible [7] 678:16; 679:11; 705:23; 723:22; 734:22; 743:12; 891:18 possibly [11] 658:1; 661:17; 679:4, 10; 710:23; 713:14; 719:14; 830:4; 910:23; 935:15; 956:13 postclosure [11] 775:16; 782:10; 784:18; 785:3; 790:5; 829:13, 21; 855:16; 856:9;

859:5, 15 posts [1] 811:14 potential [2] 748:19; 919:5 power [1] 813:4 practical [2] 669:1; 895:7 practice [4] 686:16; 744:4; 844:12; 889:3 practices [1] 819:23 preceding [1] 792:13 precipitation [5] 659:3; 730:10; 772:10; 826:2, 7 precise [1] 937:15 predetermined [1] 743-1 preferable [1] 962:7 **prejudice** [1] 920:19 preliminary [6] 652:7; 840:12; 841:22; 842:7; 843:12; preparation [5] 840:7; 853:6; 872:10; 886:12; 889:19 prepare [12] 658:9; 782:13; 785:18; 788:7; 796:8, 9; 799:1; 848:5; 859:10, 12; 875:11 prepared [37] 684:12; 716:5; 733:8; 748:12; 775:19, 20; 782:14; 796:4; 798:8, 24; 799:2: 835:21, 24; 836:21, 23; 840:22; 841:3, 5, 15, 17; 854:24; 860:20; 861:1, 2, 3, 11; 867:7; 870:3; 873:23; 875:8; 886:6; 889:18; 890:5; 910:6; 916:5; 928:16 preparing [2] 702:12; 855:14 present [20] 650:12; 670:14; 698:3; 699:9; 710:24; 717:13; 719:18; 742:19; 750:9; 800:23; 809:1; 823:20; 844:14; 851:19; 853:10; 878:20; 927:23; 942:16; 944:18; preservatives [1] 822:5 presumably [1] 661:15 pretty [12] 692:11; 731:12; 751:5; 765:22; 770:18; 771:19, 23; 806:3; 812:9; 813:21; 825:6; 861:4 prevent [6] 730:10, 15; 731:21; 816:22; 819:20; 839:24 prevented [1] 905:20 prevention [12] 798:21; 799:21; 808:22; 809:8, 16; 819:17; 831:5; 836:15; 838:10; 839:2, 7; 886:6 prevents [3] 768:5; 818:3; 893:14 previous [11] 678:5; 722:17; 838:19; 847:1; 860:17;

862:23; 882:15; 910:19; 914:1; 941:17: 944:4 previously [12] 665:16; 669:24: 684:3: 715:22: 795:18; 809:13; 908:21; 909:1; 919:4; 928:23: 940:2: 953:4 prices [1] 885:1 primarily [1] 896:13 **prior** [47] 661:22; 662:13; 673:3; 691:8, 10, 23; 694:5; 695:22; 696:2, 6, 18; 706:12; 708:6, 8, 12; 712:10, 15; 719:7; 720:10, 16: 728:15: 740:2: 747:23; 748:2; 758:20; 786:17; 792:6; 804:24; 805:5, 12; 812:15; 826:18; 832:5; 844:1; 850:13; 865:2; 868:11; 877:3; 885:8; 915:2; 932:24; 946:9; 954:23; 955:11; 957:5; 962:15 private [3] 898:12, 17, 21 **privy** [1] 849:7 probably [53] 654:3; 655:10; 675:16; 676:23; 679:17, 18; 692:10, 11; 700:1; 708:22; 718:24; 738:15; 755:19; 763:7; 765:13; 771:21; 773:7; 774:5; 788:15; 791:17, 23; 792:1, 6; 794:9; 796:7; 799:7; 800:6, 8, 11; 822:24; 839:12, 14; 847:13, 24; 855:3, 4; 857:24; 858:4; 861:4; 867:22; 870:22; 873:6; 885:5; 892:5; 906:17; 925:2; 947:1; 949:2, 17; 950:8; 956:21; 961:19 probed [1] 890:10 problem [16] 664:13; 667:24; 669:10; 691:13; 706:2, 10; 728:18; 748:19, 20; 757:2; 811:20; 812:16; 819:24; 845:15; 846:5; 959:13 problems [27] 691:24; 693:16, 19, 21; 713:15; 793:4; 812:24; 817:7; 838:5, 6, 16; 842:1; 845:3, 23; 846:7, 14; 865:9, 15; 866:3, 8; 883:15, 19; 886:24; 887:16; 929:9; 932:24; 947:19 procedural [2] 961:1; procedurally [2] 961:20; 963:3 procedure [4] 756:15; 818:23; 820:20; 828:8 procedures [8] 741:17; 806:14; 823:4; 825:20; 826:17, 19; 925:23; 935:20 proceed [3] 743:10; 813:13; 911:24 proceeded [4] 676:23; 722:16, 24; 813:15 proceedings [3] 649:23;

964:19: 965:7 process [15] 665:15; 667:13; 763:24; 768:23; 771:15; 784:9; 787:12, 13, 16; 794:14; 810:10; 814:7, 15; 817:8; 889:2 proctor [1] 869:4 produced [2] 665:20; production [1] 665:13 productive [1] 925:3 products [5] 792:8, 11, 15, professional [6] 763:2, 3; 795:9; 896:11; 924:10; 965:17 proficiency [1] 654:23 program [13] 762:17, 18, 19; 783:12, 15, 20; 802:12, 16; 885:4; 891:17; 904:10; 931:22; programs [1] 791:5 prohibition [1] 935:23 project [19] 653:16, 19; 669:7, 14; 696:15; 703:13; 730:5; 737:13; 741:9; 787:5, 8; 811:4; 852:19; 898:7; 957:6, 8, 9; 958:1, 2 projects [8] 653:22, 23, 24; 654:2; 703:15, 18; 786:20 prompted [2] 867:24; 868:5 proof [10] 868:4; 913:17; 920:14, 17, 23; 923:1; 961:3, 9, 13. 21 proper [5] 806:15; 823:3; 830:6; 832:18; 903:8 properly [1] 725:6 properties [3] 696:8; 697:7; 869:1 property [68] 657:19; 659:17; 661:18; 664:9; 685:12, 15; 690:11, 13, 18; 695:13; 699:4; 708:6; 715:6; 737:2, 3; 739:1, 9; 746:17; 764:1, 6; 765:9, 16; 766:11; 767:2, 5, 7, 9, 13, 14, 23; 769:9, 13; 770:8; 771:19; 772:4, 17, 21; 773:1, 2, 6, 7; 810:23; 812:19; 817:2, 4; 824:15; 833:15, 23; 834:2, 4, 6, 8, 12, 22; 835:12, 16, 17; 842:22; 897:17; 943:1, 12, 13; 944:2, 20, 24; 945:22 proposal [6] 831:3; 847:5; 867:19, 22; 931:9, 10 proposed [4] 784:6; 802:21; 832:1; 915:23 proposing [1] 932:5 protection [4] 650:15; 684:11; 798:7; 806:24 prove [2] 876:15; 877:18

provided [10] 665:16; 776:18; 818:20; 836:7, 9; 876:20; 910:7, 10; 916:1; 952:19 providing [3] 795:8; 858:21; 901:23 provisions [1] 837:18 proximity [2] 679:19; 898:12 **public** [3] 802:21; 951:22; 965:18 pull [2] 700:22; 847:23 pull-off [1] 675:24 pulled [6] 671:6; 701:4, 13; 707:8; 824:23; 890:12 **pulley** [4] 676:10, 13, 17; 677.3 pulls [2] 675:24; 676:10 pump [21] 659:5, 9, 12; 660:23; 661:4; 695:4; 719:14; 720:1; 731:13, 14; 749:10, 12, 13, 15, 22; 802:3; 821:19; 847:11, 16; 899:16 pumped [20] 659:19, 20; 660:12, 18, 20; 661:3; 694:19; 719:2, 7; 720:8; 729:20, 22; 750:6, 10; 751:8; 759:5, 6; 879:11; 899:13 pumping [20] 694:18, 24; 695:2; 719:19, 22, 24; 720:10, 16, 22; 731:8; 749:5, 8; 750:1, 4; 759:9; 802:1, 5; 821:18; 879:15; 899:6 pumps [2] 695:8; 847:16 **purchase** [2] 818:7 purchased [2] 771:18; 866:17 purportedly [3] 953:12; 954:2, 10 purporting [1] 926:13 purpose [13] 711:11; 754:20; 755:6; 792:18; 814:17; 843:10; 849:20; 889:17; 910:24; 921:6; 928:15; 932:16; 955:14 purposes [2] 652:15; 951:10 pursuant [12] 649:16; 660:13; 668:17; 758:17; 857:4; 880:21: 886:6; 899:9; 900:21, 23: 905:5, 14 pursue [1] 830:17 pushes [1] 701:21 put [70] 660:14; 662:1, 3, 6, 20, 21; 663:21; 664:5; 666:5; 667:5; 668:5; 671:23; 674:22; 680:23; 685:4; 694:11; 695:24; 696:22; 701:5; 702:2; 705:18; 706:6; 707:4; 713:7; 717:9; 724:14; 728:12, 14; 732:18; 735:13; 744:12, 13; 746:23, 24; 747:6; 750:21, 24; 751:2;

753:10; 757:10; 771:5; 781:24; 810:12, 18; 811:11, 20; 831:4; 838:21; 839:21; 843:20; 845:16; 847:11, 22; 857:23; 858:3; 863:7; 872:5; 876:1; 881:23; 898:8; 901:17; 902:5; 910:24; 928:7; 941:23; 943:17; 946:16; 956:9; 960:21 puts [1] 702:5 putting [5] 657:18; 663:10; 667:22; 955:14

- Q qualifications [1] 924:9 quantities [1] 819:2 quantity [1] 862:12 quarter [2] 700:1; 907:9 quarterly [2] 929:24; 931:16 question [26] 674:21; 675:1; 691:21; 697:8; 705:6; 714:2; 728:12, 15; 731:23; 739:4; 772:2; 790:9; 814:21; 830:19; 832:9, 21; 835:11; 838:13; 860:5; 885:5; 913:21; 923:5; 952:8; 954:8; 958:12; 961:1 questionable [1] 935:12 questioned [1] 839:5 questioning [2] 830:9; 922:23 questions [30] 687:16; 706:12; 710:8; 733:2; 737:6; 742:12; 756:6, 7; 758:1; 830:12; 832:23; 882:22; 886:1;

955:19; 958:16; 960:23; 962:21 **quick** [5] 758:5; 885:24; 936:16; 952:8; 953:8 **quickly** [2] 731:20; 743:12 **quinn** [6] 796:11, 12, 13; 841:8, 9, 10 **quite** [5] 772:11; 822:2; 917:7, 8; 938:23 **quote** [2] 898:4; 942:5

892:8; 894:6; 904:24; 920:5;

921:16, 17; 932:10; 934:20;

939:6, 16, 17; 950:18; 953:8;

- R -

rain [11] 662:20; 812:2, 6, 7; 819:4; 823:10; 825:6, 9; 941:10; 942:3
rained [4] 677:9; 678:1; 718:17; 772:11
rainfall [4] 668:7; 774:1; 819:4; 826:2
raining [5] 675:20, 22;

provide [6] 810:7; 850:19;

901:11; 951:12, 16; 964:4

676:18, 24; 826:6 rainstorm [2] 774:11; rainwater [1] 729:5 rainy [1] 947:8 raised [3] 790:3; 831:19; ran [3] 661:23; 745:10; 943:17 range [1] 858:6 rarely [1] 772:9 rather [3] 705:18: 947:8: 957:4 ratio [1] 825:10 rational [1] 835:3 ravine [13] 659:15, 17; 661:18, 20; 662:2; 764:13, 16; 766:17, 19; 768:2; 771:12, 19, ravines [7] 763:22; 765:6, 15, 24; 766:2; 773:2, 7 **raw** [1] 828:23 rcra [1] 938:4 reach [1] 832:13 reached [2] 682:17; read [16] 689:7; 704:1, 7; 706:8: 722:10: 723:6, 12: 809:19; 827:15, 17; 828:14; 837;8; 871;10; 901;8; 913;11; 928:12 reading [1] 815:8 reads [2] 704:8; 706:1 ready [8] 661:1; 674:1, 6; 677:1; 679:13; 847:9; 848:11; 911:24 real [11] 675:15; 679:19; 710:16; 758:5; 822:7; 828:19; 849:15; 883:18; 892:10; 896:5; realize [3] 850:1; 883:11; realized [1] 885:18 really [18] 663:8; 690:19; 697:10; 710:13; 714:4; 719:14; 724:2: 727:11: 747:13: 780:12: 822:2, 13; 867:4; 877:15, 16; 880:17: 906:21: 957:13 reapplication [2] 712:19; 853-23 reason [10] 652:16; 689:12; 709:2; 712:22; 729:2; 748:14; 830:9; 853:4; 894:2; 936:23 reasonable [6] 729:3, 8, 15, 24; 831:14; 911:9

reasons [4] 693:23; 818:1,

rebuttal [8] 666:9; 907:10;

908:14; 909:17, 20; 911:1, 5;

recall [65] 669:22; 670:1;

2; 824:7

rebut [1] 928:9

843:19; 844:18; 850:10; 852:24; 853:1; 854:6; 886:24; 911:20, 21; 912:19; 920:1, 3; 925:2; 931:8; 942:15; 953:14, 17, 18, 20; 954:13, 15; 955:22; 956:18: 957:13, 14: 958:12 recalled [3] 651:4, 9, 11 receipts [1] 669:17 receive [8] 737:7; 741:23; 763:5; 921:23; 926:13; 935:6, 14; 936:11 received [19] 652:22; 714:20; 719:6; 752:11; 763:6; 775:10; 781:20; 798:13; 854:16; 910:16; 911:2, 6; 921:24; 922:2, 5; 927:1; 931:6; 933:11; 935:1 receives [1] 833:23 receiving [4] 652:15; 659:24; 910:10; 952:14 recent [9] 755:12; 769:20; 776:3; 820:13; 825:17; 859:8; 873:14: 885:1, 3 recently [10] 714:19; 717:22; 784:23; 822:6, 7; 854:16; 872:11; 885:2; 906:1, receptionist [1] 800:3 recess [5] 687:20; 688:2; 722:1; 833:2; 907:11 recollect [1] 806:1 recollection [6] 670:4; 775:23; 841:16; 870:18; 874:1; recommendation [1] 808:20 recommendations (3) 804:17: 807:2: 809:18 recommended [4] 784:10; 816:4, 6; 904:6 recompacted [1] 664:10 recontour [1] 810:13 record [59] 652:2; 653:11; 665:13; 669:22; 686:12, 19; 688:4; 698:23; 704:4; 716:5; 717:7; 721:22; 722:4; 727:7; 761:1; 768:18, 19, 20; 778:11; 797:2, 3, 13, 14, 15, 19; 799:11; 804:1, 9; 823:14, 16, 18; 828:12, 13; 833:1, 4; 845:9; 871:14; 877:14, 21; 886:14; 894:19; 895:12; 907:13; 922:16; 927:11; 928:3, 12; 929:14; 951:8, 19, 21; 952:4,

671:4: 680:16: 681:18: 687:10:

699:22: 709:20, 21: 710:1, 6, 7, 9; 713:19; 714:24; 719:8;

720:14, 18; 725:21; 735:1, 4;

738:12, 17; 740:6, 12, 13, 14,

24; 744:2; 746:3; 748:15;

749:2; 769:19; 799:19, 23; 800:2; 829:7, 9; 832:11;

21, 22; 959:1, 2, 4; 963:13 records [5] 686:17: 687:5. 9: 797:23: 798:1 recreational [1] 955:23 recross-examination [6] 650:21, 24; 651:8; 758:3; 892:12; 936:17 rectangular [2] 726:21; redirect [11] 650:20, 23; 651:7, 8, 13; 742:14; 886:2; 934:21, 22; 939:7; 958:10 redo [2] 781:15; 864:23 reduce [4] 662:19: 664:21: 817:17; 818:2 reduced [1] 891:14 refer [4] 659:16; 665:7; 843:1; 941:16 reference [3] 738:24; 804:3; 871:17 references [1] 658:12 referred [9] 656:21; 659:24; 661:11; 681:8; 690:22; 726:16; 781:13; 794:3; 939:12 referring [9] 690:6; 701:12; 715:15; 719:10; 733:14: 736:16: 754:13: 770:24: 833:11 refers [1] 842:1 reflect [3] 669:22: 888:1. 3 reflected [1] 789:22 reflects (21 808:13: 876:11 refresh [1] 870:17 refuse [21] 675:4; 701:13, 14, 15; 704:8; 705:11; 707:7, 10; 721:10; 722:20, 21; 723:8, 23; 724:3, 4, 8, 13, 15; 739:9, 18; 814:13 regard [3] 842:16; 878:24; 909:20 regarding [16] 709:23; 710:4; 711:13; 740:9; 776:15; 821:21; 868:19; 911:5; 925:4; 928:10; 929:8; 935:4; 942:17; 943:9; 953:13; 954:9 regardless [2] 944:23: regards [4] 925:13; 926:1; 945:4, 19 registered [1] 965:17 regrade [1] 810:13 regrading [1] 867:1 regs [1] 794:3 regular [9] 686:16, 20; 698:9, 11, 15, 16; 703:9; 710:11; 890:19 regulate [1] 695:8 regulation [1] 893:14 regulations [14] 655:14; 797:16, 18; 806:10, 18; 825:14; 829:20, 21; 858:24; 916:18; 924:24; 925:15; 933:7; 935:16

regulatory [1] 895:14 reinforce [1] 864:15 reiser [7] 651:2; 894:12, 13, 20; 905:5; 907:3, 23 reiterate [2] 961:20; 962:4 rejected [2] 926:17; 934:1 relate [1] 697:9 related [9] 670:17; 691:7; 762:14, 15; 786:23; 855:17; 887:14; 897:6; 965:12 relating [1] 856:11 relation [1] 739:8 relationship [4] 790:14; 795:10; 869:6, 7 relative [1] 890:23 relatively [5] 658:9; 664:24; 759:16; 765:24; 773:5 relevant [4] 776:11; 778:5, 7: 928:20 relied [3] 803:5; 804:22; 855:6 relief [1] 779:13 relies [1] 795:13 relieve [1] 701:22 relitigate (11 779:12 rely [6] 666:1; 795:16; 798:3; 799:13; 806:12; 886:7 relving (71 666:2: 805:6, 8, 9, 12, 14; 806:20 remainder [1] 903:8 remaining [4] 671:14, 16; 740:9; 906:10 remains [1] 961:11 remediation [4] 653:23; 703:15; 897:6; 904:10 remember [30] 652:9; 681:19; 710:2; 720:20; 735:3; 750:7; 772:7; 776:20; 800:1; 823:23; 837:1; 841:2; 843:19; 844:5, 24; 849:24; 855:1; 861:10; 865:24; 866:23; 868:20; 884:24; 889:22; 912:2; 913:4; 942:14; 947:16; 952:14; 953:19: 957:21 remind [2] 878:13: 939:21 removal (5) 667:15: 897:14; 898:7, 23; 900:16 remove [3] 659:4; 820:2; removed [14] 666:18; 692:5; 694:6; 704:8; 725:5, 13; 888:13, 14, 22, 24; 889:13; 900:19, 21; 945:18 removing [2] 899:21, 23 renewal [4] 807:3, 7, 11, reoccurring [2] 845:23; 886:23 repair [1] 756:16 repeat [1] 860:5 repercussions [1]

790:16 rephrase [1] 705:6 replace [2] 676:13, 15 replacement [1] 903:2 replied [1] 941:2 reply [2] 956:16; 960:3 report [20] 715:15; 733:8; 752:21, 22; 800:20; 810:3; 835:23; 845:16; 879:3, 7; 881:16; 883:4; 884:14; 903:12; 910:5, 9, 10; 914:19; 964:4 reported [6] 801:15; 824:2: 882:13, 19: 883:7: reporter [3] 823:14; 965:17 reporting [1] 965:6 reports [7] 728:24; 732:22; 845:12, 14; 884:16; 906:18; represent [4] 771:11; 870:2; 926:3; 931:19 representation [2] 869:23; 892:18 representative [3] 807:23; 808:6; 895:4 represented [2] 697:6; represents [1] 943:23 reproduction [1] 962:23 request [12] 665:24; 747:23; 783:14; 836:8; 878:13; 920:9; 925:1; 946:23; 948:16, 21; 949:8; 964:12 requested [14] 748:7; 807:21; 809:3, 5; 829:18, 22; 830:22, 23; 831:3, 5, 7, 14, 16; 944:15 requesting [2] 783:21; 910:12 requests [7] 829:9, 11; 830:14; 946:20; 949:9, 21; 950:10 require [10] 798:20; 821:23; 829:20, 22; 886:11, 16, 17, 20; 897:12; 898:19 required [33] 759:15, 18; 794:17; 797:16; 801:11; 806:12; 821:6, 20; 824:19; 827:19; 837:16; 839:18; 841:24; 848:4; 875:14; 880:2, 21; 883:13; 886:9, 10; 895:13, 15; 897:17, 21, 24; 900:15; 902:7, 18; 904:16, 19, 20; 915:3; 919:23 requirement [9] 783:17; 791:7; 825:16; 897:13; 902:20; 904:3, 17; 915:9; 931:15 requirements [10] 682:5; 763:10; 794:19; 809:4; 821:21; 839:20; 904:12;

925:23; 931:18; 938:2

915:17 reserve [1] 796:22 residences [2] 898:12, 15 resolve [2] 902:4: 930:23 resource [2] 846:22; 867:14 respect [16] 682:17; 744:4; 747:4, 21; 776:23; 780:21; 811:22; 886:11; 901:12, 23; 903:7; 914:18; 918:9; 934:6; 938:20: 948:15 respond [7] 738:2: 742:2: 745:17; 752:13; 757:6; 921:5; 961:18 responded [6] 666:2: 691:14; 737:17; 752:16, 17; 756:21 respondent [2] 649:9; 650:11 respondent's [41] 651:17, 18, 19, 20; 665:7; 685:6; 687:3; 775:13; 776:1; 780:19; 781:12; 782:8, 22; 783:3; 785:8, 24; 786:6; 788:3, 20, 23; 789:5, 15; 795:19; 796:19; 802:19; 803:8; 804:13; 809:12, 13; 836:20; 840:4; 841:13; 842:4; 843:1, 8; 855;23; 886;4 responding [6] 674:24; 737:14; 780:5, 14; 878:7; 957:21 response [23] 652:22; 665:23; 680:20; 683:13; 706:11; 725:10; 733:1; 737:6; 763:1; 781:1, 9, 23; 814:22; 830:11; 832:19; 836:2, 7; 840:7; 853:17; 882:21; 910:2; 911:6; 956:16 responsibility [10] 737:16; 741:9; 790:12, 13, 20; 792:21; 848:9; 851:18; 856:16; 858:23 responsible [4] 790:16; 837:22; 857:1; 863:2 responsive [1] 814:21 rest [2] 702:6; 765:14 restate [1] 878:15 restated [1] 961:23 rested [1] 907:14 rests [1] 790:13 resubmit [4] 682:9; 781:16; 925:16; 932:16 resubmittal [42] 682:6, 17; 683:1, 6, 21; 684:2, 6; 712:12, 15; 747:24; 781:13, 18; 808:14, 16; 849:4; 850:12, 20; 851:15; 852:1, 16; 853:7, 8, 9, 14, 20; 854:3, 19; 855:21; 872:17; 875:9; 909:6, 16, 21; 913:23; 925:7, 9, 12; 926:1, 8;

requires [2] 798:23;

927:24; 937:12; 938:21 resubmittals [1] 853:20 resubmitted [2] 682:21: resubmitting [2] 918:7, result [1] 885:19 resulting [1] 852:22 results [6] 721:5; 797:22; 850:19; 869:18; 884:14; 893:24 retain [2] 794:20; 946:6 retention [71] 657:9, 12. 15; 661:8, 11; 662:8; 666:9, 18; 689:21; 690:3, 9, 13, 22; 691:6, 14, 24; 692:5, 9; 693:3, 6; 694:1, 13; 695:9, 10, 15, 17, 19, 23; 696:19, 21, 22; 731:23; 732:2, 3; 733:5, 24; 734:9, 15; 735:6; 736:6, 12, 17; 745:20; 755:8, 9; 757:21; 766:21; 767:8, 16, 22; 768:3; 770:9, 11; 771:3; 806:16; 810:22; 813:9; 833:9, 12, 16, 23; 834:2; 835:8, 14, 18; 943:10; 945:5, 6, 19, retracted [1] 673:5 return [2] 683:17; 939:3 871:15 review [28] 682:13; 689:5; 775:5, 9; 782:19; 795:12; 806:4; 843:7; 883:21; 909:6, 11, 15; 910:23; 911:4, 9; 913:22; 914:3, 20; 918:16, 20; 919:12, 15, 20, 23, 24; 933:6, 22: 935:15 reviewed [6] 703:20: 713:6; 781:5; 788:14; 904:5; 933:14 **reviewers** [1] 936:6 reviewing [1] 903:22 reviews [2] 936:11, 24 revise [5] 777:13; 779:2, 8, 19; 785:2 revised [9] 778:4; 785:5, 15; 807:3; 829:12, 16; 830:21; 952:11 revising [1] 777:14 revision [3] 849:12; 887:10, 13 revisions [8] 776:19, 21; 777:18, 20; 782:3, 5; 784:12; 854:12 rewriting [1] 788:15 rewrote [1] 788:15 richard [1] 841:23 rick [4] 807:24; 808:3, 9; 19, 20 ridge [18] 654:6; 689:2, 14; 714:10; 764:5; 774:19; 775:17; 782:11; 785:17; 787:22; 788:6; 794:6; 800:22; 870:9; 895:22; 914:4; 919:8; 930:4 790:14; 791:13; 792:2, 4, 5;

ridiculous [9] 740:20; 741:16; 742:1, 3, 5; 752:12, 19; 757:18, 22 rig [19] 671:3; 672:7, 11, 14, 15, 18; 673:6, 10, 15, 18; 674:12; 676:12; 699:18; 700:4; 701:23; 704:18; 864:17, 20 rig's [1] 677:7 right [73] 658:13; 662:7; 663:19; 664:7; 667:7, 17; 669:7; 672:8; 673:13; 677:5; 681:5; 685:22; 688:3; 689:18; 694:13: 697:21: 698:24: 701:22; 702:1, 2; 715:16, 21; 717:6, 10; 719:13; 725:24; 727:14; 730:2; 733:17, 20, 23; 734:23; 735:7; 736:22; 743:19; 746:3, 8, 24; 753:6, 22, 23, 24; 754:3; 758:18; 768:9; 770:4, 14; 810:4; 812:2, 8; 829:23; 833:20; 838:7; 848:12; 856:13; 873:24; 876:2; 885:13; 892:19; 893:18; 901:8; 903:23; 905:19; 911:11; 919:10; 922:9; 945:13; 946:2, 22; 958:4; 959:16; 962:16; 964:16 right-hand [2] 736:18; rigorous [1] 935:19 rim [6] 722:18, 21; 723:10, 24; 727:11, 19 riprap [1] 814:4 river [4] 763:15, 19; 766:9 road [31] 650:15; 717:2; 726:24; 732:13; 733:15; 734:5; 748:20; 753:5, 7, 9; 757:4; 766:9; 769:13; 773:3; 811:16; 815:3; 816:17, 19, 22, 24; 817:3, 16, 17; 818:4, 7, 8; 824:17; 835:17; 856:20; 941:3 roads [8] 732:10; 814:12; 817:6, 8, 10, 22, 23 robert [1] 846:24 rock [6] 652:11, 18; 763:15; 817:21; 818:7; 927:6 rocked [1] 817:17 rod [2] 675:21; 676:3 roll-off [13] 671:12, 13, 17; 677:17; 700:13; 701:17; 702:5, 15, 19; 703:8, 11; 705:10 rolling [4] 763:20; 765:5, 22; 773:6 ron [2] 725:15; 964:3 room [1] 953:9 rotten [4] 707:22; 747:10, rough [1] 763:21 roughly [1] 710:14 routinely [2] 660:18, 20 **row** [1] 750:6 rtc [21] 707:2, 3; 788:12, 17;

793:8, 12; 846:21; 848:3; 856:15; 857:3, 5, 11, 13; 858:11: 859:3, 12 rule [3] 842:8, 15; 961:22 ruled [3] 652:9; 912:6; 927-24 rules [1] 916:2 ruling [10] 652:12, 13; 795:23; 796:1, 5, 6; 832:4; 841:23; 913:14; 920:11 rulings [1] 935:19 run [12] 666:8; 694:13; 732:8: 750:16: 759:21: 766:4: 817:7; 819:7; 827:10; 834:24; 856:19; 884:17 run-in [3] 729:12, 14: 730-10 run-on [2] 834:17, 21 running [10] 662:5; 664:18; 678:14; 679:9; 730:17; 755:7; 757:20; 765:15; 773:18; runoff [26] 693:7; 729:11; 732:2; 734:15; 766:18; 767:2; 811:1, 21; 813:8; 814:10; 817:18; 818:24; 819:2; 821:3; 826:8, 12, 14; 834:22; 835:12; 866:8; 867:1; 881:19; 891:19; 892:4; 947:19, 21 runs [4] 766:6; 773:12; 835:16, 17

- S -

ryan [2] 650:13; 964:11

safer [1] 961:24 **safety** [6] 689:9; 705:9, 13; 744:14; 748:22; 844:22 **sake** [4] 698:13; 728:2; 746:18 sales [1] 653:21 salesperson [1] 896:1 **same** [15] 664:13; 674:11; 727:16; 747:11; 778:3; 833:19; 842:5; 844:3; 872:23; 873:1, 3, 6, 17; 923:12; 938:13 sample [27] 686:1; 721:5; 751:11; 758:12; 759:21; 760:5; 802:4, 6; 821:3; 823:8; 824:8, 12, 14, 19, 24; 826:13, 20; 880:12, 16, 20; 881:1, 12; 884:18, 20; 885:10; 898:1, 9 sampled [4] 720:3; 751:8, 18: 898:24 **samples** [33] 686:8, 10; 720:11, 12; 749:14; 759:8, 18; 821:5, 6, 16, 22; 822:16; 823:4, 5; 824:22; 825:21; 826:15, 18; 827:14, 15, 18, 24; 828:2, 9; 850:21, 24; 851:3, 8; 852:14; 883:17; 884:12; 890:12; 899:3

sampling [20] 686:4, 13; 694:19; 720:24; 749:17; 751:7, 13, 14; 758:6; 759:2, 14; 806:14, 18; 820:20; 822:3; 824:10; 881:2; 885:9, 19; 898:21 samplings [1] 758:21 **sanction** [1] 906:14 sangamon [18] 714:10; 840:13; 847:14; 894:24; 895:11, 19; 896:18, 19, 22; 897:5; 900:9; 901:24; 902:14; 905:6; 906:3; 907:22; 939:14; sanitary [2] 899:14, 15 **sara** [1] 655:14 **sat** [2] 822:19; 838:22 **saturday** [1] 940:24 savings [2] 682:11; 885:18 Saw [10] 667:24; 684:17; 685:3; 702:12, 13; 725:9; 732:12; 751:20; 798:11; 955:3 scan [1] 890:21 scanning [1] 890:21 scare [4] 955:6, 8; 956:9, scattered [4] 723:1, 4, 16; 724:17 schedule [5] 848:13; 959:5; 962:11; 963:18, 19 schedules [2] 703:4; school [7] 654:12, 17, 18; 762:6, 7; 896:6, 8 **science** [1] 896:9 sciences [1] 896:12 SCOOD [1] 671:19 scooped [1] 666:5 SCOOPS [1] 702:5 scope [1] 920:17 scratch [3] 676:23; 772:6; **Sea** [3] 772:5; 870:24; 871:2 search [1] 806:1 second [13] 649:18; 650:4; 658:11; 685:19; 705:24; 770:20; 771:1; 777:22; 786:2; 795:4; 828:12; 843:2; 910:5 seconds [1] 924:9 secretarial [1] 857:20 **secretary** [1] 685:2 **section** [11] 775:15; 778:15; 815:7; 820:16; 855:3; 856:12; 902:22; 916:17; 918:6; 924:3; 936:10 **sed** [1] 813:3 **sediment** [1] 943:16 sedimentation [9] 812:14, 17, 18, 20; 813:9; 815:12, 22; 816:7, 8 See [58] 659:23; 671:12; 672:11; 673:12; 677:20; 678:1;

682:4; 696:23; 698:19; 701:8; 705:18; 715:14; 717:4; 721:14, 17; 722:21, 23; 723:2, 5; 729:23; 730:20; 732:12; 733:13; 750:7; 752:24; 753:12, 17; 754:3; 774:3, 10; 804:16; 811:19; 813:3; 815:19; 821:2; 826:1, 7, 9, 11; 837:18; 839:22; 841:14; 863:24; 864:8; 869:1; 870:20; 871:10; 873:3, 4, 19; 882:24; 895:17; 900:8; 906:24; 941:4; 949:12; 961:12 **seed** [10] 693:20, 21; 757:12; 810:18; 812:4; 865:18; 866:14, 17, 20 **seeded** [5] 668:4; 810:16; 814:10: 865:11, 19 seeder [1] 810:17 seeding [2] 811:22; 813:19 seeds [1] 865:22 **seeing** [5] 669:8; 703:17; 735:3; 769:20; 837:1 seek [1] 920:11 seem [2] 779:17; 955:22 seems [2] 666:13; 906:9 seen [28] 665:9, 11; 668:6; 669:7; 684:14, 16, 21; 697:12; 769:19, 20; 772:11; 798:10; 801:4, 6; 803:16; 834:19; 836:1, 4, 10; 838:5; 840:24; 862:17; 876:19; 877:8; 881:19; 956:3; 961:9; 962:9 **seep** [1] 845:8 seepage [2] 729:17; 730:1 seeped [1] 730:6 **Seeps** [3] 842:21; 844:9; 846:11 **SeeS** [1] 962:1 semi [1] 725:2 seminars [2] 762:22, 24 send [8] 759:7; 788:13; 884:16; 926:5; 937:23; 938:19; 964:14, 15 sending [2] 758:21; 843:19 sends [1] 684:20 senior [1] 925:18 sense [6] 706:7, 8; 741:18; 742:4; 749:15; 753:14 **sent** [19] 683:24; 684:18; 721:16; 758:6; 782:1; 788:12, 16, 18; 793:3; 799:3; 807:18, 19; 911:1; 926:19; 937:4; 938:8, 17; 939:15; 944:18 sentence [1] 901:8 sentences [1] 854:11 **separate** [4] 859:2; 930:7; **separately** [1] 751:19 **september** [20] 670:9, 14; 675:11; 698:1; 744:2; 745:11; 777:15; 778:22; 796:6; 840:18; 841:21; 842:5, 6, 9, 14, 15;

846:3; 937:5; 938:5 sequence [1] 742:23 sequentially [1] 922:6 series [1] 751:1 seriously [1] 935:21 **serve** [2] 849:21; 897:16 **service** [4] 679:10, 19, 23; services [1] 857:20 servicing [6] 678:22, 24; 679:6, 15; 710:17; 711:10 set [25] 664:10; 671:3; 673:21; 697:24; 699:23; 704:20, 22; 713:4; 743:3, 8, 10; 744:6; 748:3, 11, 13; 749:12; 808:10; 821:12; 822:9; 864:17, 19; 945:2; 961:5; 963:19 setting [2] 763:20; 912:15 settled [1] 889:14 settlement [6] 830:4, 8; 831:21; 832:14; 889:10 **setup** [1] 750:13 seven [4] 736:8; 874:9; 916:12; 948:9 **several** [5] 714:5; 897:13; 912:5; 928:9; 943:11 shafts [1] 956:8 shaky [1] 849:17 **shall** [2] 704:9; 785:2 shallow [1] 685:20 sheet [1] 802:21 **sheets** [2] 689:10; 827:21 sheriff's [1] 652:11 **shift** [1] 786:8 **shirley** [6] 684:21, 24; 685:1; 800:3, 5; 809:9 **shop** [1] 897:20 **shorthand** [3] 965:7, 10, **shortly** [9] 740:3; 791:17; 796:5; 807:17; 808:12; 841:4; 852:11; 865:16; 905:10 **shot** [2] 677:2; 702:10 **shouldn't** [2] 780:13; 959:12 **show** [34] 656:13; 658:5; 665:6; 666:7; 684:8; 685:5; 702:9; 749:23; 752:24; 764:2; 775:12; 782:7; 785:8; 788:2; 789:11; 795:18; 798:5; 799:24; 802:18; 827:3; 838:20; 842:8, 15; 856:2; 860:16; 871:21; 893:3; 900:5; 902:12; 922:2, 3; 926:24: 928:22 **showed** [5] 720:3; 750:11; 751:12; 805:22; 899:3 **showing** [1] 865:20 **shown** [4] 700:4; 736:18; 837:17; 873:2 **shows** [2] 656:19; 671:3 **shrink** [1] 749:21 **shut** [3] 905:20, 24; 937:8

shuts [1] 960:11 **side** [45] 658:16: 666:5: 671:15; 673:13, 17, 20; 676:11; 694:8; 695:1, 17; 701:20; 723:11; 727:23; 753:4; 754:2, 3; 764:11, 23; 765:8, 9; 766:10, 11; 771:2; 772:20; 773:9, 10; 810:8; 823:9, 11; 824:16; 826:24; 827:2; 835:17; 862:3; 865:15; 866:22; 871:15; 887:17, 20; 900:4; 904:23; 943:20, 21 sides [5] 696:24; 723:24; 727:22; 730:6; 731:4 sidewall [3] 903:8, 11, 14 siebke [10] 659:18: 738:20. 24; 739:3, 7; 756:22; 757:16; 772:16 siebke's [3] 659:16, 17; 751.3 siebkes [1] 739:7 sig [83] 680:5, 7, 23; 682:10, 13, 23; 683:7, 21; 711:17; 712:12; 713:15; 716:12, 13; 747:22, 24; 762:4; 774:17; 775:22; 776:5, 7, 21, 23; 777:18; 778:5, 21; 779:7; 780:21; 781:13, 16; 782:2, 6; 784:7; 820:14, 15, 17, 18; 830:24; 831:3, 12; 846:18; 847:6; 849:1, 3; 850:8, 20; 851:7, 15; 852:1, 16; 853:6, 13, 15, 20; 854:19; 855:5, 9, 17, 21; 872:12; 873:24; 875:8; 890:1, 6; 909:6, 15, 21; 910:21; 913:22; 914:7, 21; 915:19, 23; 916:21; 917:1, 2, 10, 18; 919:7; 924:20; 932:15; 934:17; 938:11 sign [2] 793:3; 882:10 **signature** [2] 782:1; 918:6 signatures [2] 926:19; 934:3 signed [9] 788:9; 793:2, 17; 840:17; 854:8; 882:4, 8; 883:8; **significant** [16] 775:15; 818:15; 847:5; 872:10; 887:4; 925:7; 926:8, 22; 927:5; 929:12; 933:1, 12, 24; 937:1, 7; signing [1] 882:2 signs [1] 882:7 **silt** [31] 666:5, 7, 17, 19; 668:5; 691:14; 692:3, 7, 8, 22; 693:6; 694:4, 6, 11; 729:21, 23; 735:24; 736:4; 746:1; 749:19, 20; 753:18; 756:17; 757:20; 811:11, 18, 20; 814:4, 6, 8; 945:18 **silt's** [2] 736:3, 9

siltation [18] 662:18; 663:18; 750:21; 751:2; 753:17, 21; 754:4, 12, 20, 23; 755:7, 18, 22; 757:11; 946:2, 3, 7 **similar** [3] 708:12; 847:13; **simply** [3] 666:8; 780:5, 14 since [48] 652:20; 665:19; 666:3; 683:18, 19; 691:1; 718:23; 731:9; 735:7, 12, 13, 15, 18; 738:15; 762:10; 778:4; 782:2, 5; 784:11, 13, 22; 786:21; 791:2; 799:5; 801:6; 803:1: 804:19: 806:7: 815:8: 820:19, 22; 828:4, 5, 17; 842:24; 849:1; 872:8; 873:8; 879:9; 882:12; 884:8; 905:23; 921:13: 924:6: 940:24: 945:19: 946:11; 949:22 single [1] 742:21 sit [4] 834:24; 843:20; 874:4; 935:9 site [95] 662:5; 675:15; 693:7; 695:4; 696:16; 698:6, 7; 700:8; 730:5; 741:20; 753:10; 756:20; 764:22; 769:9; 774:20; 789:21; 790:15; 792:23; 793:14, 15, 24; 794:10, 16; 797:20; 801:23; 802:3, 6; 807:18, 24; 808:7, 13; 810:10; 814:6; 816:11; 818:23; 821:3; 822:21; 824:10, 21; 826:3, 8, 12; 833:17; 837:10, 12, 19; 838:1, 4, 14; 839:4; 844:7; 845:2, 12; 846:8; 850:7; 851:19, 21; 859:23; 860:2; 861:7, 14, 20, 23; 862:1; 866:2, 5, 8, 15, 19; 873:10; 875:1, 13, 18; 880:4, 5; 881:20; 884:6; 888:9; 891:1, 4, 19; 892:19; 893:13; 894:3; 914:4, 8, 10, 22; 915:4, 12; 949:12; 950:2; 955:18; 956:20 sites [1] 829:22 siting [5] 900:17, 24; 901:17; 902:6; 906:2 sitting [5] 763:22; 791:9; 863:17, 20; 936:9 situ [1] 868:23 **situation** [7] 663:8; 673:10; 748:21; 815:19, 24; 816:13; 866:12 SIX [9] 659:1; 686:7; 708:22; 792:6; 794:4; 874:9; 895:18; 946:3, 8 **SIZE** [4] 692:13; 726:16, 19; 727:10 **sky** [2] 729:6; 752:14 **slide** [1] 748:23 **slope** [16] 694:9, 12; 723:1; 730:23; 753:5, 13; 765:3;

771:23; 811:5; 835:2; 904:21 **sloped** [1] 770:5 **slopes** [7] 771:24; 773:1; 810:9; 901:10, 12, 14; 904:23 **sloping** [2] 767:15, 16 slow [5] 767:2; 811:12, 21; 813:18; 814:9 slowed (1) 703:2 **slowly** [1] 888:22 **slurry** [1] 902:21 small [16] 773:4; 824:16, 18; 861:15; 863:24; 864:3; 866:19; 871:18; 880:9, 16; 881:4, 8, 14, 19, 23; 949:14 smaller [2] 726:20; 771:6 **smell** [10] 707:18, 19, 20, 23, 24; 708:2; 747:11; 787:24; smell's [1] 708:3 smelled [10] 707:11, 17; 708:3, 5, 12; 787:21; 941:2; 957:23, 24; 958:1 **smelling** [1] 708:13 smothered [1] 941:1 **snowfall** [3] 825:4, 5, 8 **snowmelt** [2] 826:3; 880:19 sock [4] 720:6; 750:22, 23, **soil** [30] 717:17; 794:5, 18; 795:16; 810:11; 816:21; 817:5, 9, 11, 18; 820:2; 864:5, 21; 865:2; 867:8, 10; 868:1, 11, 17; 869:1, 8, 12; 872:5; 874:7; 888:13, 19; 889:9; 897:19; 903:11 soils [1] 889:3 **solely** [5] 813:5; 848:9; 857:15; 858:23; 864:9 solid (2) 743:9; 924:2 solved [2] 667:24; 681:23 solvent [1] 707:19 **somebody** [6] 744:19; 766:16; 791:21, 22; 942:21; 955:6 somehow [1] 815:2 **someone** [11] 677:12; 681:13; 705:23; 709:9; 741:6; 839:16; 861:2; 892:14; 910:22, 23; 960:8 sometime [13] 657:22; 714:20; 715:2; 719:1; 744:1; 745:9; 774:23; 775:1; 851:3; 865:5, 16; 867:22; 940:14 **sometimes** [6] 673:19; 705:11; 706:8; 710:21; 812:5; 893:17 **somewhat** [3] 707:19; 762:15; 834:13 somewhere [8] 698:6, 7; 699:3, 6; 798:23; 862:3, 8 **SOON** [7] 723:21; 725:18;

734:22; 934:9; 937:4; 949:15; 964:4 **sooner** [1] 734:10 sorling [1] 650:7 **SOTTY** [11] 692:13; 706:18; 714:17; 722:13; 733:13; 752:7; 794:8; 846:18; 901:21; 903:5; 925:2 **sort** [3] 802:13; 928:10; 951:19 sound [2] 711:15; 719:13 **SOUDY** [2] 692:19; 693:1 **Source** [3] 773:14; 942:6; 956:13 Sources [1] 813:20 **south** [32] 649:18; 650:4; 661:24: 667:10, 12: 695:17: 736:15: 754:15: 763:19: 764:19, 23; 765:4, 8, 9, 20; 766:5, 6; 767:8; 773:9, 10, 13; 824:15; 826:24; 827:2; 866:20, 22; 891:5; 897:18; 900:3; 902:19; 955:3 southeast [3] 862:1; 897:15; 898:6 southeastern [2] 898:6; 899:19 **southern** [1] 924:12 **southwest** [2] 773:16; sovbeans [1] 764:22 SD [2] 703:24; 870:11 **space** [1] 857:19 speak [2] 901:19; 903:4 speaking [5] 658:9; 664:24; 759:16; 911:12; 943:12 Spec [1] 743:2 special [7] 653:22; 689:4, 15; 785:1; 825:18; 829:17; specialized [1] 890:19 **specific** [12] 654:2; 689:20; 926:12; 928:8; 931:1; 932:19, 23, 24; 935:13; 938:8, 15; 948:18 specifically [18] 690:9; 727:9; 738:3; 763:16; 793:19; 815:7; 831:5; 909:18, 20; 910:15; 911:21; 913:1; 925:10; 930:3; 933:1; 936:1; 938:17, specificity [1] 687:12 speculation [1] 678:19 **speed** [2] 674:7, 8 **spelled** [1] 854:22 **spend** [3] 822:22; 892:23; 893:21

spent [2] 823:2; 858:1

spilling [3] 819:20, 21

spillage [2] 820:10; 839:24

spill [2] 819:11, 17

767:12, 24; 770:10, 14, 15;

spills [1] 820:1 **spoils** [21] 669:16; 671:6, 10, 14, 15, 16, 22; 672:1; 675:5; 676:12; 677:21; 678:2; 700:24; 701:1, 12, 19; 702:13; 747:3, 5; 874:17; 957:4 **spoke** [2] 735:8; 800:2 **sporting** [1] 677:2 **spot** [1] 765:24 **spring** [5] 836:7, 11; 867:4; 946:13 springfield [11] 649:18; 650:5, 10, 16; 895:1, 21; 899:14; 959:12; 963:16, 20; square [3] 673:16; 726:21, st [1] 654:13 stabilization [1] 673:15 **stabilizer** [1] 673:17 **stabilizers** [4] 672:9: 673:5, 8, 9 stable [1] 672:10 **stack** [1] 803:14 **staff** [2] 895:10; 925:18 **stakes** [2] 944:13; 945:2 **stamp** [1] 795:8 stamped [1] 802:23 **stand-alone** [1] 689:19 standard [7] 818:22; 930:14; 931:2, 11, 17, 24; **standards** [8] 915:13, 14; 930:20; 932:22, 23; 933:8, 9, **standing** [6] 723:3, 8, 13, 17; 748:24; 774:3 stands [1] 769:22 **start** [9] 750:5; 764:11; 786:8; 787:18; 907:10; 929:21, 22; 938:3; 962:14 started [20] 654:9; 663:3; 664:17; 676:20; 682:1; 699:22, 23; 700:3; 719:24; 732:23; 761:8; 775:9; 788:15; 791:17; 792:16; 793:5, 8; 861:22, 24; 890:5 **starting** [5] 688:22; 722:11; 757:13; 959:15 starts [4] 773:15, 20; 787:17; 821:8 **state** [23] 649:2, 5; 652:2; 653:10; 672:24; 716:4; 761:1; 763:3, 4; 765:15; 786:21; 802:23; 809:3; 846:10; 878:16; 894:18; 895:9; 911:11; 915:13; 920:8; 923:24; 924:11; 965:1 **stated** [5] 804:7; 878:11; 879:21; 921:10 **statement** [4] 665:13; 718:7; 879:10; 955:10 statements [6] 780:7;

830:12: 878:23: 942:16: 954:10; 956:17 states [2] 790:4; 961:22 **stating** [2] 682:23; 944:19 statistics [1] 931:17 **status** [3] 783:22; 916:24; **statutory** [1] 935:13 **stay** [3] 700:8; 943:1; 963:16 **staved** [1] 718:8 **steel** [4] 676:3; 708:23; 744:12; 943:4 **steep** [8] 673:22; 765:22; 770:6, 10, 14; 771:19, 23; 773:2 **steeper** [1] 771:23 **stench** [1] 707:18 **steve** [43] 650:19; 651:11; 653:4, 5, 12; 681:12; 693:15; 697:14; 699:17; 703:7; 712:21; 713:2; 721:8; 737:5, 18; 748:3, 11, 13; 768:16; 800:13; 851:18, 19; 852:21; 864:5; 865:3; 867:7, 13; 877:7, 10; 921:12; 940:7, 23; 941:2, 24; 948:12; 949:10; 951:3, 5; 952:5; 953:3; 955:22 stick [2] 815:9; 959:10 stickler [1] 824:22 **still** [36] 656:11: 657:2: 665:1: 676:24: 677:12: 701:1: 713:21, 23; 717:12; 731:15, 17; 752:20; 799:6, 8; 823:18; 828:16; 845:23; 846:7, 14; 863:9; 865:7; 869:12; 874:8; 880:2; 882:2; 884:3; 903:18; 908:17; 913:7, 14; 914:23; 915:6; 936:23; 939:22; 945:22; 952:23 **stinky** [1] 707:18 **stipulate** [1] 803:24 stipulated [1] 907:24 **stockpile** [7] 671:21; 872:5, 6; 874:16; 889:3, 13; 893:15 **stockpiled** [9] 874:7, 13, 15; 875:17; 888:10, 16, 18, 21; 889:11 stockpiles [1] 888:15 stood [1] 707:13 **stop** [1] 744:9 stopped [3] 801:24; 823:10; 839:4 **store** [1] 813:8 **storm** [57] 658:16, 20; 659:20; 660:12; 661:7; 693:2; 694:19; 695:1, 3, 7; 718:17, 20; 719:19, 23; 721:9; 729:4; 731:8; 732:2; 733:3; 734:14; 798:21; 799:21; 801:22, 24; 808:21; 809:8, 15; 810:24;

812:14: 816:19, 20: 818:19: 819:2, 6; 820:16; 821:6, 8, 10; 823:5; 824:23; 825:1, 6; 831:4; 834:22; 836:14; 838:10, 15; 839:2, 6; 881:2, 3; 886:5; 892:4; 904:18; 947:15 **straight** [8] 673:11; 677:16; 727:21, 22, 23; 728:5; 742:4; 913:11 straightened [1] 757:10 **stratum** [1] 914:14 straw [1] 811:21 stream [4] 773:12, 14, 23; 774:14 street [3] 649:18; 650:4, 9 stretching [1] 877:15 **stricken** [2] 832:19; 929:14 strike [6] 655:4; 840:20; 857:7; 886:17; 954:8; 963:1 **stringent** [1] 935:20 **strong** [2] 676:16; 813:22 struck [1] 664:8 **structure** [2] 732:8; 753:8 **structures** [1] 818:19 **studies** [1] 896:11 study [1] 849:21 **stuff** [6] 713:7; 753:18; 806:19; 813:18; 814:22; 865:23 subheadings [1] 854:23 **subject** [5] 796:23; 830:6; 927:3; 929:14; 930:11 submission [4] 776:24; 872:12, 14, 18 **submit** [13] 713:5; 751:14; 781:9; 807:3, 7; 829:12; 843:6; 852:15; 859:12; 867:19; 886:17; 925:13; 934:12 **submittal** [40] 680:13; 683:7; 711:17; 712:18; 748:3; 775:2, 3; 776:3, 12; 777:1, 17, 18, 19, 22, 23; 778:4; 780:22; 781:18; 782:2; 783:6; 788:7, 8; 820:14; 850:8; 854:2; 859:8; 873:24; 909:11; 910:21; 911:1; 914:7, 21: 915:19, 24: 916:21: 926:16; 933:17, 20, 22; 936:19 submittals [5] 776:23; 784:14, 21; 895:11; 925:24 **submitted** [49] 680:7; 684:4; 774:19, 21, 22; 775:1; 776:19, 21; 777:2, 7, 8, 15; 778:22; 781:13; 782:3, 6, 12, 15, 18, 20; 784.7, 12, 15, 16; 785:7, 21; 788:19, 21; 790:1; 797:5; 807:5; 827:21; 829:16; 831:9; 837:14; 843:18; 850:13; 853:15; 872:11; 883:21; 887:4, 10; 903:20; 919:8; 926:22; 933:4, 16; 934:6, 16

submitting [3] 712:17; 910:20; 933:1 subparagraph [1] 817:19 **subpart** [6] 785:5; 812:10; 813:24; 814:23; 815:1; 903:7 subparts [2] 809:19; 820:12 subsequent [3] 779:7; 905:13: 926:20 subsequently [1] 683:5 substance [1] 928:5 **subtitle** [4] 785:5; 797:16; 938:2, 4 subtitles [1] 854:23 **successful** [3] 664:23; 733:3; 734:14 suggest [3] 750:20; 962:2, suggested [6] 720:7; 750:16, 21, 22; 751:1, 2 suggesting [1] 756:7 suite [1] 650:9 sulfur [1] 707:23 **summarize** [1] 924:8 summer [19] 659:24; 667:18; 668:1; 681:6; 685:10; 719:13; 732:18, 20, 21; 851:3, 4; 852:10; 866:24; 867:3, 20, 23; 940:14; 945:19; 948:12 sunday [2] 901:5; 940:24 supplement [1] 666:13 supplemental [4] 797:9; 900:8; 926:5; 933:15 **supplied** [7] 795:13; 797:7, 9; 857:17, 18, 19; 890:8 **supplies** [1] 890:17 **supply** [1] 858:12 **support** [1] 780:7 supports [1] 676:4 supposed [12] 751:8; 821:24; 826:14; 827:1; 838:15; 839:23; 848:11; 858:12; 869:16; 893:13; 905:7; 912:23 **supposedly** [1] 728:19 suppresses [1] 818:3 surdex [3] 861:4; 870:3, 6 surface [6] 726:3; 772:14; 816:19; 817:16; 818:20; 904:15 surfaces [1] 810:15 surfacing [1] 815:2 surrebuttal [1] 951:4 **surround** [1] 898:10 surrounding [2] 767:3; 898.6 **survey** [19] 861:3; 873:11, 23; 875:8, 12; 890:4, 16, 17; 891:7; 892:15, 21, 24; 893:3, 20, 22, 23; 944:11, 12, 14 **surveyed** [1] 746:10 **surveying** [3] 944:9, 17,

20 **SURVEYS** [4] 872:8; 890:13; 893:17, 18 sustain [1] 794:5 sustained [5] 705:5: 756:8; 790:10; 832:9, 20 sweet [1] 708:1 switch [2] 685:5; 774:16 switched [1] 885:3 sworn [8] 653:7; 760:22; 894:15; 908:22; 923:21; 940:3; 953:5; 965:8 symons-jackson [79] 650:3, 20, 21, 23, 24; 651:4; 665:10: 674:20, 24: 678:18: 687;1, 19; 688;15, 16, 18; 698:24; 714:1, 3, 12; 716:4, 11; 718:14; 721:18, 24; 722:15; 742:11; 756:5; 758:2, 4; 760:9; 776:5, 10; 777:3; 778:3; 782:24; 786:2; 789:2; 790:8; 795:2; 796:22; 803:11, 15, 19, 23; 804:8; 805:10; 809:23; 810:2, 6; 814:19; 830:1; 831:18; 832:4, 16; 833:7; 836:17; 855:20; 871:16; 876:6; 877:6, 21; 885:21; 892:10, 13; 894:4; 907:17, 19; 908:8, 14, 24; 910:1, 2, 15, 18; 913:20; 917:13; 951:1; 964:2, 7 synthetic [1] 813:7 **system** [36] 668:11, 14, 16, 22; 670:18; 675:5; 703:22; 704:9; 745:8; 747:5; 786:9; 788:6; 791:23; 792:24; 793:14; 831:11, 12; 848:1, 10, 17, 20, 21, 22; 855:18; 856:11, 17, 19; 857:10; 859:3, 16; 867:15; 887:14; 898:11, 23; 963:20 **systems** [1] 786:19

- T -

table [1] 936:9 talk [22] 663:1; 682:13; 689:21; 698:12; 709:11, 12; 742:16; 748:17; 767:7; 771:8; 815:4; 828:19; 832:6; 833:9; 876:2; 911:17; 935:10; 946:17; 951:5; 952:5; 956:7; 959:1 talked [25] 664:8; 713:11; 714:18; 725:16; 738:11, 15, 21; 739:3, 11; 746:14, 16; 791:24; 814:22; 911:22; 912:13, 15, 17, 20; 946:19; 947:4; 949:11; 950:1; 954:1; 956:12; 963:13 talking [39] 657:10; 663:6; 705:16; 711:9; 718:15; 726:2; 727:2, 3; 731:23; 736:11; 741:8; 766:14, 21; 776:6; 778:10; 779:24; 786:8; 793:8;

815:24; 822:10; 825:12; 827:13; 833:13; 834:4; 839:8; 849:1; 853:19; 856:2; 860:1; 861:23; 872:16, 20; 873:8; 886:4; 907:23; 913:3; 954:21; 956:23; 962:12 talks [5] 808:20; 815:7; 903:7; 904:9, 15 tall [1] 676:9 tank [1] 847:17 tarp [1] 700:22 taylor [19] 654:6; 689:2, 14; 714:10; 764:5; 774:19; 775:17; 777:6; 782:11; 785:17; 787:21: 788:6: 794:6: 800:22: 870:9; 895:22; 914:4; 919:8; 930:4 tclp [1] 689:5 teach [1] 936:6 team [2] 709:14; 737:24 technical [16] 669:4, 5; 682:1; 704:2; 832:6; 895:3; 918:20; 919:12, 14, 19, 20, 23; 925:4; 928:17, 18; 936:11 technological [1] 762:9 technologies [9] 795:1, 5, 6, 7, 11, 13, 16; 846:22; 867:14 technology [1] 904:2 telephone [8] 687:23; 857:20; 911:18, 20; 940:18; 948:11; 953:11, 20 tell [32] 670:23; 673:2; 679:14; 694:24; 699:6, 7; 705:21; 715:5; 742:3, 4; 758:11; 764:3, 8; 770:23; 773:15; 806:5; 834:16; 836:23; 840:11; 847:8; 871:13; 873:5, 12, 16; 910:13; 911:21; 912:3; 916:8; 933:9; 937:18; 940:20; 941:8 telling [2] 938:1; 958:13 temporary [2] 717:1; 755:17 ten [10] 662:14; 674:13; 691:10; 692:19; 694:5; 721:23; 825:10; 889:14; 907:9; 948:9 tend [1] 817:10

tender [1] 907:21 tends [1] 780:7 tens [2] 858:7, 9 tension [1] 676:13 tenth [5] 825:3, 4, 7, 19; 881:10 terex [1] 692:15 term [10] 657:9, 14; 766:20; 772:16; 786:10; 793:22; 941:19; 943:7; 954:2, 3

terms [3] 772:5; 862:12;

terrace [5] 732:10, 13; 733:15; 734:4; 904:21

terrain [3] 763:21; 835:2 three [45] 656:3; 659:1; terrible [1] 947:15 test [2] 655:6, 8 tested [1] 930:22 testified [37] 653:7: 667:5; 670:1; 750:8; 752:11; 753:1; 760:22; 777:6; 799:23; 800:2; 823:21; 829:5; 832:12; 835:19; 839:11; 840:3, 6; 846:24; 856:15; 868:16; 878:19; 880:11, 20; 894:15; 908:17, 22; 915:2; 919:4; 923:21; 934:4; 940:3, 7; 947:6; 951:24; 953:5, 9, 11 testify [7] 652:21; 909:23; 911:15: 913:2, 10: 924:14: 950:17 **testifying** [7] 799:19; 823:23; 844:19; 910:14; 913:7; 953:18; 954:13 testimony [42] 652:15, 17; 666:3; 688:20; 691:12; 696:2; 714:18; 722:10; 727:19; 731:24; 800:1; 833:22; 837:3; 838:4, 19; 840:19, 21; 841:1; 844:15; 852:21, 24; 853:2, 22; 868:20; 874:4, 14; 879:13; 881:5; 909:2, 14, 24; 910:8; 914:1; 921:9; 926:4; 929:13; 931:20, 23; 944:4; 952:1; 954:9; 961:14 testing [4] 654:23; 794:20; 795:15; 835:5 tests [3] 868:18, 23; 869:12 thanks [1] 963:9 thaws [1] 946:13 themselves [1] 934:3 thereafter [2] 740:3; 905:10 therefore [1] 909:23 they'll [3] 709:12; 770:23; 847:16 they've [10] 686:6; 742:19; 762:17; 778:4; 795:11; 804:7; 814:23; 830:16; 832:2; 884:6 thick [2] 708:22, 24 thicker [1] 862:17 thickness [6] 794:15, 17; 862:12, 14; 864:6; 890:11 thicknesss [1] 867:17 thin [1] 863:19 thinking [1] 833:19 thinks [1] 837:18 third [3] 656:15; 674:13; 903:14 thomas [5] 650:2, 22; 760:20; 761:2; 808:2 thompson [1] 681:17

665:8: 667:16: 669:23: 676:8: 681:23; 683:24; 697:18, 19; 709:5; 718:22; 720:23; 728:6; 749:6; 750:2; 751:9; 755:3; 764:18; 782:5; 791:24; 794:23; 800:11; 821:24; 822:4; 851:22; 860:11, 14; 861:8; 862:16; 876:24; 880:22, 23, 24; 888:6, 22; 890:6; 897:18; 900:1; 912:22; 942:2; 959:14, 19, 20 three- [1] 751:22 three-day [1] 668:9 threw [1] 694:7 throughout [4] 866:22: 891:1; 930:7; 951:22 thunderstorm [3] 675:16, 19; 947:11 thursday [2] 941:10, 17 tighter [1] 962:11 till [4] 700:1, 9; 907:9; 941:12 tilted [1] 673:16 timber [2] 769:16; 773:8 time [167] 659:9, 19, 22; 660:12, 21; 662:21, 23; 663:6, 22; 664:1, 6, 15; 665:14, 20; 666:1, 3; 668:9; 670:22; 671:14; 672:17; 673:6; 675:22; 677:4; 681:19, 21; 683:18, 19; 684:16, 23; 686:12; 696:10; 697:8, 9; 698:4; 699:7, 12, 20; 700:3, 10; 702:24; 703:1; 704:17; 706:4; 708:12; 711:2; 712:24; 716:6; 724:24; 725:23; 728:7; 734:17, 19, 24; 735:15, 17, 20; 736:17; 738:14; 739:11; 741:18; 743:14, 15, 17; 751:9, 10, 23; 752:1; 755:15, 17; 759:10; 761:11; 762:22; 766:15; 771:16; 774:6; 779:10; 792:8; 796:2; 798:11; 799:5; 802:2, 4, 24; 805:5, 21; 813:9; 814:9; 821:2; 822:22; 823:1, 2, 6, 9; 824:9; 826:1; 828:4, 5, 9; 829:10, 15; 830:2; 831:16; 846:15; 848:21; 849:10; 850:5, 23; 851:2; 852:10; 853:15, 16; 858:1; 865:3, 15; 867:15; 875;20; 876:17, 19; 882:2, 17; 885:8, 9; 888:7; 895:22, 23; 898:24; 909:5, 9; 910:24; 911:4, 9; 913:1, 12, 21; 914:24; 918:8, 10; 919:20; 934:14; 937:2; 941:11; 943:3, 7; 944:17, 18; 947:1; 948:10, 15, 17; 955:10, 11, 18; 956:12; 957:1; 958:1, 13; 960:12; 963:17, 19 timely [1] 666:13 times [35] 662:11, 14, 22; 691:10; 701:3, 6, 7; 702:8;

858:7. 9

though [6] 668:9; 725:2;

740:12; 750:6; 850:6; 929:13

thousands [3] 771:13;

703:4; 704:18; 709:1; 711:1; 725:9; 735:12; 738:7, 11, 16, 22; 751:19; 752:15; 774:3; 795:12; 806:16; 813:23; 821:15; 849:9; 851:22; 860:9; 861:8; 912:5; 928:9; 930:7; 947:3; 949:1; 962:10 timewise [2] 751:11: 964:10 timing [1] 811:24 tip [1] 673:6 tips [1] 905:11 title [2] 801:10; 895:3 today [21] 652:19; 658:3; 684:16; 690:5, 14; 695:17; 709:15; 712:4; 713:22; 798:11; 806:20; 836:12; 874:4; 876:20; 884:3; 909:23; 910:8, 14; 914:21: 915:7: 945:23 today's [3] 853:22; 877:3; together [4] 680:23; 781:24; 831:4; 912:22 told [20] 676:14, 24; 735:9, 11; 740:15; 741:3; 746:17; 751:4; 766:16; 788:14; 792:7; 805:18; 818:6; 846:13; 940:20; 941:9; 954:19; 955:5, 7 tom [21] 681:11; 709:15; 737:19; 760:19; 769:3; 796:10, 12, 13; 833:5; 841:8, 9, 10; 858:18; 859:19; 870:8, 17; 871:20, 24; 877:4; 893:9; tomorrow [1] 963:23 ton [2] 663:15; 692:15 **took** [16] 663:11; 666:23; 668:1; 669:13; 686:8; 700:24; 701:1; 712:9; 729:22; 732:21; 740:16; 757:9; 771:14; 802:12; 849:3; 942:10 top [33] 675:21, 22; 692:24; 699:5; 701:6; 709:7; 723:11; 727:1, 4, 12, 20; 755:5; 771:21; 801:12; 811:8; 861:13; 863:17; 874:6, 11, 12, 14; 875:18; 888:10; 889:3, 12, 16; 893:1, 11; 902:17; 921:24; 946:3, 9 topic [1] 655:10 topographical [2] 764:4; 890:2 topography [5] 764:9; 767:11, 23; 770:9; 772:24 topsoil [1] 794:4 tossed [1] 793:5 total [1] 853:8 totally [3] 693:8; 745:18; 893:18 touch [2] 915:1; 940:23 toward [16] 713:14; 764:23; 765:4, 5, 20; 766:8; 767:16, 20; 771:2; 773:3;

811:8, 9, 16; 830:4; 831:14; 906:6 towards [5] 755:8; 757:14; 830:8; 831:21; 900:4 township [1] 763:17 **track** [6] 663:15; 674:8; 700:21; 865:22; 890:11; 952:17 tracked [1] 858:1 **tracking** [3] 751:18; 818:3. 11 tracks [2] 672:10; 956:1 tractor [1] 865:21 train [1] 940:10 trained [2] 821:2; 822:16 training [4] 762:24; 763:1; 822:18: 895:22 transcript [9] 649:23; 815:6; 911:11; 913:11; 959:16; 961:5, 11, 12; 965:9 transpired [1] 670:23 transportation [2] 689:10, 11 transported [1] 704:11 trap [1] 820.6 trapped [1] 774:4 traveled [1] 817:24 traveling [1] 822:22 travels [1] 772:22 treating [2] 899:6, 11 treatment [2] 821:13: 847:19 tree [1] 746:2 trees [5] 769:22, 23, 24; 770:4; 834:13 trench [1] 693:24 trial [1] 832:17 trickle [2] 774:10; 824:11 **tried** [9] 664:22; 751:10; 757:12; 779:4, 22; 802:13; 816:3; 820:23; 824:8 triggered [4] 915:10; 929:16, 22; 932:2 **trips** [1] 751:24 trouble [2] 823:6; 866:6 **truck** [20] 671:12, 13, 18; 677:7, 9, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 23; 700:13; 701:5, 16, 17; 702:13, 14; 748:23; 817:23 trucking [1] 814:17 truckloads [6] 663:21; 666:23; 692:2; 745:21, 22, 23 trucks [4] 692:13, 15, 17; 704:10 **true** [16] 690:12; 708:16; 721:8; 775:21; 782:17; 785:20; 788:20; 796:15; 814:24; 850:11; 863:9; 865:7; 869:19;

767:20; 813:8; 815:18; 817:22; 821:11; 880:12; 891:20; 913:14; 936:3 trying [23] 676:18; 706:12; 727:11; 731:20; 749:21; 779:11, 13; 780:12, 13; 781:7; 813:17, 19; 818:10; 819:20; 828:22: 867:1: 873:4: 876:14: 883:20; 891:17; 892:5; 893:5; 922:15 tsca [1] 655:15 turn [8] 753:19; 817:15; 901:7; 902:16; 903:24; 915:16; 943:9; 956:24 turned [4] 672:10; 903:12; 922:9: 941:3 turning [1] 728:17 twice [2] 774:21: 902:9 **two** [43] 656:19: 664:5: 685:21; 696:8; 697:6; 701:20; 709:5; 715:22; 718:22; 735:15, 17; 738:16; 743:17; 747:14, 18; 749:15, 16; 755:3; 758:12; 768:21; 784:13; 785:3; 792:9; 794:2, 22; 816:11; 823:21; 843:4; 853:19; 861:7; 862:16; 864:19; 873:13; 875:15; 876:14, 21, 23; 877:1, 17; 878:4; 911:8; 964:3 two-thirds [1] 743:8 **type** [17] 666:10; 763:1; 768:8; 772:9; 798:1; 810:24; 812:18; 818:24; 820:5; 822:20; 826:2, 11; 844:9; 869:1; 916:3; 922:7; 954:5 typed [1] 952:17 types [4] 655:17; 761:16; 868:22; 936:4 typically [1] 763:20 - U -

ultimately [1] 704:23 unacceptable [1] 788:14 unaware [1] 805:23 unbelievably [1] 675:23 uncapped [1] 740:9 uncommon [1] 676:14 uncorrected [1] 845:4 uncovered [21] 672:1; 675:6; 708:20; 709:3; 722:20, 21; 723:23; 740:10, 18, 20; 741:4, 6, 12, 18; 743:15; 745:1, 2, 4; 814:13; 953:23 under [29] 686:1; 758:12; 759:15; 783:24; 790:19; 794:22; 801:10; 804:16; 807:2; 808:20; 809:18; 812:8, 10; 823:18; 825:22; 835:7, 16; 843:2; 882:4; 883:13, 22; 908:17; 916:17; 929:4; 931:3;

933:15; 935:16; 939:22; 952:23 underlying [1] 928:17 understand [22] 657:10, 15; 689:7; 694:7; 695:12, 24; 701:8; 707:16; 712:7; 717:24; 718:13; 766:23; 772:2; 790:19; 823:19; 853:22; 924:17; 929:15; 941:16; 944:4; 954:4; 964:11 understanding [26] 658:19; 661:6; 669:15; 682:16; 697:5; 712:8; 713:13; 766:14; 778:12: 779:23: 786:10: 790:11; 793:22; 795:24; 801:21; 802:24; 834:10; 850:22: 854:9: 864:3: 874:3: 879:17; 904:11; 929:3; 932:4, understood [1] 664:5 undertake [1] 844:21 undertaken [1] 866:16 undertaking [1] 930:18 unexpectedly [1] 941:11 ungodly [1] 677:10 unilaterally [2] 783:19; 931:21 unit [5] 909:10; 910:6, 22; 924:3; 936:3 units [3] 914:10, 18; 919:5 university [4] 654:14; 762:9; 896:10; 924:12 unless [2] 821:17; 934:16 unlikely [1] 741:20 unplated [2] 745:4, 5 until [22] 677:1; 702:19; 703:2; 712:13; 717:22; 758:17; 776:20; 836:11; 842:14; 848:11; 849:4; 850:2; 853:16; 876:22; 882:17; 884:22; 901:16; 902:4; 907:9; 911:3; 940:10; 960:17 unusual [1] 719:16 unwillingness [1] 852:23 **up** [145] 656:7; 659:17; 661:24; 662:3, 7; 663:6, 19;

up [145] 656:7; 659:17; 661:24; 662:3, 7; 663:6, 19; 664:2, 10, 16; 666:5; 667:22; 668:5; 671:1, 3, 4, 17, 19, 20; 672:24; 673:5, 21; 677:4, 9, 14, 15, 22; 678:3; 679:8, 11, 12, 13, 21; 692:20; 694:8; 696:1, 22; 698:10; 699:5, 23; 700:18; 701:4, 13, 15; 702:5, 9, 14, 15; 705:18, 23; 707:8; 713:2, 4; 717:3, 17, 18; 718:9; 724:15, 19, 21; 725:15; 727:17, 22; 728:5; 729:5; 731:12; 732:19, 23; 742:4; 743:3, 8; 746:8; 747:6; 748:3, 11, 13; 749:12; 750:11; 752:9; 753:15; 761:12; 769:19; 773:18; 776:15, 23;

893:9; 960:14; 965:9

trust [2] 791:6, 8

truthfully [1] 883:4

try [11] 688:19; 714:16;

780:4; 786:15; 791:7; 800:22; 806:2; 808:10, 12; 811:20; 813.5, 6; 814:11, 18; 816:24; 817:4, 12; 821:12; 822:9; 840:15; 845:5; 847:16, 21; 848:1; 852:19; 859:22; 864:17, 19; 869:5; 872:5; 873:3; 874:6, 8, 15; 888:11, 15; 890:22; 892:11; 893:3; 901:19; 903:4; 909:18; 910:16, 19; 912:23; 920:22; 923:16; 929:6; 933:7; 936:10; 941:12; 955:3; 956:2; 961:9; 962:5; 963:6, 7, updated [1] 822:13 **updating** [1] 837:9 upper [4] 717:3; 722:18, 20; 736:18 urgency [1] 706:15 uses [1] 770:17 using [4] 692:14; 711:11; 814:11, 13 **usual** [1] 927:11 utilizing [2] 847:20; 893:15

- V -

vacant [1] 769:17 valid [1] 776:24 **validity** [1] 914:17 **valley** [11] 714:10; 847:14; 894:24; 895:11, 19; 896:18, 19; 897:5; 901:24; 905:6; 939:14 **value** [1] 928:15 **varies** [1] 862:15 **variety** [2] 689:18; 935:18 **Various** [18] 667:7; 786:14, 21; 791:5, 20; 797:21, 24; 809:2; 810:19, 20; 815:14; 822:23; 838:23; 860:8; 866:18; 868:22; 896:16; 925:4 **vary** [1] 774:7 vegetated [1] 810:15 vegetation [3] 811:22; 866:22; 887:22 vegetative [12] 794:5; 810:8, 13; 814:4; 865:6, 8, 13; 866:3, 6, 9, 16; 887:17 vehicle [2] 702:4; 844:7 vehicles [2] 711:4; 955:24 vendors [2] 815:14; **venture** [1] 791:21 verbal [1] 770:21 **verify** [1] 914:17 **version** [1] 837:10 **versus** [1] 652:3 vicinity [1] 664:20 view [1] 754:15 **violation** [1] 818:12

violations [2] 801:10; 827:10 visits [1] 851:21 volatile [2] 847:22; 897:23 volatiles [1] 847:23 **volume** [1] 860:13

- W -

wait [5] 702:19; 768:13; 848:19; 876:22; 940:10 waited [1] 668:6 waiting [1] 704:15 waive [1] 829:23 waived [2] 920:18; 921:15 **walk** [5] 674:9; 741:7; 844:7; 960:4; 963:21 walking [3] 674:7; 955:2, wall [1] 902:21 wanted [18] 663:23; 665:24; 669:6; 688:13; 709:7; 713:4; 714:15; 720:4; 729:1; 731:22; 749:23; 781:19; 804:9; 849:14; 908:10; 920:19; 949:13; 951:9 wanting [1] 844:21 wants [1] 813:22 warning [3] 937:5, 19; wash [1] 812:4 washed [1] 867:5 washout [3] 733:22; 756:10, 14 washouts [1] 756:16 waste [26] 650:14; 653:22; 678:7, 11; 689:4; 700:13; 702:23; 703:5; 718:4; 719:24; 762:10, 14; 794:1; 814:15; 817:24; 820:11; 860:2, 7, 13; 861:18, 19; 896:2; 900:16, 19, 21; 924:2 wasted [1] 902:8 wastes [2] 689:15; 905:16 **wastewater** [2] 821:13; 847:19 watched [2] 834:19, 20 water [150] 657:19, 23; 658:16, 20; 659:4, 12, 19, 20; 660:12, 24; 661:7, 16, 18; 662:5, 19; 664:16, 18, 21; 665:1, 4; 667:23; 690:19; 693:2, 6; 694:1, 3, 19; 695:1, 4, 7, 9; 696:12, 23; 697:3; 718:17, 20; 719:2, 19, 23; 720:8, 11, 17, 24; 721:9; 723:3, 8, 13, 15, 18; 725:8; 729:4, 9, 22; 730:15; 731:2, 3, 6, 8, 9, 14, 15, 19; 732:1, 2, 8; 733:3, 23; 734:4, 8, 14, 23; 736:3, 21;

753:16; 766:18; 767:17, 20; 768:5; 772:7, 15; 773:22; 774:1, 5, 6, 8; 798:19, 21; 965:11 799:21; 801:22, 24; 802:3, 5; 806:24; 808:4, 22; 809:8, 15; 21; 787:4 810:20, 22; 811:1, 12; 812:14, 23, 24; 813:19, 20, 21; 816:19, 20; 817:4; 818:19, 20; 819:2, 6; 820:16; 821:18; 822:2; 824:17, 20; 831:4; 834:1, 16, 22; 836:15; 838:10, 15; 839:2, 683:11 7; 886:5; 892:3, 4; 899:16; 904:15, 19; 943:18, 20, 21; 946:7 watercourse [1] 771:11 watercourses [3] 771:8; 773:10; 812:13 waters [1] 802:22 watts [146] 649:8; 652:3; 653:14, 15; 654:8; 661:16; 665:19; 668:24; 680:18, 20; 681:11; 683:18; 686:16; 689:2; 696:4; 703:14; 704:23; 706:24; 709:22; 712:16; 713:17; 714:5; 721:3; 737:19; 738:1; 747:23; 748:9; 756:15; 759:17; 761:4, 7, 17; 764:4; 765:9; 771:17, 18; 779:18; 782:3, 11; 784:2, 12; 785:16; 786:24; 788:6, 13; 790:13; 791:6, 10, 18; 793:11; 796:3, 14; 798;3, 20, 24; 799;1; 24; 898:16, 18, 20, 21; 899:1, 800:21: 807:7: 809:20: 820:20: 830:15; 832:2; 839:16; 841:11, 24; 843:5; 844:2; 846:3; 847:1; 848:8; 849:4, 7, 8; 850:2, 6, 12, 18, 23; 851:13, 23; 852:3, 15; 853:5; 854:14; 861:23; 862:19; 866:15, 19; 867:10; 868:6, 10; 875:11; 876:15; 884:15; 885:18; 886:7; 887:16; 894:22; 895:16, 17; 896:1, 15; 898:24; 902:20; 891:4 903:10; 904:3, 4, 11, 17; 906:2, 5; 909:7; 914:7; 917:22; 918:3, 23; 924:16, 22; 925:8, 22; 927:5; 929:8; 930:3, 6, 10, 17; 932:16; 933:7, 16; 934:5, 8, 11, 15; 937;12, 21, 23; 938:16, 19, 23, 24; 939:13; 944:22; 948:17, 21; 949:5 watts' [7] 678:16, 23; 686:19; 740:15; 769:9; 790:20; 852:22 **WAY** [48] 660:10; 661:23; 664:6, 7; 673:16, 18; 674:14; 692:10; 702:1, 7; 706:1, 9; 712:17; 713:14; 720:6; 721:15; 727:21; 728:13; 730:21, 24; 734:18; 741:13; 748:18, 21; 749:17; 750:13, 16, 22; 753:16, 19; 764:21; 766:7; 768:6; 773:21; 834:20; 843:22; 844:3;

862:1, 2; 876:3; 890:9; 912:19; 948:6; 959:11; 961:24; 962:7; **Wayne** [4] 659:18; 738:20, **Ways** [5] 746:22; 753:15; 835:2; 890:3; 962:10 weather [1] 844:20 wedged [1] 701:19 wednesday [2] 675:11; week [2] 750:5; 911:3 weekend [2] 740:11; weeks [7] 684:1; 749:22; 853:23; 917:4; 959:14, 19, 20 weight [1] 952:1 welding [1] 676:21 well-documented [1] wells [74] 685:9, 21; 686:7; 697:22; 701:10; 702:12, 18; 704:19; 706:3, 5, 6, 13; 707:6; 708:9; 709:19; 710:3; 713:8; 740:9; 741:22; 746:22; 762:3; 787:7, 8; 847:2, 9; 848:6, 18; 849:14, 18, 20; 850:17; 851:10, 11; 852:7; 856:22; 857:2; 863:22; 864:10, 12, 15; 874:18, 22; 875:4, 18; 897:15, 18, 22, 16, 23; 900:2, 3; 914:14; 916:15; 940:13; 941:9, 11, 20, 22; 942:2, 5, 17, 21, 22; 943:5, 7; 956:8, 14 west [28] 661:17; 664:18; 722:23; 763:16; 764:15, 17; 765:19, 20; 767:5, 22; 769:8, 12; 771:2; 811:5, 15; 817:2; 834:7, 9, 12; 835:13, 17; 862:2, 3; 865:15; 866:1; 887:17, 20; western [4] 723:1; 730:23; 866:14; 900:4 wet [1] 693:1 whatever [22] 662:6; 663:24; 665:24; 669:12, 13; 701:14; 725:8; 726:8, 14, 20; 727:17; 728:4; 732:7; 736:3; 743:2; 744:10; 761:20; 791:10; 879:2; 911:13; 955:7; 956:23 **whenever** [5] 661:5; 713:6; 723:19; 725:2, 17 wherever [1] 863:24 whichever [1] 716:19 **whitley** [44] 651:9; 657:9, 12, 14, 20; 661:8, 11; 662:6, 8; 663:5; 666:4, 18; 670:1; 690:11; 696:1; 710:4; 738:5, 7; 739:20, 24; 740:7, 16; 745:20; 746:16; 757:20; 766:12, 20, 24; 767:22; 768:2, 3; 769:18;

748:20, 24; 749:18, 20; 752:2;

833:14: 834:8: 939:20, 21: 940:1, 6; 953:9; 954:1, 20; 956:4: 958:13 whitley's [14] 656:22; 661:17; 664:3; 746:7; 755:8; 767:8; 770:11; 810:22; 811:16; 817:2; 834:6; 835:12; 867:2; 954:10 whoever [5] 737:20, 21; 756:3; 866:14; 912:7 whoever's [1] 709:15 whole [5] 667:13; 764:6; 780:8: 927:21: 929:24 whomever [1] 737:9 wide [1] 667:4 widened [1] 757:10 **will** [45] 652:20; 704:23; 705:21; 709:16; 728:1; 734:10; 753:16, 19; 769:1; 792:11; 795:16; 804:16; 811:20; 812:3; 817:10; 820:2; 826:9, 11; 837:11; 847:8, 23; 856:24; 857:9; 869:9; 892:4; 903:13; 918:15, 22; 920:21; 922:16; 925:19; 941:4; 946:6; 951:18, 19; 959:6, 11, 17, 24; 963:16, 17, 19; 964:4, 15 willing [5] 652:18; 677:23; 932:5, 8; 934:5 wisconsin [1] 799:2 wish [1] 961:21 wished [1] 907:18 withdraw [2] 713:17; 807:22 withdrawing [1] 787:8 within [8] 666:13; 668:9; 681:24; 886:13, 14; 918:13; 919:21; 932:19 without [7] 707:20; 783:20; 791:8; 873:12; 928:3; 944:1; 956:23 witness [36] 652:5; 653:3, 6; 674:22; 756:6; 760:15, 16, 18, 21; 780:6; 790:9; 796:24; 832:18; 877:23; 894:9, 11, 14; 897:2; 907:4, 20; 908:15, 21; 909:20: 911:14: 913:10. 13: 923:9, 18, 20; 927:23; 939:19; 940:2; 947:17; 950:22; 953:4; 958:20 witnesses [12] 650:18; 651:1; 768:22; 907:6, 17; 909:17; 921:11; 928:5; 950:24; 951:24; 958:22; 965:8 Won [1] 779:12 wonder [1] 778:18 **wood** [8] 814:11, 13, 14, 18; 817:23; 818:7, 11, 13 wooded [5] 764:13, 16; 765:10, 14

word [1] 941:19

words [3] 689:20; 720:1;

919:16 work [51] 663:11; 668:8; 677:13; 725:22; 727:7; 732:24; 761:3, 20; 762:11; 787:12; 791:19, 21; 792:10; 794:23; 795:11; 808:8, 10; 815:19; 816:13; 831:14; 850:16, 17, 18; 851:12, 14, 20, 24; 852:3, 6, 15; 853:13, 16; 854:4, 9, 15; 863:10; 864:14; 866:24; 867:14; 868:17; 883:20; 884:1; 885:16; 890:5; 894:21; 895:5, 10, 11; 902;8, 18 worked [10] 654:22; 669:9; 761:15; 793:2; 811:4; 862:1, 2; 895:17; 924:4, 6 workers [2] 705:9, 13 working [22] 666:22; 703:3; 706:24; 707:3; 710:11; 711:3; 713:14; 722:17; 744:17; 761:8; 784:6; 791:2, 5, 17; 837:7; 846:21; 849:12; 896:1; 900:13; 905:23; 957:24; 958:2 works [1] 808:4 worse [2] 957:5, 9 write [1] 706:3 writing [7] 670:5; 771:9; 801:20; 878:14; 961:23; 962:2, written [3] 678:3; 801:10; 814:11 wrong [3] 802:16; 828:22; 883:9 wrote [1] 802:8 wuestenberg [5] 737:19;

- X -

800:13, 14; 822:14; 825:22

XS [2] 717:9

- Y -

vards [4] 692:19: 726:20: 862:12: 889:12 **year** [33] 656:10; 698:1; 709:13; 718:22; 734:20; 740:7; 755:15, 18; 758:18; 761:9; 792:2, 13; 836:11; 841:22; 842:7; 843:4, 11; 849:4, 10; 850:2; 851:6; 872:17; 878:9; 903:13; 934:9; 937:9, 10; 946:11; 947:7, 23; 948:6, 14; 949:18 years [42] 656:4; 660:18; 661:19; 686:6, 7; 689:12; 717:19; 738:22; 763:23; 766:18; 771:14; 785:3; 829:21, 22, 23; 843:4; 856:20; 860:9, 11, 14; 861:5, 6, 8, 22; 862:20; 863:1, 8; 873:14; 875:15; 877:1, 17; 888:7, 22; 890:7; 895:18, 24; 943:11; 948:9, 10 yesterday [5] 684:17; 869:14; 917:21; 918:1; 927:18 york [1] 791:5 yourself [1] 707:12 zappa [1] 896:23